New Jersey Institute of Technology Digital Commons @ NJIT

Theses

**Electronic Theses and Dissertations** 

6-30-1975

# Separation of isomers via thermal parametric pumping

Vincent J. D'Emidio New Jersey Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.njit.edu/theses

Part of the Chemical Engineering Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

D'Emidio, Vincent J., "Separation of isomers via thermal parametric pumping" (1975). *Theses*. 2116. https://digitalcommons.njit.edu/theses/2116

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Electronic Theses and Dissertations at Digital Commons @ NJIT. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ NJIT. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@njit.edu.

# **Copyright Warning & Restrictions**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a, user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use" that user may be liable for copyright infringement,

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law.

Please Note: The author retains the copyright while the New Jersey Institute of Technology reserves the right to distribute this thesis or dissertation

Printing note: If you do not wish to print this page, then select "Pages from: first page # to: last page #" on the print dialog screen



The Van Houten library has removed some of the personal information and all signatures from the approval page and biographical sketches of theses and dissertations in order to protect the identity of NJIT graduates and faculty.

# SEPARATION OF ISOMERS VIA THERMAL

#### PARAMETRIC PUMPING

### BY

VINCENT J. D'EMIDIO

#### A THESIS

#### PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF

THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF

.

### MASTER OF SCIENCE IN CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

AT

NEW JERSEY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

This thesis is to be used only with due regard to the rights of the author. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages must not be copied without permission of the Institute and without credit being given in subsequent written published work.

> Newark, New Jersey 1975

#### ABSTRACT

Continuous and semicontinuous thermal parametric pumps for separating isomers were experimentally investigated using the model system glucose-fructose-water on a cation exchange resin adsorbent. A comparison was made between the experimental data and the calculated results by a method based on an equilibrium theory. The method invokes the assumptions that a multicomponent mixture contains a series of pseudo binary systems. Each binary system consists of one of the solutes as one component and the common inert solvent as the other component. It has been shown that under certain conditions, the pump with feed at the enriched end has the capacity for complete removal of fructose from one product stream and at the same time give arbitrarily large enrichment of fructose in the other product stream.

### APPROVAL OF THESIS

# SEPARATION OF ISOMERS VIA THERMAL

# PARAMETRIC PUMPING

BY

VINCENT J. D'EMIDIO

FOR

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING NEW JERSEY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

# BY

#### FACULTY COMMITTEE

APPROVED :

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

JUNE, 1975

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. H. T. Chen for the countless hours he spent providing me with invaluable assistance as my thesis advisor. I also wish to thank J. A. Manganaro and W. W. Lin for their helpful advice concerning experimental and analytical techniques.

Parts of this thesis were presented at the 67th AIChE Annual Meeting in Washington, D. C., December, 1974, and have also been accepted for publication in the AIChE Journal.

i
ii
iii
iv
v
Vi
l
36
41
45
50
52
54
97

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.	The Direct Mode of Parametric Pumping and the schematic diagram of the Experimental Apparatus	55
2.	The Recuperative Mode of Parametric Pumping	56
3.	The Continuous Parametric Pump	57
4.	The Semicontinuous Parametric Pump	58
5.	The Three Regions of Parametric Pump Operation	59
6.	Relation of angular degree rotation to concentration at ambient temperature (For curve 3, $\eta g = 277.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{gmoles/cm}^3$ )	77
7.	Concentration transients for binary systems	78
8.	Concentration transients for ternary systems	79
9.	Effects of operating conditions on the top product	80
10.	Theoretical effects of operating conditions	81
& 12.	on the top product	89
11	Theoretical Separation Factors for the	82
11. & 13.	Glucose-Fructose-Water system	90

# LIST OF TABLES

1.	Chronological Summary of the Cyclic Separation Methods Reviewed in the Introduction	4-8
2.	Requirements for Parametric Pumping	9
3.	Calibration Data	60
4.	Experimental and Model Parameters	61
512.	Experimental and Calculated Results for the Eight Runs Carried Out	62-76
	Calculated Results for the Theoretical Results Represented in Figs. 10, 11, 12, 13	83-88 and 91-96

#### INTRODUCTION

Parametric pumping is a cyclical separation process consisting of a solid phase adsorbent and a fluid phase containing two or more components. In the direct mode of parametric pumping, solution flows through a jacketed glass column packed with adsorbent particles in such a way that its direction alternates periodically. Simultaneously, the temperature of the column changes in phase with the cyclic flow by supplying either hot or cold water to the column jacket. Thus when the column becomes cold, solute adsorbs on the solid, leaving the liquid depleted and the column effluent concentration lowered. When the column is heated on the next half-cycle, solute desorbs, re-enters the solution, and the effluent concentration rises. Because the flow is reciprocating, these effluents occur at opposite ends of the bed. Hence a separation is produced. (Gregory et. al. (13)) The experimenter acts externally on the system to couple these periodic actions by bringing them into synchronism and by establishing a desirable phase angle between them. (Wilhelm et. al. (5); See Appendix, Fig. 1, p.55)

In the recuperative mode of parametric pumping, fixed thermal boundary conditions at the column ends cause the fluid to carry not only the mixture components but also heat into and out of the column as the flow direction alternates. The heat flux alternations establish the parametric temperature variations throughout the column. The combination of flow alternation, the direct thermal contact between phases, and the establishment of heat source-sink positions at the column ends forms an internal recuperative heat exchange system as an

integral part of parametric pumping. Such a system has a thermal advantage over the direct mode in which heat is introduced laterally to the flow direction. It is recuperative in that much of the heat which enters the bed during downflow will be in the hot product stream which leaves the bed during upflow, thus allowing for heat recovery and re-use. (Wilhelm et. al. (5)) As in the case of the direct mode, there is coupled interaction of fluid displacement alternations with periodic changes in temperatures and compositions. These events cause the development of an axial flux of adsorbable material and, in turn, formation of an axial separation (Wilhelm et. al. (5); See Appendix, Fig. 2, p.56).

To summarize then, the degree of freedom offered by the independent, direct controllability of the direct mode permits placement of the system in operational domains in which large separations may occur, but at the expense of primary energy (heat) recovery. For many purposes, such recovery may not be important compared to the achievement of separation. By contrast, in the recuperative mode, the periodic actions in question no longer are independent and smaller separations generally may be expected. These are counterbalanced by a recuperative, internal recovery of heat. (Wilhelm et. al. (5))

A distinction is made between closed and open parametric pumping systems in a traditional way. A closed system has no feed or product streams, it operates cycle after cycle with the same volumetric displacement in each direction. (If it were otherwise, all the solution would accumulate at one end of the bed). Open systems require

feed input and product removal during part or all of each cycle. In these systems it is not always necessary to restrict the axial fluid displacements to equal volumes on each half cycle. (Gregory et. al. (13))

Both systems becomes steady-periodic as the number of cycles increases, and no further change occurs in the concentrations at the column ends, i.e., a limiting separation is approached. After limiting conditions are reached an open system continues to yield additional product each cycle. A closed system, however, exhibits no change in product volume (Gregory et. al. (13)).

# TABLE 1

# CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF THE CYCLIC SEPARATION METHODS REVIEWED IN THE INTRODUCTION

YEAR	AUTHOR(S)	INTENSIVE THERMODYNAMIC VARIABLE	<b>S</b> YSTEM	REMARKS
<b>19</b> 66	Wilhelm, et. al. (1)	Temperature	N <sub>a</sub> C <sub>1</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O on Rohm and Haas Amberlite Resins (IRC-50, IR-45)	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1967	McAndrews (2)	Temperature	Methane-Nitrogen on Fibrous Carbon	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1968	Jenczewski, et. al. (3)	Temperature	Ethane-Propane on Activated Carbon	Experimental
1968	Wilhelm, et. al. (4)	Temperature	Toluene-n-Heptane on Silica Gel	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1968	Wilhelm, et. al. (5)	Temperature	<ul> <li>A. Toluene-n-Heptane on Silica Gel</li> <li>B. NaC1-H2O on Rohm &amp; Haas Amberlite IR-45 and IRC-50 Resins</li> </ul>	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1969	Rolke, et. al. (6)	Temperature	N <sub>a</sub> C <sub>1</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	Mathematical Model
<b>19</b> 69	Pigford, et. al. (7)	(Thermal)	<b>-</b> ·	Mathematical Model
1969	Aris, (8)	(Thermal)	-	Mathematical Model
<b>19</b> 69	Sweed, et. al. (9)	(Thermal)	-	Mathematical Model
<b>19</b> 69	Horn, et. al. (10)	(Thermal)	-	Mathematical Model

4

# TABLE 1

# CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF THE CYCLIC SEPARATION METHODS REVIEWED IN THE INTRODUCTION

YEAR	AUTHOR(S)	INTENSIVE THERMODYNAMIC VARIABLE	<b>S</b> YSTEM	REMARKS
1969	Pigford, et. al. (11)	Temperature	<ul> <li>A. Methane in Helium on Pittsburgh</li> <li>BPL Activated Carbon</li> <li>B. Acetic Acid-H20 on Pittsburgh</li> <li>CAL Activated</li> <li>Carbon</li> </ul>	Experimental
1970	Sabadell, et. al.	рН	K <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> - H2O on Rohm & Haas IRC-84 a carboxylic polyacrylic resin	Experimental
1970	Gregory, et. al. (13)	(Thermal	-	Mathematical Model
1970	Jenczewski, et. al. (14)	Temperature	<ul> <li>A. Argon-Propane on Pittsburgh BPL Activated Carbon</li> <li>B. Ethane-Propane on Pittsburgh BPL Activated Carbon</li> <li>C. Propane-Propylene on Pittsburgh BPL Activated Carbon</li> </ul>	Experimental and Mathematical Model

		TABLE 1		
	CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY O	F THE CYCLIC SEPARATION MET	THODS REVIEWED IN THE IN	TRODUCTION
YEAR	AUTHOR(S)	INTENSIVE THERMODYNAMIC	<u>System</u>	REMARKS
1971	Chen, et. al. (15)	(Thermal)		Mathematical Model
1971	Sweed, et. al. (16)	Temperature	N <sub>a</sub> C <sub>1</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O on Bio-Rad AG 11A8 Resin	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1971	Turnock, et. al. (17)	Pressure	Nitrogen-Methane on Linde Type 5A Molecular Sieve	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1971	Gupta, et. al. (18)	(Thermal)	-	Mathematical Model
1971	Baker, et. al. (19)	Temperature	Acetic Acid-H2O on Activated Carbon	Experimental
1972	Butts, et. al. (20)	(Thermal)	-	Mathematical Model
1972	Gregory, et. al. (21)	Temperature	NaC1-H2O on Bio-Rad AG 11A8 Resin	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1972	Chen, et. al. (22)	Temperature	Toluene-n-Heptane on Silica Gel	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1972	Chen, et. al. (23)	Temperature	<b>Gluc</b> ose-Fructose- H <sub>2</sub> O on Fullers Earth	Experimental
1972	Kowler, et. al. (24)	Pressure	Nitrogen-Methane on Davison 5A Molecular Sieve	Experimental and Mathematical Model
		- -		

δ

# TABLE 1

# CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF THE CYCLIC SEPARATION METHODS REVIEWED IN THE INTRODUCTION

YEAR	AUTHOR(S)	INTENSIVE THERMODYNAMIC VARIABLE	SYSTEM	REMARKS
1972	Shendalman, et. al. (25)	Pressure	Carbon Dioxide in Helium on Silica Gel	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1972	Patrick, et. al. (26)	Temperature	Air-SO <sub>2</sub> on Silica Gel	Experimental
1973	Chen, et. al. (27)	Temperature	Toluene-n-Heptane on Silica Gel	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1973	Butts, et. al. (28)	Temperature	K <sup>+</sup> - H, and K <sup>+</sup> - Na <sup>+</sup> H <sup>+</sup> on Dowex 50 x 18 Resin	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1973	Wankat, et. al. (29)	Temperature	Acetic Acid-Water- Diethyl Ether	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1973	Gupta, et. al. (30)	(Thermal)		Mathematical Model
1973	Mitchell, et. al. (31)	Pressure	<b>CO<sub>2</sub>-Helium on Silica Gel</b>	Experimental and Mathematical Model
1974	Apostolopoulos (32)	Temperature	· -	Mathematical Model
1974	Chen, et. al. (33)	Temperature	Toluene-n-Heptane on Silica Gel	Experimental
1974	Chen, et. al. (34)	Temperature	Toluene-Aniline-n- Heptane on Silica Gel	Experimental and Mathematical Model

# TABLE 1

		TABLE 1		•
	CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF	THE CYCLIC SEPARATION MET	HODS REVIEWED IN THE INT	RODUCTION
YEAR	AUTHOR(S)	INTENSIVE THERMODYNAMIC VARIABLE	<u>System</u>	<u>REMARK S</u>
1974	Chen, et. al. (35)	Temperature	NaNO3-H2O on Bio-Rad Ag 11A8 Resin	Experimenta1
1975	Chen, et. al. (36)	Temperature	Glucose-Fructose- H2O on Bio-Rad AG 50W-X4 Resin	Experimental

# TABLE 2\*

# REQUIREMENTS FOR PARAMETRIC PUMPING

	Conventional Phase Exchange Processes	Parametric Pumping (General)
Number of Phases	At least two	At least two
Equilibrium Function	Any function	Any function
Relative Motion Between Phases	Unidirectional	Alternating
State Variables	All steady	One or more forced periodically. Others periodic in response.

\*Sweed, et. al. (9)

#### Systems Based on Temperature Cycles

Thermal parametric pumping was conceived by Wilhelm about 1962. In the paper of Wilhelm, et. al. (1) parametric pumping is initially described and its principles initially presented via the recuperative mode of operation for both open and closed systems. For the open systems where fresh feed was introduced each half-cycle, the removal of NaCl from water on a mixed bed of ion exchange resins was studied. A separation factor (defined as the ratio of concentration of solute in the upper reservoir to concentration of solute in the lower reservoir) of 1.2 was obtained. As Wilhelm, et. al. (1) describes it, alternating axial displacement of a fluid mixture in a column of adsorptive particles upon which an axial temperature difference is imposed leads, through coupling of oscillatory thermal and mass fields with alternating flow displacements, to a difference in limiting condition, time-average compositions at the column ends. The separation takes place at the expense of thermal energy; continuous operation with a fixed-bed adsorber also becomes feasible. The separation has been substantiated by experiment and by analysis of the mathematical formulation.

McAndrew (2) provided the first reported work on the use of parametric pumping principles to separate gases. McAndrew (2) used the recuperative mode of operation to separate methane from nitrogen an fibrous carbon via batch parametric pumping. The mathematical model revealed some problems. Under normal operations a big difference between solid and gas heat capacities makes it difficult to use the

recuperative mode for heating the bed, also the fundamental P-V-T behavior of gases demands that when the temperature changes a corresponding change in either volume or pressure must occur. So at constant pressure operation, the volume increases, dilutes the gases and separation drops. If the operation is at constant volume, the increasing pressure forces increasing adsorption. However this action is opposite to the tendency of a drop in adsorption which an increasing temperature effects. McAndrew (2) did not obtain any experimental separations.

Jenczewski, et. al. (3) were the first to employ the direct mode to the separation of gases. The separation of ethane-propane on activated carbon was studied in a constant volume apparatus for a closed system. Separation factors of approximately 2.0 were obtained following 60 cycles of pump operation. A horizontal column was utilized in which the flow periods were fast, with longer periods in order to attain equilibrium.

Wilhelm, et. al. (4) employed the direct mode to the separation of toluene-n-heptane on silica gel. The differential mass balance and an approximate rate expression were presented. Theory is in qualitative agreement with the experimental results. As Wilhelm, et. al. (4) puts it, parametric pumping, a dynamic separation technique, comprises alternating axial displacement of a fluid mixture in a column of adsorptive particles upon which a synchronous cycling temperature is imposed. With direct coupling, separations of  $10^5$ :1 between column ends have been obtained with indications of great potential for further separation. A

mathematical model and its solution describe the behavior of the system.

Wilhelm, et. al. (5) employed the direct mode to the separation of toluene-n-heptane on silica gel and the recuperative mode to the separation of NaC1-H<sub>2</sub>O in Rohm and Haas amberlite IR-45 and IRC-50 resins. The theory presented before (4) is also presented in greater detail. Wilhelm, et. al. (5) were reasonably successful in predicting the separation achieved. According to Wilhelm, et. al. (5), parametric pumping is an adsorptive separation technique based on periodic. synchronous, coupled transport actions. The net consequence of the coupling of alternating adsorbent-fluid displacements with the cycling of a thermodynamic intensive parametric variable (temperature) is a buildup of separation from cycle to cycle. Thereby the powerful separational effects of countercurrent action are released in the uncommon circumstances of a continuously regenerating separation column having only a single fluid phase. Thus cycle-to-cycle time-staging as well as position-staging occurs within a column. Parametric pumping also is a compound, macroscopic, active transport system in which a species is moved at the expense of some form of energy (thermal, chemical potential) from a region of low concentration to high. The large separation capability of the direct mode of parametric pumping is demonstrated experimentally for a toluene-n-heptane mixture on silica gel. A very important theoretical phase-angle relationship between oscillatory parts of the system has been verified experimentally for this mode. New results are presented for the recuperative mode in which heat is exchanged internally. NaC1-H20 was separated in a continuous open system on ion exchange resins. Preliminary theoretical efficiencies

have been computed. The separation factors were predicted to increase gradually with each thermal-flow cycle and to be limited finally only by the mass transfer resistance to diffusion of material between solid and fluid phases and by axial diffusion.

Rolke, et. al. (6) used the experimental data of (5) for the NaCl-H<sub>2</sub>O system and developed precise modeling of open recuperative mode parametric pumping without any recycle (1,5). As Rolke, et. al. (6) explains, a computational model, based on finite difference solution of partial differential equations, is developed to describe thermal and solute concentration behavior within a recuperative parametric pumping column. Experimental data for the dilute NaCl solution-mixed bed ion exchange resin system are used to evaluate parameters in the model, which successfully simulates the time-and position-varying column profiles during an experimental NaCl-Water separation run. To model accurately this system in which interphase solute transfer is strongly intraparticle diffusion controlled and alternating in direction, intraparticle profiles must be taken into account. A mean separation factor of 1.11 and a maximum separation factor of 1.22 were obtained.

Pigford, et. al. (7) present the most easily interpreted mathematical model of the direct mode thermal parametric pump, called the equilibrium theory. Their development of this model pertains to special conditions for the batch operation of a parametric pump. According to Pigford, et. al. (7), very large separation factors have been obtained by Wilhelm and his co-workers using cycling flow of a binary mixture upward and downward through a column containing a fixed bed of solid adsorbent which is alternately heated and cooled. The theory of

such separations is developed here on the assumption of local equilibrium between solid and fluid phases. The origin of the separation is the ability of the solid phase to store solute deposited on it by fluid flowing from the bottom of the column and to release this solute later into another fluid stream which flows into the column from a top reservior containing enriched mixture. The proposed mechanisim takes into account the difference in the speeds of propagation of concentration waves through the packing during upward and downward flow. The assumptions made here simplifies the equations because no rate equations need be employed. Also, the equilibrium relationship was assumed linear, and axial dispersion was neglected. Pigford, et. al. (7) also fit their theory to the data obtained by Wilhelm, et. al. (4), which was accomplished by fitting only one parameter, the equilibrium constant change parameter b, to the data. Doing this enables b to include other effects such as dispersion and rate limitations which occur in the column and won't agree with the value obtained from equilibrium data. The expressions for the top and bottom composition are:

$$(Y_{t}) n = Y_{0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2b & n-2 \\ 2+ & - & (1-b) \\ 1+b & (1+b) \end{array} \right) ; n > 2$$

$$(A)$$

$$(Y_{t}) n = Y_{0} \quad (1-b) \\ (1+b) \quad (B)$$

If we define a separation factor  $S=(Y_t)n / (Y_t)n$  then

$$S_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 2b \\ (2+\frac{1}{1+b}) \\ 1+b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1+b) \\ (1-b) \end{pmatrix}^{n} - \frac{(1+b)}{(1-b)} ; n > 2$$
(C)  
(Patrick, et. al. (26))

Aris (8) showed that the equilibrium theory of Pigford et. al. (7) is a special case of a more general theory and derived the general theory.

Sweed, et. al. (9) presented a computational investigation of separation by direct mode liquid phase parametric pumping. This is the STOP-GO algorithm. They have simulated experimental separations presented previously by the authors (4). According to Sweed, et. al. (9), a computational investigation of separation by direct thermal mode, liquid phase parametric pumping is presented. Calculations are performed using the new STOP-GO algorithm, a modification of the method of characteristics. Using the toluene-n-heptane-silica gel system, they have determined the effect of displacement, cycle time, phase angle, and reservoir volume on separations. Separation factor increases exponentially with number of cycles, and in the case of equilibrium operation, there is almost no limit to separation capability. A graphical calculation procedure also is presented for equilibrium operation. The STOP-GO model is more realistic than the equilibrium model, however numerical computer calculations are required.

Horn, et. al. (10) studies were solely concerned with open systems. They provided the first detailed presentation with theoretical calculations via direct mode parametric pumping. Horn, et. al. (10) studied a parametric pump setup with center feed into a central reservoir and product takeoff from the two end reservoirs. This paper, however, is presented in very general terms without any detailed examples or experimental data.

Pigford, et. al. (11) employed the direct mode to the separation of a gas-solid system, methane in heluim on Pittsburgh BPL activated carbon and a liquid-solid system, acetic acid-water on Pittsburgh CAL activated carbon, via a modified version of parametric pumping called cycling zone adsorption. As Pigford, et. al. (11) relates, cyclic changes in concentration are produced in a fluid which flows through a fixed bed of solid adsorbent owing to temperature cycling of the bed. The product stream is collected separately during the periods of positive and negative deviations from the feed composition. The separation is increased by using separate zones in series, the temperature changes in adjacent zones being out of phase. The separation is governed by the wave propagation properties of the beds. This process resembles parametric pumping except that the fluid to be separated flows in a single direction through an arbitrary number of columns, instead of back and forth through a single bed. What is termed the standing wave mode of operation is the same as the direct mode of parametric pumping and what is termed the traveling wave mode of operation is the same as the recuperative mode of parametric pumping. Initial results from four experiments, a single-zone traveling wave separation of acetic acid from water, a single-zone standing wave separation of methane from helium, and single and double zone standing wave separations of acetic acid from water were presented. The two-zone system yielded greater separation capability, and the traveling wave separation proved to be better than the standing wave separation for a single zone.

Gregory, et. al. (13) extended the equilibrium theory of Pigford,

et. al. (7) to include reservoir dead (void) volume. Their studies were concerned with both open and batch systems via direct mode parametric pumping. According to Gregory, et. al. (13), an analytical solution of the model equations which describe continuous direct thermal parametric pumping systems is presented. Although the solution is strictly applicable only in the limit of equilibrium operation, it does provide insight into the qualitative behavior of nonequilibrium systems. It is shown how this analytical solution can be used quantitatively for comparing various open system configurations and operations. Earlier work on batch systems is extended to include the effect of reservoir dead volume. After modeling two open systems, three regions were discovered that related to the bottom product concentration. However, there is only one region which has a limiting concentration of zero. To achieve this limiting concentration of zero condition a constraint must be satisfied, i.e., the bottom reflux ratio (which by definition is the ratio if amount of bottom product per cycle to bottom reservoir displacement) must be greater than a limiting value which depends on the equilibrium constants.

Jenczewski, et. al. (14) employed the direct mode of parametric pumping to the separation of the gases argon-propane on Pittsburgh BPL activated carbon and, propane-propylene on Pittsburgh BPL activated carbon via a batch, constant volume system. The first two gas systems were successfully separated, but the third gas system was not. As Jenczewski, et. al. (14) relate, a closed, thermal, pulsed adsorber was studied. Separations of gaseous mixtures were obtained

experimentally for argon-propane and ethane-propane mixtures. No separation was observed for a propane-propylene mixture. An equilibrium model, the parameters of which are measured independently, provides good agreement with the experimental results. This was achieved by altering the STOP-GO model (9) to include axial mixing of the gases effected by temperature fluctuations in the adsorbent bed.

By extending the equilibrium theory of Pigford, et. al. (7), Chen, et. al. (15) have derived mathematical expressions for the performance of batch, continuous, and semicontinuous parametric pumps. Their continuous pump, characterized by a steady flow for both feed and product streams during the upflow and downflow cycles, has a truly continuous operation in nature. On the other hand, the semicontinuous pump is operated batch-wise during upflow and continuously during downflow. They have shown that under certain conditions the batch pump and the continuous and semicontinuous pumps with feed at the enriched end have the capacity for complete removal of solute from one product stream and, at the same time, give arbitrarily large enrichment of solute in the other product stream. Furthermore, they have found with experimental verification that depending upon the relative intensities of certain parameters, three distinct regions of separation exist for the three modes of pump operation. One region is characterized by the eventual and complete removal of solute at one product stream with a certain level of solute enrichment present at the other product stream. The other two regions are characterized by only partial removal of

solute from one product stream with some solute enrichment at the other end. Since in most cases complete or nearly complete removal of solute is desired, the operating parameters of the pump should be adjusted in such a way so as to insure operation in the proper region of separation.

Sweed, et. al. (16) used the STOP-GO method to simulate new data on the separation of NaCl-Water on Bio-Rad AG 11A8 ion retardation resin via batch, direct mode (including reflux) parametric pumping. According to Sweed, et. al. (16), direct thermal parametric pumping separation of a NaCl-Water ion retardation resin system have been investigated. Experimental breakthrough curves and batch parametric pumping runs were used to determine the lumped-parameter mass transfer coefficient and its dependance on velocity and temperature. Computer simulation of additional batch runs verified both the model and the STOP-GO algorithm used to solve it. Small separation factors close to 10 were obtained.

Gupta, et. al. (18) independently developed an equilibrium theory using the method of characteristics for direct mode (standing wave) cycling zone adsorption. According to Gupta, et. al. (18) cycling zone adsorption is a separation process in which fluid is passed through a series of adsorbent zones, the periodic temperature changes in adjacent zones being one-half cycle out of phase with one another. This paper presents a method for computing the separation as a function of fluid displacement, cycle time, and adsorptive equilibrium parameters. Criteria are developed for optimum separation. This analysis is

restricted to linear isotherms and instantaneous local equilibrium. The results of the computer model revealed that finite mass transfer rates and axial dispersion prevent the occurence of an infinite separation.

Baker, et. al. (19) presented both experimental and theoretical aspects of the separation of Acetic Acid-Water on activated carbon via cycling zone adsorption. As Baker, et. al. (19) relates, a theoretical explanation for cycling zone adsorption, a wave propagational separation process given a qualitative explanation in an earlier article (11), is presented. Experimental results confirming the theoretical predictions are also included. It is found that in addition to accounting for the separation effect the theory predicts the effect can be amplified through the interaction of the concentration and progressing thermal waves. Possible process schemes utilizing the interactions are discussed. One and two zone standing and traveling wave modes were applied to the model system. Somewhat better separations were obtained for the traveling wave mode. Agreement between theory and experiment was fairly good considering that the necessary equilibrium parameters were obtained from equilibrium experiments and not from a mathematical fit of the experimental runs.

Butts, et. al. (20) extended the equilibrium theory of Pigford, et. al. (7) to study separations of multicomponent mixtures via direct mode, batch parametric pumping using unsymmetrical cycles with greater flow to one of the reservoirs. As Butts, et. al. (20) explains, a new parametric pump process is described in which it is possible to cause

certain solutes to migrate upward in a chromatographic column while the other solutes migrate downward at the same time, thereby effecting separation. This new process uses a nonsymmetric flow pattern in the direct thermal mode operation. Separation can be predicted from algebraic equations developed under the assumptions of linear isotherms, no axial dispersion, and instantaneous local equilibrium. Open systems would probably effect better separation because an actual batch process would require big reservoir dead (void) volumes to enable sufficient cycling for separation before all of the liquid was pumped to the bottom reservoir.

Gregory, et. al. (21) considered the separation of NaCl-Water on Bio-Rad AG 11A8 ion retardation resin by continuous, direct mode parametric pumping where dispersive effects are significant. They mathematically modeled four open systems and one batch system using the STOP-GO theory. According to Gregory, et. al. (21), experimental separations of sodium chloride-water mixtures are presented for two distinct modes of continuous, direct thermal parametric pumping. These continuous separations are accurately simulated using a computer solution of the PDE (partial differential equation) model parameters which are obtained entirely from batch experiments. Fives modes of operation are optimized and parameter sensitivity is explored. The STOP-GO model fit the data very nicely while the equilibrium theory (13) predicted greater separation than they found experimentally. A separation factor of nearly 2 was obtained when the equilibrium theory predicted infinite separation factors. This paper lucidly shows that

equilibrium theory results should be utilized with circumspect, and that the STOP-GO algorithm shall predict experimental open system separations when the model parameters are obtained from batch parametric pumping experiments.

Chen, et. al. (22) employed the direct mode to the separation of toluene-n-heptane on silica gel via continuous parametric pumping with top feed. In this paper a continuous pump in which the feed and product streams flow steadily both in upflow and downflow is experimentally investigated in the model system toluene-n-heptane on silica gel adsorbent. The experimental data compare reasonably well with analytical results based on an equilibrium theory. The operating conditions necessary to achieve high separation factors are shown to be dependent on the relative magnitudes of penetration distances and the height of the column. In the region where the equilibrium theory predicts infinite separation factors, a separation factor of better than 600 was obtained for 14 cycles of experimental pump operation. Furthermore, more separation could be obtained with more cycles. When the pump operated in the other regions separations curtailed. By fitting the data of the run with the greatest separation, the equilibrium parameters were obtained. Again some of the nonequilibrium column effects will be incorporated in the values of the equilibrium parameters when this procedure is employed.

Chen, et. al. (23) employed the direct mode of parametric pumping to the multicomponent separation of fructose-glucose-water on fullers earth via continuous parametric pumping with top feed. The data,

however, only agrees qualitatively with what the equilibrium theory predicts. Application of the Langmuir equation yielded pure component equilibrium isotherms. The two sugars demonstrated notable differences in both their respective isotherms and their repsective equilibrium change parameters, b. Because it took two hours for the system to reach equilibrium, six hour cycle times were required when running. Due to the technique of pump operation, glucose should be completely removed from the bottom product stream and fructose only partially. From the reported b values, the separation may be approximated from the equilibrium theory (22) as being significantly larger than the experimental results revealed.

Patrick, et. al. (26) employed the direct mode to the separation of Air-SO<sub>2</sub> on silica gel via constant pressure, batch operation. Since the operation was at constant pressure, axial flow to or from one of the reservoirs must occur whenever the temperature changed. A limiting separation factor of nearly 130 was obtained, while the equilibrium theory (7) predicted larger separation. As the authors point out, the equilibrium theory does not consider the axial flow caused by the pressure changes. A model involving the axial flow should have been employed instead. The authors also indicate that pressure difference probably has more promise than temperature difference as the thermodynamic intensive variable in parametric pumping separations of gases.

Chen, et. al. (27) employed the direct mode of parametric pumping to the separation of toluene-n-heptane on silica gel via semicontinuous parametric pumping with top feed. In this paper a semicontinuous

parametric pump with batch operation during one-half cycle and continuous operation in the other half-cycle was experimentally investigated using the model system toluene-n-heptane on silica gel adsorbent. A mathematical model based on an equilibrium theory is presented and is found to be in good agreement with the experimental results. Furthermore, it is shown that when the penetration distance for the cold cycle is less than or equal to that of the hot cycle and the height of the column, the rate of production of pure solvent by this pump may become quite large compared to the rate of production by a pump in which operation is continuous during both half-cycles. The values of the equilibrium parameter discovered before (22) were utilized here. Since there was not that good of an agreement between the bottom product concentrations obtained here and those obtained previously (22), the implication is that the measured equilibrium parameters incorporate some column effects. Also, the semicontinuous mode of pump operation will have greater flow rates at the same bottom product rate.

Butts, et. al. (28) employed the direct mode to the separation of multicomponent mixtures of cations  $K^+-H^+$  and  $K^+-Na^+-H^+$  on Dowex 50 x 8 resin via batch equilibrium parametric pumping. According to Butts, et. al. (28), direct, thermal parametric pumping has been used to fractionate experimentally  $K^+-H^+$  and  $K^+-Na^+-H^+$ mixtures using Dowex 50 x 8 as the ion exchanger. The binary exchange equilibrium is influenced by temperature so that desorption of  $K^+$  and adsorption of  $H^+$ occur simutaneously on heating.  $K^+$  accumulates in the top reservoir

with separation factors exceeding 2000:1, while H+ accumulates in the bottom with separation factors exceeding 2000:1 in the opposite direction. In a ternary exchange experiment, the  $K^+$  separation factor was 52,000:1, accumulating in the top, H<sup>+</sup> was 97,000:1 in the opposite direction, and Na<sup>+</sup> almost completely disappeared from both reservoirs. The influence of resin swelling due to temperature is investigated with an equilibrium theory model. This paper seems to present a new and unexpected use of parametric pumping for complete fractionation of ionic mixtures via direct thermal mode operation.

Wankat (29) employed the direct mode and utilized two equilibrium stage theories to analyze liquid-liquid extraction parametric pumping via batch operation. As Wankat (29) explains, parametric pumping is extended to liquid-liquid extraction. Equilibrium staged theories are developed for a parametric pump with discrete transfer and equilibrium steps. Experimental results for separations of acetic acid from water using diethyl ether as a solvent are given for both a continuous flow helix and for a discrete transfer test tube system. The theoretical results predict that large separations can be achieved, but the separation factor never becomes infinite. The experimental separations achieved were less than the theoretically predicted separations but they followed the theoretically predicted trends. The qualitative prediction of the staged model follow the STOP-GO model (9) predictions. However, extraction parametric pumping does not seem to hold the promise that adsorption or ion exchange applications have. For one thing, temperature usually has negligible effect on the distribution coefficients, and for another, a cyclic system would have to rival a steady-state counter-

current system. Extraction parametric pumping does have an advantage in that no solvent recovery step is necessary.

Gupta, et. al. (30) employed a mixing cell model to simulate non-equilibrium parametric pumping via direct mode, batch operations. The equilibrium theory originally proposed by Pigford, et. al. (7) assumes the existence of local equilibrium, linear adsorption isotherms, an no axial diffusion. However all real systems do have axial diffusion and finite mass transfer rates, therefore, the equilibrium theory should not be used for design. As Gupta, et. al. (30) remarks, the mixing cell model of a packed bed is used to analyze non-equilibrium effects in linear parametric pumping. This model yields results which are more realistic than the equilibrium theory. Cyclic steady-state concentrations are determined directly without calculating the transient separations. For close to equilibrium operation, the cell model is simplified to obtain a very fast algorithm of computing parametric pumping separations. Examples are discussed for both batch and continuous multicomponent separation systems. Solutions of the cell model equations were obtained utilizing either matrix exponentiation or Laplace transforms. When the experimentally discovered mass transfer coefficients were utilized, the experimental data of Sweed, et. al. (16) fit very well.

Apostolopoulos (32) employed a perturbation approach in his study of near-equilibrium parametric pumping via the direct, thermal parametric pump as a chemical reactor. The reaction under study was a reversible catalytic reaction which needed adsorption before reaction and then
desorption. The thought was that the parametric pump would increase reaction conversion and concentrate the product. Comparison of these theoretical results with results obtained from other theories in limiting cases was not done. He presented four examples but they were not applicable to a real system.

Chen, et. al. (33) essentially presented an experimental continuation of previous papers (22, 27), where the direct mode was employed to the separation of toluene-n-heptane on silica gel via continuous and semicontinuous parametric pumping. The significant runs revealed that smaller values of the equilibrium change parameter b resulting from smaller changes in temperature yielded less separation. Also, as long as cycle time length accomodates the time required to attain equilibrium, then it is important.

Chen, et. al. (34) employed the direct mode to the multicomponent separation if toluene-aniline-n-heptane on silica gel via continuous parametric pumping with top feed. In this paper a thermal continuous parametric pump for separating multicomponent mixtures was experimentally investigated using the model system toluene-aniline-n-heptane on silica gel adsorbent. A simple method for predicting separations is presented and is found to be in good agreement with the experimental results. The method, based on an equilibrium theory, invokes the assumption that a multicomponent mixture contains a series of pseudo binary systems. Each binary system consists of one of the solutes as one component and the common inert solvent as the other component. The equilibrium change parameters, b, were 0.15 and 0.31 for toluene and aniline respectively. Each b value was obtained by fitting the binary separations. Agreement between experiment and theory equaled that found before (22, 27, 33).

Chen, et. al. (35) employed the direct mode to the separation of sodium nitrate-water on Bio-Rad AG 11A8 ion retardation resin via continuous and semicontinuous parametric pumping. In this paper, Chen, et. al. (35) examined the conditions required to obtain the optimal performance of continuous and semicontinuous parametric pumps via direct mode operations, defined by achieving of the maximum possible solute free product for a given column height. The model system sodium nitrate-water on an ion retardation resin adsorbate: was employed. Equations defining maximum values of certain important parameters such as the bottom product flow rate and reservoir displacement rate were developed by extending previous theories of parametric pumping. Experimental runs were carried out using parameters whose value were both less than and greater than the maximum values predicted for optimal performance. It was found that for pumps operating with parameter values less than the limiting maximum values, complete removal of solute from the bottom of the column was obtained, and for those pumps for which the parameters values were greater than the predicted maximum quantities only partial removal of solute was observed. The experimental results agreed quantitatively as well as qualtitatively with the theory for almost all runs.

In this paper Chen, et. al. (36) extended direct mode continuous and semicontinuous parametric pumping to the separation of isomers.

The model system studied is glucose-fructose-water on a cation exchange resin adsorbent, calcium form. A comparison is made between the experimental data and the calculated results based on the method proposed by Chen, et. al. (34). The two sugars are optically active isomers, thus when a polarimeter cell is filled with a solution of the two sugars and placed in a polarimeter, glucose will rotate the analyzer to the right (Dextro-rotatory) and fructose will rotate the analyzer to the left (Levo-rotatory). Though glucose and fructose have identical molecular weights their optical rotation is different and characterizes respective component concentrations in a particular solution. It should be pointed out that sugar mixtures are notoriously difficult to separate (Hatt, et. al. (39)) and there has never been a thorough study done on the separation of glucose and fructose, even though it might be advantageous industrially in the manufacture of fructose from sucrose via invert sugar.

### Systems Based on Pressure Cycles

Heatless adsorption or pressure swing adsorption was invented by Skarstrom (37). In this process adsorption of solute from a gaseous stream occurs at high pressure while desorption occurs at low pressure. Utilizing some of the high-pressure product stream, the bed may then be purged at low pressures. In his original demonstration of the process, Skarstrom (37) used two columns, one column adsorbing at high pressure while the gas flows up the column, and a second column would be desorbing at low pressure while the gas flows down the column. The beds would interchange after the passage of a few minutes. Skarstrom (37) however did not present any theoretical analysis.

Turnock, et. al. (17) employed pressure changes for the separation of methane from nitrogen on a Linde type 5A Molecular sieve via periodic adsorption operation. As Turnock, et. al. (17) explains, the periodic process utilizes a rapid pressure swing cycle in an adsorbent bed to effect the separation of gas mixtures. During the first portion of a cycle the compressed gas mixture flows into the adsorbent-filled column. Next, while the feed gas is restrained, an exhaust orifice is opened at the feed end of the column providing depressurization. The product stream is enriched in the component exhibiting the lowest coefficient of adsorption. A mathematical model based upon the assumption of instantaneous equilibrium between the gas phase and the adsorbed gas was formulated and solved to simulate the periodic, adsorption process. The measured nitrogen content of the product gas stream was found to correlate with the ratio of the product gas rate to the feed gas rate. At  $24^{0}$ C the calculated pressure response, feed gas flow rate, the product gas composition correspond favorably with related experimental measurements for all values of the feed gas pressure, cycling frequency, and product gas flow rate within the ranges investigated. Turnock, et. al. (17) also operated at- $77^{0}$ C and when they did, significantly less separation was obtained when the equilibrium theory predicted greater separation. Apparently rate processes become important when working at- $77^{0}$ C. Turnock, et. al. (17) also noticed a drop in flow capacity because of molecular sieve attrition. Utilization of hard spheres (24) proved to be the solution to this problem.

Kowler, et. al. (24) again employed pressure changes for the separation of methane from nitrogen via periodic adsorption operation, and discovered that there was an optimum cycle time of nearly three seconds. According to Kowler, et. al. (24) cyclic pressure variations in a fixed bed adsorber can cause significant separation of gaseous mixtures. Feed pressure changes are the driving force in this parametric pumping process. The optimal feed sequence is maximum (maximum flow in) pressure, zero flow (variable pressure), maximum flow out (minimum pressure). For the nitrogen-methane feed gas at 168kN/M<sup>2</sup> and a 1.22m bed of adsorbent, the optimal cycle time is 3 seconds, and feed is sustained 50% of the time. The zero flow mode is unnecessary if product purity is the sole objective. Also, the fixed bed binary gas adsorber when alternately fed and exhausted at one end, produces a purified product from the other end. Coupled partial differential equations in pressure and composition, representing total mass and component balances with local equilibrium describe the operation. The Maximum Principle is applied to determine the optimal cyclic, unsteady feed policy for the balanced objectives of product purity and quantity. The sequence (maximum feed, no flow, maximum exhaust) is optimal. The experimental optimum is close to the calculated optimum. Dimensional analysis is used to determine parametric effects.

In the paper of Shendalman, et. al. (25), heatless adsorption, a cyclic gas adsorption process which employes pressure changes for bed regeneration, is experimentally investigated in the model system of CO2 in excess He. Comparison is made with analytical results from a linear mathematical model of equilibrium adsorption of a trace component obtained by the method of characteristics. It is found that above a critical purge flow/feed flow ratio, the concentration of adsorbate in the product decreases with each increasing half-cycle from an initially saturated bed, while below the critical ratio an asymptotic adsorbate concentration is reached in agreement with theory. The theory predicts an exponential diminuation of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration with time. It is observed that the concentration levels decrease monotonically but not this rapidly. The model does provide considerable physical insight into the workings of heatless adsorption as well as a bound for process operation. The system CO2-heluim on silica gel was studied using a two-bed system which was similar to Skarstrom's original system (37). Shendalman, et. al. (25) employed the equilibrium theory of Pigford, et. al. (7) to model heatless adsorption since this

theory (7) was in good agreement with experimental results obtained for parametric pumping and heatless adsorption utilized the same basic principles of parametric pumping, the only complications being the repressurization and blowdown portions of the cycle. However, this was not true because where the theory (7) predicts a step decrease in concentration, the experimental results revealed a sawtooth pattern of concentration versus time. Though the local equilibrium theory does indicate the importance of the purge to feed ration, it seems that it is inappropriate to apply to heatless adsorption operation because of non-linear isotherms, rate processes, dispersion, and the intricate flow behavior during repressurization and blowdown.

Mitchell, et. al. (31) again used heatless adsorption and pressure changes on the model system of CO<sub>2</sub> in excess He except that they developed a non-equilibrium model in the attempt to model the repressurization and blowdown parts of the cycle. Transport in the pores was surmised to be the controlling mass transfer step, and they employed one lumped parameter expression to simulate the mass transfer. Isothermal column operation and axial dispersion were the assumptions adhered to again. Two assumptions that either the change of pressure was so fast that solid and gas concentrations remained constant or that the solid and gas were in equilibrium throughout the changes of pressure, were made for the repressurization and blowdown steps. The first assumption predicted more separation than was achieved, whereas the second assumption predicted considerably less separation than was

experimentally discovered. A sawtooth pattern was predicted by the new theory when concentration was plotted versus time, however it did not predict totally the effect of the purge-to-feed ratio.

### Systems Based on pH Difference

Sabadell, et. al. (12) utilized the recuperative mode to separate cations by means of a pH difference. As Sabadell, et. al. (12) explains, separations of aqueous solutions of  $Na^+$  and  $K^+$  have been obtained using a recuperative pH parametric pumping system. A chromatographic column of cation exchange resin was subjected simultaneously to an alternating axial displacement of solution and to an alternating pH gradient. The synchronous coupling of these two actions produced a concentration enrichment of 15-80% above the feed. Little separation of the two cations occurred for most of the reported runs, instead the cations were concentrated in the acidic reservoir. The ultimate separation factor found for  $K^+$  + Na<sup>+</sup> was 1.84. The neutralization reaction that is undergone in the column provides the energy for the separation. Sabadell, et. al. (12) did not try to optimize the separation but did point out that theoretical calculations for pH parametric pumping will be more complex than for thermal parametric pumping.

### EXPERIMENTAL

The laboratory scale equipment of both continuous and semicontinuous parametric pumps consisted of a jacketed glass column ninety centimeters in length and one centimeter in width which was packed with 50-100 mesh, calcium form analytical grade cation exchange resin (See Figure 1). The reservoirs at the two opposite ends of the column were two 50 cm<sup>3</sup> glass syringes operated by a dual infusion-withdrawal pump manufactured by Harvard Apparatus Company. A microswitch with stops was wired into the pump circuit to automatically reverse the action of the syringe plungers at the end of each half cycle.

The sources of hot and cold water supply were constant temperature hot and refrigerated baths. The baths were connected to the column and to recycle by solenoid valves wired to a dual timer so that hot water supply was always directed to the column jacket during upflow and cold water during downflow.

The feed was delivered to the top of the column by using a 50 cm<sup>3</sup> glass syringe as the feed reservoir and operating an infusion pump only. The product take-off valves were micrometer capillary valves used both to regulate flow and impose a small back pressure on the system.

Prior to each run the entire system, including the interstitial column volume, the bottom and top reservoirs, and the feed pump were filled with the feed mixture at ambient temperature. The reservoir syringes were set to deliver about 25 cm<sup>3</sup> per half cycle with a dead volume of  $6.8 \text{ cm}^3$  in each syringe.

At the beginning of a continuous run the feed and reservoir pumps were started and the timer was activated. The bottom reservoir syringe pumped fluid into the bottom of the column and the timer simultaneously switched the solenoids to supply hot water ( $328 \, ^{\circ}$ K) to the jacket. At the end of the hot upflow half cycle, the microswitch on the pump automatically reversed the action of the reservoir syringes and the timer simultaneously switched the solenoids to supply cold water ( $278 \, ^{\circ}$ K) to the jacket. This procedure was repeated for each cycle during which the product take-off valves were opened and adjusted for the desired product flow rates. At the beginning of a semicontinuous run, the feed pump was shut off and the product take-off valves were closed during the hot upflow half cycle. However, during the cold downflow half cycle the column operates continuously as described previously.

For most runs the total cycle time used for this study was 2,400 seconds, that is 1,200 seconds of upflow followed by 1,200 seconds of downflow. (See Appendix, Table 4, p.61)

### Method of Product Concentration Measurement and Calibration

Samples for analysis were taken from the product streams at the end of each cold half cycle for both modes of pump operation, and diluted for the purposes of measuring their respective concentrations. Depending on the run (see Table 1), 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>, 1 cm<sup>3</sup>, or 2 cm<sup>3</sup> of bottom product was pipetted int 5.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of distilled water. The dilution factors for the bottom product samples were thus (5.0 + 0.5) divided by 0.5, (5.0 + 1.0) divided by 1.0, or (5.0 + 2.0) divided by 2.0 (i.e., the concentration of the bottom product was 11, 6, or 3.5 times that of the measured, diluted samples). Similarly, 1.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of top product was pipetted into 5.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of distilled water, resulting in a dilution factor of 6.

Note that for continuous runs 6 and 8, the bottom product analytical results were obtained from the bottom product samples collected during the hot half cycle. These hot half cycle analytical results were multiplied by the factor (1-b)/(1+b) to obtain the experimental values for the cold half cycle bottom product (See theory, p.41).

The product stream samples were analyzed by an automatic polarimeter. The polarimeter readings were made at ambient temperature. A calibration table of polarimeter readings corresponding to both fructose and glucose concentrations was made by measuring carefully (using pipettes) prepared solutions of known concentration (See Appendix, Table 3, p. 60). For runs involving the binary systems (glucose-water and fructose-water) the analysis was straightforward and the concentration of solute was linearly proportional to the polarimeter reading expressed in angular degree i.e.,  $Rg = \beta_g \eta_g$ for glucose and  $R_f^0 = \beta_f \eta_f$  for fructose (curves 1 and 2 of Figure 6). In the case of the ternary system, glucose-fructose-water, the analysis was somewhat complicated. The  $R^0$  for total sugars (glucose and fructose) was assumed to be that for glucose and for fructose, i.e.

$$R^{o} = R_{g}^{o} + R_{f}^{o}$$

$$R^{o} = \beta_{f} \eta + \eta_{g} (\beta_{g} - \beta_{f})$$
(1)

where

$$\eta = \eta_g + \eta_f$$
  
 $\beta_g = 9.614 \times 10^3$   
 $\beta_f = -16.796 \times 10^3$ 

As shown in Equation 1, for a given  $\eta_g$ , a straight line results when  $R^o$  is plotted against  $\eta$  (curve 3 of Figure 6). Thus, knowing  $\eta_g$  one can determine  $\eta$  from  $R^o$  using Equation 1, and the fructose concentration  $\eta_f$  is obtained by subtraction of  $\eta_g$  from  $\eta$ . Note that  $\eta_g$  may be determined by the use of glucostat (Teller, (40)). However, for the present study  $\eta_g$  was found to be constant and equal to the feed concentration.

The feed solution was prepared using reagent grade glucose and fructose obtained from Fisher Scientific Co. The ternary runs required the aid of an analytical balance to help prepare their feed solutions since the accuracy of the glucose concentration was critical, it being a constant. Thus, another equation, which is a modification of equation 1, was developed to describe the concentration transients for both the top and bottom product streams. The initial or feed concentration,  $y_0$ , for the ternary runs was 1.423 x 10<sup>-4</sup> gmoles/cm<sup>3</sup> for each respective sugar solute, i.e., for glucose,  $y_{og} = 1.423$  x  $10^{-4}$  gmoles/cm<sup>3</sup>, and similarly for fructose,  $y_{of} = 1.423$  x  $10^{-4}$ gmoles/cm<sup>3</sup>. Now, note again that for glucose  $\eta_g = y_{og}$  throughout every ternary run. Therefore,

$$R^{o} = R_{g}^{o} + R_{f}^{o}$$

$$R^{o} = \beta_{g} \eta_{g} + \beta_{f} \eta_{f}$$

$$R^{o}/yo = \beta_{g} \eta_{g}/yo + \beta_{f} \eta_{f}/yo$$

$$\beta_{f} \eta_{f}/yo = R^{o}/yo - \beta_{g} \eta_{g}/yo$$

$$\eta_{f}/yo = 1 /\beta_{f} yo (R^{o} - \beta_{g} \eta_{g})$$

and after substitution of the numerical values of yo,  $\beta_{f},\beta_{g}$ 

$$\eta_{f}/y_{0} = \langle y_{BP2} \rangle nf/y_{0} and \langle y_{TP2} \rangle nf/y_{0} = (1 / -2.3901) (R^{0} - 1.3681)$$
 (2)

Thus the concentration measurement procedure involved obtaining bottom and top product samples, employing a dilution factor to all of the samples, then using polarimeter to obtain  $\mathbb{R}^{o}$ , and then utilizing equation 2 to obtain the ratio of fructose concentration at any time to fructose and glucose feed concentration (concentration transients) for both bottom and top product streams. The experimental results for all runs are presented in tabular form in Table 4, p. 61 and in graphical form in Figures 7 and 8, pp. 78,79. Figures 3 and 4 show the continuous and the semicontinuous parametric pumping models considered here. Flow is upward during the hot half-cycle and downward during the cold half-cycle. Each half-cycle is  $\frac{\pi}{\omega}$  time units in duration and the reservoir displacement volume is Q( $\frac{\pi}{\omega}$ ), where Q is the reservoir displacement rate. Each pump has dead or void volumes V<sub>T</sub> and V<sub>B</sub> for the top and bottom reservoirs respectively. The feed is directed to the top of the columns at the flow rate ( $\emptyset_T + \emptyset_B$ )Q. The top product flow rate is  $\emptyset_TQ$  and the bottom product flow rate is  $\emptyset_BQ$ , where  $\emptyset_T$  and  $\emptyset_B$  are ratios of the top and bottom product rates to the reservoir displacement rate.

For processes inside the column, Pigford's, et. al. (7) assumption that local interphase equilibrium exists with a linear distribution law having a temperature dependent distribution coefficient, is employed here. Also there is negligible axial diffusion, temperature changes between hot and cold cycles are instantaneous, plug flow exists, and the fluid density is constant. A further assumption that the multicomponent mixture may be treated as n pairs of pseudo binary systems. Each system includes one solute and the common inert solvent and could be characterized by a dimensionless equilibrium parameter  $b_i$  and corresponding values of the penetration distances of the hot and cold cycles  $L_{1i}$  and  $L_{2i}$ .  $L_{1i}$  and  $L_{2i}$  can be expressed in terms of  $\emptyset_B$  and the equilibrium parameter  $b_i$ . For the continuous pump

$$L_{1i} = \frac{Q(1 - \phi_B)}{A \varepsilon (1 + m_0) (1 - b_i)} \frac{\pi}{\omega}$$
(3)

$$L_{2i} = \frac{Q(1 + \phi_B)}{A_{\varepsilon}(1 + m_0) (1 + b_i)} \frac{\pi}{\omega}$$
(4)

For the case of the semicontinuous pump

$$L_{1i} = \frac{Q}{A \varepsilon (1 + m_0) (1 - b_i)} \overset{\mathcal{H}}{\omega}$$
(5)

and

$$L_{2i} = \frac{Q(1 + \phi_B)}{A \varepsilon (1 + m_o) (1 + b_i)} \frac{\pi}{\omega}$$
(6)

where A is the area normal to flow,  $\varepsilon$  is the fraction of the column occupied by void (fluid) volume, and m<sub>o</sub> is another equilibrium parameter which like b<sub>i</sub> is only a function of hot and cold temperatures. Actually, b<sub>i</sub> is a measure of the extent of movement of solute between phases as a result of a change in column temperature and may be as small as zero (as it is for glucose) in which the equilibrium distribution is insensitive to temperature or as large as unity for which the equilibrium distribution is very sensitive to temperature. The equilibrium parameter m<sub>o</sub> is a quantity proportional to the thermal equilibrium constant at the mean temperature of the cycle.

The pump performance depends on the relative magnitudes of  $L_{1i}/L_{2i}$  and the height of the column h. There are three possible regions of pump operations depending on  $L_{1i}/L_{2i}$  and h,

Region 1, 
$$\frac{L_{1i}}{L_{2i}} \ge 1$$
 (or  $\emptyset_B \le b_i$  for the continuous pump) (7)  
(or  $\emptyset_B \le \frac{2b_i}{1 - b_i}$  for the semicontinuous  
pump and  $L_{2i} \le h$ 

Region 2,  $\frac{L_{1i}}{L_{2i}} < \frac{1 \text{ (or } \emptyset_B > b_i \text{ for the continuous pump)}}{(\text{or } \emptyset_B > \frac{2b_i}{1 \Rightarrow b_i} \text{ for the semicontinuous}}$ pump, and  $L_{1i} \leq h$ 

Region 3,  $\rm L_{1i}$  and  $\rm L_{2i}$  > h

By treating the multicomponent mixture as a series of pseudo binary systems, the multicomponent separation could be predicted by the existing mathematical expressions for binary systems (Chen, et. al. (15, 22, 27)). Their derivations are not repeated here but note that it has been found that at steady state  $(n \rightarrow \infty)$  solute removal from the bottom product stream  $\emptyset_B Q$  is complete in Region 1 and only partial in Regions 2 and 3.

Now consider a mixture containing s solutes, each with its own  $b_i$  and

$$b_1 > b_2 > \cdots b_k \ge \emptyset_B > b_k + 1 \cdots > b_s$$
 (8)

where subscripts 1, 2, etc., refer to solutes 1, 2, etc. Furthermore

$$L_{2i} \leq h \quad \text{when} \quad i = 1, 2, - - - k$$

$$L_{1i} \leq h \quad \text{when} \quad i = k \ 1, - - - s$$
(9)

At steady state the components, i = 1, 2, --- k for which the operations are indicated in Region 1, would appear only in the top product stream, and the remaining components (k + 1, --- s) would appear in both the top and bottom product streams. In the extreme case where k = s the bottom product stream would consist only of pure solvent By proper adjustment of  $\emptyset_B$  in Equation 8 a solute split could be made which is analogous to that obtained by a multicomponent distillation column.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental parameters are shown in Table 4 and the data are plotted in Figures 7 to 9. The equations previously derived (Chen, et. al., (22) (27)) were used to calculate the concentration transients, and computed results corresponding to the experimental runs are also plotted in Figures 7 to 9. These results compare reasonably well with the observed values for both continuous and semicontinuous pump operations. For the continuous pump the feed and product streams flow steadily both in upflow and downflow cycles, while the semicontinuous pump is operated batch-wise during upflow and continuously during downflow.

Figure 7 illustrates concentration transients for both glucose and fructose in water. For glucose  $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  and  $\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  are essentially independent of n. This means that the separation of glucose from water does not occur to any appreciable extent, and the concentrations of top and bottom product streams may be considered to be constant and equal to the feed concentration. In other words the equilibrium distribution between glucose and the resin is insensitive to temperature and the equilibrium parameter,  $b_g = 0$  (Chen and Hill, (15)). For the case of fructose-water,  $\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  decreases as n increases and as the theory predicts, approaches zero as n becomes large. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , fructose removal from the bottom product stream can be complete and the top product stream must carry away all of the fructose supplied by the feed stream and reach a steady state value,

 $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_{00} / y_{o}$ . Consequently,  $b_{f}$  can be obtained by a method described

by Chen et. al. (22) and was found to be 0.058. Note that  $b_1$  is a function of hot and cold temperatures only.

Figure 8 shows the concentration transients for fructose in ternary systems (glucose - fructose - water). In the computations it is assumed that the system contains two pseudobinaries, each binary consisting of one solute as one component and the common solvent as the other component (i.e., glucose - water and fructose - water). Each system could be characterized by a dimensionless equilibrium parameter b<sub>i</sub> and corresponding values of L<sub>1i</sub> and L<sub>2i</sub> (Chen et. al., (34)). One can see that for all cases  $\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  decreases as n increases. The slope  $\alpha$  (of Log  $\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  versus n) is coincident with that in the binary mixture (fructose - water, Figure 7), since  $\alpha$  depends only on the values of C<sub>2</sub> and b<sub>i</sub> where C<sub>2</sub> is defined as the ratio of dead volume of the bottom reservoir to the displacement.

Chen and Hill, (15) have shown that there are three possible regions of pump operations. Regions 1, 2, and 3, depending on the relative magnitudes of penetration distances  $L_{1i}$  and  $L_{2i}$ , and the height of the column, h. As long as,

$$b_g < \phi_B \leq b_f \text{ and } L_{2f} \leq h$$
 (10)

for the continuous pump, and

$$\left(\frac{2b}{1-b}\right)_{g} < \emptyset_{B} \leq \left(\frac{2b}{1-b}\right)_{f}$$
 and  $L_{2f} \leq h$  (11)

for the semicontinuous pump, the operation is in Region 1 for fructose

and Region 2 for glucose. At steady state  $(n \rightarrow \infty)$  fructose appears only in the top product stream, but glucose would appear in both top and bottom product streams. However, as stated before for the present study  $b_g = 0$ , and the glucose concentration in either top or bottom product streams is identical to that of the feed.

It should be emphasized that the performance characteristics of both continuous and semicontinuous pumps are similar in nature. The main difference between the two pumps is the difference in the loci of switching points between Regions 1 and 2 (Chen and Hill, (15)). For the continuous pump, the switching points correspond to the condition  $\emptyset_{\rm B} = {\rm b_i}$ . In the case of the semicontinuous pump, the condition is  $\emptyset_{\rm B} = {\rm 2b_i}/(1 - {\rm b_i})$ .

Figure 9 shows the effects of  $\emptyset_T$  and  $\emptyset_B$  on the degree of enrichment in the top product stream.  $\emptyset_T$  and  $\emptyset_B$  are defined as the ratios of the top and bottom product flow rates to the reservoir displacement rate. Provided that the pumps are operated in Region 1 (see Eqs. 10 and 11), the top product concentration at  $n \rightarrow^{\infty}$  would be  $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_{\infty} / y_0 = 1 + \emptyset_B / \emptyset_T$ . As  $\emptyset_B$  increases,  $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_{\infty} / y_0$  increases. Furthermore, for a given value of  $\emptyset_B$ , by adjustment of  $\emptyset_T$  to an arbitrarily low value one may obtain an arbitrarily high value of  $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_{\infty} / y_0$ .

This last point is graphically illustrated via theoretical results in Figs. 10 and 12, where the number of cycles of pump operation (n) is extended to 100 cycles. Note that when  $\emptyset_B$  and  $b_i$  are increased (Figure 12), increasingly higher degrees of enrichment in the top product stream are obtained.

Figures 11 and 13 represent theoretical separation factors for the glucose-fructose-water system again for 100 cycles of pump operation. They take the form of the graphs of the concentration transients, because they are mathematically exactly that. Expressed mathematically, the separation factors for the bottom and the top product streams are defined as  $\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_f / \langle y_{BP2} \rangle_g$  and  $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_f / \langle y_{TP2} \rangle_g$ . Now, taking the bottom product stream to serve as an example for

$$(\langle y_{BP2} \rangle / y_o)_f = x_f$$
 and (12)

$$(\langle y_{BP2} \rangle / y_o)_g = x_g$$
 (13)

$$\begin{array}{c} \left\langle y_{BP2} \right\rangle & f &= x_{f} y_{of} \\ \hline \left\langle y_{BP2} \right\rangle & g & \overline{x_{g} y_{og}} \end{array}$$
(14)

however,  $y_{of} = y_{og} = 1.423 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gmoles/cm}^3$ and  $x_g = 1$  (See Appendix, Fig. 7, p. 78)

Therefore

$$\frac{\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_{f}}{\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_{g}} = x_{f} = \frac{\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_{n} f}{y_{of}}$$
(15)

Similarly for the top product stream

$$\begin{array}{c} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} y_{\text{TP2}} \right\rangle & f \\ \left\langle \begin{array}{c} y_{\text{TP2}} \right\rangle & g \end{array} \right\rangle = t_{f} \\ \left\langle \begin{array}{c} y_{\text{TP2}} \right\rangle & g \end{array} \right\rangle = t_{f} \\ \begin{array}{c} y_{\text{TP2}} \\ y_{\text{of}} \end{array}$$
(16)

This is why the ordinates are labeled the way they are in Figures 11 and 13. Again it is shown that when  $\emptyset_B$  and  $b_i$  increase (See Figure 13),

 $\langle y_{TP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  increases accordingly and  $\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_n / y_o$  decreases accordingly, provided that the pumps are operated in Region 1 (See Equations 10 and 11).

### CONCLUSIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE

A simple means of predicting multicomponent separations in both continuous and semicontinuous thermal parametric pumping is presented. A multicomponent system (glucose-fructose-water) is treated as a series of pseudo binary systems. Each binary system consists of one of the solutes as one component and the common solvent as the other component. This approach permits the use of existing transient and steady state equations for binary systems (Chen and Hill, (15); Chen, et. al., (22)). Experimental data for the concentration transients agree reasonably well with the analytical predictions.

It is shown that the thermal parametric pump is capable of separating components in a multicomponent mixture (in this case, separating isomers) and, as a theoretical limit, of attaining infinite separation factors. Also, the net movement of concentration fronts through the adsorption column is found to be important in determining the pump performance. Those solutes for which the net movement is upward would, at steady state, appear only in the top product. The remaining solute(s) would appear in both the top and bottom products. In the limiting case, it is possible for the bottom product to consist solely of pure solvent. This would result when all solutes in a mixture are very strongly adsorbed or desorbed in a given cycle or when the flow rate of the bottom product is very small.

For the glucose-fructose-water model system, the optimal pump performance would probably result from the use of a semicontinuous pump

operating with a maximum displacement rate, the minimum cycle time, a greater amount of bottom product collected with no corresponding change in feed delivery, and a maximum possible difference in hot and cold temperature.

As the experimental results reveal, the glucose-fructose-water system was an equilibrium system. Also, it can again be concluded that systems having relatively smaller values of  $b_i$  will have much better steady state separations for a given cycle time.

## NOMENCLATURE

Ъ	=	equilibrium parameter, dimensionless
c <sub>1</sub>	-	top reservoir dead volume/displacement, dimensionless
C <sub>2</sub>	=	bottom reservoir dead volume/displacement, dimensionless
h	=	column height, m
L <sub>1</sub>	-	penetration distance for hot half-cycle, m
L <sub>2</sub>	=	penetration distance for cold half-cycle, m
n	-	number of cycles of pump operation
Q	=	reservoir displacement rate, cm <sup>3</sup> /s
Ro	=	polarimeter reading, angular degree
T <sub>1</sub>	. =	column temperature during upflow, <sup>O</sup> K
T <sub>2</sub>	=	column temperature during downflow, <sup>O</sup> K
Уо	=	initial or feed concentration of solute, g moles/cm <sup>3</sup>
$\langle y_{BP2} \rangle_n$	=	average bottom product concentration of solute during
		downflow at n <sup>th</sup> cycle, g moles/cm <sup>3</sup>
(y <sub>TP2</sub> ) n		averate top product concentration of solute during
		downflow at n <sup>th</sup> cycle, g moles/cm <sup>3</sup>
η	=	concentration, g moles/ $cm^3$
β	=	R <sup>o</sup> / η
Ø <sub>B</sub>	=	bottom product volumetric flow rate over reservoir
•		displacement rate, dimensionless
ØT	-	top product volumetric flow rate over reservoir
,		displacement rate, dimensionless
$\frac{\pi}{\omega}$	-	duration of half cycle, s

### SUBCRIPTS

f	-	fructose	
g	=	glucose	
i	8	solute i	
Ð	=	steady stat	e

APPENDIX









.



Figure 4 — The Semicontinuous Parametric Pump

-58



Figure 5 - The Three Regions of Parametric Pump Operation

## TABLE 3

# Calibration Data

Fructose Concentration (gmoles/cm <sup>3</sup> ) x 10 <sup>6</sup>	Net Polarimeter Reading (Angular Degree)
1110.1	-18.670
555 <b>.</b> 1	- 9.179
277.5	<b>-</b> 4.557
138.8	- 2.311
69.4	- 1.151
34.7	- 0.577
2.17	- 0.028

Glucose Concentration (gmoles/cm <sup>3</sup> ) x 10 <sup>6</sup>	Net Polarimeter Reading (Angular Degree)
1110.1	+10.943
555.1	+ 5.238
277.5	+ 2.640
138.8	+ 1.338
2.17	+ 0.030

•

### TABLE 4 - EXPERIMENTAL AND MODEL PARAMETERS

$$\frac{\pi}{\omega}^{*} = 1,200 \text{ s., } T_{1} = 328^{\circ}\text{K}, \ T_{2} = 278^{\circ}\text{K}, \ b_{g} = 0, \ b_{f} = 0.058$$

$$h = 0.9 \text{ m}, \ C_{1} = 0.272, \ C_{2} = 0.272, \ Q\left(\frac{\pi}{\omega}\right) = 25 \text{ cm}^{3}$$

$$y_{\text{og}}^{**} = 1.423 \text{ x } 10^{-4} \text{ g moles/cm}^{3}$$

$$y_{\text{of}}^{**} = 1.423 \text{ x } 10^{-4} \text{ g moles/cm}^{3}$$

							⟨y <sub>TP2</sub> ⟩∞
			Ø <sub>B</sub>	$\phi_{\rm T} + \phi_{\rm B}$	$L_{lf}(m)$	$L_{2f}(m)$	y <sub>o</sub>
1.	Glucose-Water	Semicontinuous	0.032	0.40	-	-	-
2.	Fructose-Water	Semicontinuous	0.032	0.40	0.633	0.582	1.09
3.	Glucose-Fructose-Water	Semicontinuou <b>s</b>	0.032	0.20	0.633	0.582	1.19
4.	Glucose-Fructose-Water	Semicontinuous	0.060	0.28	0.636	0.600	1.27
5.	Glucose-Fructose-Water	Continuous	0.030	0.28	0.614	0:580	1.12
6.	Glucose-Fructose-Water	Continuous	0.056	0.28	0.596	0.593	1.25
7.	Glucose-Fructose-Water	Semicontinuous	0.080	0.20	0.633	0.609	1.67
8.	Glucose-Fructose-Water	Continuous	0.056	0.20	0.600	0.598	1.39
					× •		

\* Except Run 6 for which 
$$\frac{\pi}{\omega} = 1,800$$
 s.  
\*\* For Run 1,  $y_{og} = 2.774 \times 10^{-4}$  g moles/cm<sup>3</sup>  
\*\*\* For Run 2,  $y_{of} = 2.601 \times 10^{-4}$  g moles/cm<sup>3</sup>

# TABLE 5

Experimental Results of Run # 1

 $L_1 = - L_2 = -$  Region Two

	R <sup>o</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>o</sup> TP (diluted)	∠y <sub>BP</sub> )nx10 <sup>6</sup> (diluted)	(diluted)	⟨yB₽⟩n/yo	⟨ <sup>y</sup> TP⟩n/yo
1.	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.		-	-	-	-	-
3.	+.251	-	26.06	-	1.0334	-
4.	+.250	+.417	25.95	43.29	1.0291	0.9364
5.	+.248	-	25.75	-	1.0211	-
6.	+.260	+.433	26,99	44.95	1.0703	0.9723
7.	+.248	-	25.75	-	1.0211	-
8.	+.243	+.451	25.23	46.82	1.0005	1.0127
9.	+.241	+.446	25.02	46.30	0.9922	1.0015
10.	+.232	+.450	24.08	46.72	0.9549	1.0106
11.	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.	-	." <b>_</b>		-	-	-
13.	-	-	-	-	—	
14.	-	-	-	· -	-	-
15.	-	-	-	-	. –	-
16.	-	-	-		-	-

.
Experimental Results of Run # 2

 $L_1 = 63.34$   $L_2 = 58.18$  Region One

	R <sup>0</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>O</sup> TP (diluted)	$\langle y_{BP} \rangle$ nx10 <sup>6</sup> (diluted)	(diluted)	, ⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩n/yo	⟨y <sub>TP</sub> ⟩n/yo
1.	396	_	23.62	-	0.9990	· _
2.	389	-	23.20	-	0.9812	. –
З.	<b>-</b> .349	<b>-</b>	20.81	<b>-</b> '	0.8802	-
4.	330	-	19.68	-	0.8324	-
5.	282		16.82	-	0.7114	-
6.	261	933	15.57	55.64	0.6585	1.2836
7.	·, =	-	-	-	. –	-
8.	189	-	11.27	-	0.4767	-
9.	181	-	10.79	-	0.4564	
10.	159	-1.047	9.48	62.44	0.4010	1.4405
11.	150	-	8,95	· –	0.3785	-
12.	134	-1.038	7.99	61.90	0.3379	1.4280
13.	115	· · · ·	6.86	-	0.2901	•••
14.	115	-1.005	6.86	59.94	0.2901	1.3828
15.	110	991	6.56	59.10	0.2775	1.3634
16.	098	-1.046	5.84	62.38	0.2470	1.4391

		•		1. 	
	8- 0.0	50			
PHOLUPPER=	0.368 (	28 PHON 06ER=	0.032		an an anna an an anna an anna an an an a
DIST1= 63.336	DIST2=	58.184		•	· · ·
(YT/YO)INF=	0.10870	E 01 (	YB/YC)INF=	0.00	0002 00
C1=	0.272	C2=		0.272	
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0.080	FEED=	0.400		
N	ντινή	•	<b>v</b> n/v0		٥F
N .	11719		18/10		51
1	0.100008 0	1	0.89018F	<u>an</u>	0.112345 01
2	0,10891E 0	1	0.81333E	00	0.13391E 01
· 4 · ·	0.11974E 0		0,67895E	00	0,17636E 01
6	0,12521E 0	1	0,56677E	00	0,22092E-01-
8	0.12752E 0	1	0,47313E	00	0.26953E 01
10	0.12702E 0	1	0.39496E	00	0.32160E 01
12	0,12531E 0	1	0,32971E	00	0,38006E 01
.14	0.12323E 0	1	0.27523E	00	0.44772E 01
16	0,12116E 0	1	0.22976E	00	0,52735E 01
18	0.11927E 0	1	0,19180E	0.0	0.62186E-01-
20	0.11761E 0	1	0,16011E	00	0,73456E 01
22	0.11618E 0	1	0.13366E	00	0,86925E 01
24	0.1149/E 0	1	0.11157E	00	0,10304E 02
20	0.11394E 0.	1	0,93141E-	=01	0,12233E 02
28	U.11300E U	1	0,17/526-	.01	0.14544E 02
30	0.112302 0:	1	0.64906E-	-01	0,17311E 02-
52	0,111/28 0	1	0.54182E-	-01	0.20626E 02
34		1	U.45230E-	·U1	0,24596E 02
30	U.11000E U	1	U.37/58E-	•01	0,29353E 02
38 40	0 110405 0	1	0,31519E-	.01	0.35050E 02
٧٣.	NATOTAE 0:	1	U,200125-	UI.	0,418/5E 02

## Experimental Results of Run # 3

 $L_1 = 63.34$   $L_2 = 58.18$  Region One

-	R <sup>0</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>O</sup> TP (diluted)	⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩n/yo	⟨y <sub>TP</sub> ⟩n/yo
1.	-	-	-	-
2.	036	-	0.738	-
3.	-	-	-	-
4.	002	176	0.582	1.01
5.	-	-	-	· –
6.	+.023	241	0.467	1.18
7.	-	. <b>.</b>	-	<b>-</b> .
8.	+.031	-	0.430	-
9.	+.049	-	0.347	-
10.	+.047	320	0.356	1.38
11.	+.058	· <b>_</b>	0.305	-
12.	-	<b></b> 334	-	1.41
13.	+.065	-	0.273	-
14.	+.071	352	0.246	1.46
15.	+.073	· –	0.236	-
16.	+.079	349	0.209	1.45
17.	+.080	-	0.204	-
18.	+.085	-	0.181	*

雅雅雅教华华华华华华华华华华	•		÷				
H= 90.000	B= 0.058	8					
(PHO)UPPER=	0.168(P)	10)LOHER=	0,032				·····
DIST1= 63.336	DIST2=	58,184		•			
(YT/YU) INF=	0.11905E	U1 (YB/	YUJINF=		0.00000E	00	
			A 225	_0.,272			· · · ·
(PHO)LOWER/PEED-	0.100	FECU=	0,200				
	· • •						
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} $	YT/YU	i e i d <u>e</u> l	YB/Y0			SF	
		<u>.</u>	- 경찰 관람 관람	ta na ta ta			1925 (1927)
<u> </u>	0-10000E-01-	· · ·	0.89018E	_00	درد را میشند کار در مرکز از میشند میشند.	0,112346	_01
2	0.10891E 01		0.81333E	00		0,13391E	01
4	0,12335E 01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,67895E	00		0.18168E	01
<u>6</u>	0.13424E 01	la a concernante de la	0.566//E	00		0.23684E	01
	0.14193E 01	الان روزی در از این سب از این	0,47313E	00		0,30008E	01
	0.14599E 01		0.39496E	00		0,36963E	01
12	0.14741E 01		0.329/1E	00	<u> </u>	<u>0.44/10E</u>	
14	0,14715E 01		0.27523E	00		0,53464E	01
16	0.14584E 01		0.22976E	00	•	0,63476E	01
18	0.14393E 01		U.1918UE	00		0.750405	
20	0.141/1E 01		0.16011E	00		0,885065	01
22	0.139392 01		0.13366E	00		0,10429E	02
24	<u>0.13710E 01</u>		U_1115/E_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,12288E	02
26	0,13493E 01		0.93141E-	-01	·	0,14486E	02
28	0,13291E 01		0.77752E-	-01	• •	0,17094E	02
30	0.13108E 01		0.64906E-	-01		<u>0.20195E</u>	02
32	0,129448 01	•	U.54182E.	-01	•	- 0,23889E	20
34	0.12798E 01	· · ·	0,45230E-	-01		0,28295E	02
36	U.12670E_01_		U.37/58E-	- U 1		0.3355/E	
38	0.12558E 01		0.31519E-	-01		0,39844E	02
40	0.12462E 01		<b>0,2</b> 6312E	-01		0,47361E	02

# Experimental Results of Run # 4

 $L_1 = 63.59$   $L_2 = 60.00$  Region One

	R <sup>O</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>o</sup> TP (diluted)	⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩ n/yo	<pre>yTP&gt; n/yo</pre>
1.	-	—	-	-
2.	-	-	-	-
3.		-	-	-
4.	+.012	153	0.542	0.956
5.	-	-	-	-
6.	+.053	221	0.439	1.13
7.	-		-	-
8.	+.081	<del>_</del> ·	0.369	
9.	-	-		-
10.	+.111	306	0.294	1.34
11.	_	-	-	-
12.	+.105	342	0.309	1.43
13.	-	-	. –	-
14.	+.123	327	0.264	1.39
15.	-	-	• –	- -
16.	+.127	330	0.254	1.40
17.	<b>-</b> .	-	-	<b>•••</b> .
18.	+.143	-	0.213	-
19.	-	·	-	-
20.	+.148	-	0.201	

			• • •		•
****		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -			
	D- 0.0	5.0			
- <u>PHOIDPER</u> =	a.22a v.v	28 2001:3688-	0 0 0		· .
DIST1= 53 587	01510-	50.000	، ۱۹۹۰ میں میں کیا تھا تھا تھا کہ میں میں میں میں میں کر کیا ہے۔ ۱		
(YT/YC)INF=	0 12727	F 01 (	YRIYCITNES	n 00000F	00
C1=	0.272			n 272	
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0.214	FEED=	0.280		
	· 11.5	•			
N	YTYD		YB/YO		SF.
			집 같은 것 같은		
<b>_</b>	0.10000000	1	0.89018E	00	0.11234E 01
2	0.10915E 0	1	0,81333E	00	0.13420E 01
4	0.12298E 0	1,	0,67895E	00	0.18113E 01
6	0,13244E 0	1	0.56677E	0.0	0.23367E 01
<b>8</b>	0,138912 0	1	0.47313E	00 日日 電話	0.29359E 01
10 <b>10</b>	0.14309E 0	<b>4</b> .	0.39496E	0.0	0.36229E 01
12	0.14470E 0	1	0.32971E	00	0.43905E 01
14	0,14486E 0	1	0.27523E	00	0,52609E 01
16	0.14391E 0	1	0.22976E	00	0,62633E 01
18	0.14253= 0	1	0.19130E	00	0.74311E 01
20	0.140946 0:	1	0.16011E	00 (1.) (1.)	0.88029E 01
22	0.139335 0.	<u>1</u> , -	0.13366E	Ú0	0,10424E 02
24	<u>0.1377/E 0</u> :	1	0.11157E	00	0.12348E 02
26	0.13634E 0:	1	0.93141E-	-01	0.14638E 02
28	0.13505E 0:	1	0,77752E-	-01	0.17369E 02
30	0.13001E 0	1	0.64906E-	- 01	<u>0.20630E_02</u>
32	0.13290E 0:	L	0.54182E-	- 01	0.245298 02
34	0.132048 0:	L	0.45230E-	-01	0.29193E 02
	0.131306 0		0.37758E-	• 01	0.34774E_02
38	0.13466E 01	L	0.315196-	-31	0.41455E 02
40	0.13012E 01	L	0.26312E-	-01	0.494558 02

- 6

Experimental Results of Run # 5

 $L_1 = 61.38$   $L_2 = 58.02$  Region One

	R <sup>0</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>o</sup> TP (diluted)	⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩ n/yo	⟨y <sub>TP</sub> ⟩ n/yo
1.	-	-	-	-
2.	-	-	· -	-
3.	-	<b>-</b> .	. –	-
4.	+.010	210	0.547	1.10
5.	<b>-</b>	. <b>-</b>	, —	-
6.	+.039	227	0.474	1.14
7.	-	· _	-	-
8.	+.073	Roma de la constante de la const	0.389	-
9.		<b></b>	-	-
10.	+.077	239	0.379	•
11.	-	-	-	• -
12.	+.080	241	0.372	1.18
13.	-	-	-	-
14.	+.052	229	-	1.15
15.	-	-	-	-
16.	+.052	250	-	1.20

						· · · ·			
	***	****					•		. *
	H= 4	90,000	8=	0.058	• .				•
	(PH0)UF DIST1= (YT/Y0)	PPER= 61.377 )INF=	0,250 DIS 0,11	(PHC T2= 1995 C	))LOKER= 58.015	0.030 YB/YC)INF=	·	0 00000E	0
	C1= (PH0)L(	OWER/FEED=	0.272	07	C2= FEED=	0,280	0.27	2	
	N		YT/Y0			YB/Y0			SF
-	1 2 4		0,10000 0,10711 0,11533	E 01 E 01 E 01		0.89018E 0.81333E 0.67895E	00 00 00	· · · ·	0.11234E 01 0.13170E 01 0.16987E 01
	6 8 10		0.11920 0.12101 0.12186	E 01 E 01 E 01		0,56677E 0,47313E 0,39496E	00 00 00		0,21031E 01 0,25577E 01 0,30855E 01
	12 14 16		0.12175 0.12085 0.11973	E 01 E 01 E 01	-	0.32971E 0.27523E 0.22976E	00 00 00		0,36927E 01 0,43909E 01 0,52109E 01
	18 20 22		0.11861 0.11759 0.11670	E 01 E 01 E 01	<del>.</del>	0,19180E 0.16011E 0.13366E	00 00 00		0.61838E 01 0.73441E 01 0.87311E 01
2	24 26 28		0.11594 0.11529 0.11475	E 01 E 01 E 01		0,11157E 0,93141E 0,77752E	00 -01 -01		0,10391E 02 0,12378E 02 0,14759E 02
	30 32 34		0.11430 0.11392 0.11360	E 01 E 01 E 01		0,64906E 0.54182E 0,45230E	-01 -01 -01		0,17610E 02 0,21025E 02 0,25116E 02
	36 38 40	files an airseiteiten an anathrain an ann an a	0,11334 0,11311 0,11293	E 01 E 01 E 01		0,37758E 0,31519E 0,26312E	-01 -01 -01		0.30017E 02 0.35887E 02 0.42920E 02

Experimental Results of Run # 6

 $L_1 = 59.57$   $L_2 = 59.32$  Region One

	R <sup>0</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>0</sup> TP (diluted)	⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩ <sup>*</sup> n/yo	y_n/yo
1.	-		-	-
2.	-	-	-	-
3.	-		-	-
4.	084	232	0.619	1.15
5.	-	-	-	-
6.	+.015	258	0.490	1.22
7.	-	-		-
8.	+.074	273	0.413	1.26
9.	-	-	-	-
10.	+.069	291	0.419	1.30
11.	-	-	-	
12.	+.058	305	0.380	1.34
13.	-	-	-	-
14.	+.067	299	0.360	1.32
15.	-	-	-	-
16.	+.087	289	0.315	1.30

$$\frac{\langle y_{BP_2} \rangle n}{y_0} = \left( \frac{1-b}{1+b} \right) \quad \frac{\langle y_{BP_1} \rangle n}{y_0}$$

- 微学家学校学校学校学校学校学校	D= 0.05			
H= A0,000	B = 0.050			
nisti = 59.567	0.•224 <u>(</u> ) • DISTO=	50-316		
(YT/Y0) INF =	· 0.12500E	01 (YB/YO)INF=	0 0000F 00	1. 21. 3 2. 24. 3 2. 24. 4
C1 =	0.272	C2=	0.272	
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0.200	FEED= 0,280		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
N	YT/YO	<b>Y</b> B/YQ		SF
	0 10000F 01	<u>0_801185</u>		112345 01
2	0.10745E 01	0.81333E		13211E 01
<b>4</b>	0.11653E 01	0,67895E		17164E 01
6	0.12114E 01	0,56677E	00 00	.21374E 01
	0,123488 01	0.47313E	00	,26098E 01
	0.12466E 01	0,39496E	00 .	.31564E 01
12	0.1252/E 01	0.32971E	00	.37993E 01
14	0.1255/E 01	0.27523E	00 0	45623E 01
10		0,229/05	00 0	,54/21E U1
20	0.125006 01			-00092E UL
20	0.125866 01			04170E 01
24	0.12587F 01	0.11157F	no 0	11282F 02
26	0.12588F 01	0.93141E	-01 0	13515E 02
28	0,12588E 01	0.77752E-	-01 0	.16190E 02
30	0.12588E 01	0,64906E-	-010	19395E 02
32	0.12588E 01	0,54182E-	-01 0	,23234E 02
. 34	0.12589E 01	<b>0,452</b> 30E-	-01 0	,27832E 02
36	0.12589E_01_	0.37758E-	- 0 10	.33340E 02
38	0.12589E 01	0.31519E-	-01 0	.39939E 02
40	0.12589E 01	<b>0.263</b> 12E-	-01 0	,47844E 02
				•

Experimental Results of Run # 7

 $L_1 = 63.35$   $L_2 = 60.90$  Region One

	R <sup>0</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sup>O</sup> TP (diluted)	⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩n/yo	⟨y <sub>TP</sub> ⟩ n/yo
1.	-	-	-	-
2.	-	. •·•	-	•
3.	<b>-</b> .	-	· <b>-</b>	-
4.	+.026	240	0.507	1.17
5.	· <b>_</b>	. –	-	
6.	-	-	-	-
7.	-	-	-	· – ·
8.	+.077	381	0.379	1.53
9.	-	-	-	-
10.	+.093	412	0.339	1.61
11.	-	-	-	-
12.	+.115	432	0.284	1.66
13.	-	-	-	<b></b> .
14.	+.125	495	0.259	1.82
15.	-	-	-	-
16.	+.138	525	0.226	1.89
17.	-	-	-	. –
18.	+.140	-	0.221	-
19.	-		-	-
20.	+.142	· 🕳	0.216	-

<b>环激作成功作作本本的非常效素</b>				`
H= 90,000	B= 0.058			
(PHO) UPPER=	0.120 (PH	0,080	n National Sector Marcana, provide Sector Contraction (Sector) provide Sector (Sector) provide Sector (Sector) (Sec	aan ar senaat oo shi chi chi chigana ay ay ay ahaa kata dhad ah is aa aana ay ahaan ahaan ahaan shaan shaan sh
DIS[1= 63.3	4/ DIST2=	60·90±	• • • • • • • • • • •	
(YT/YO)INF=	0,166678	U1 (YB/YC)INF=	500000,0 <u>0</u>	n <b>o</b>
<u>C</u> 1=	<u>U.2/2</u>		0.272	ور الم الحمال الم الم المراجع الم الله الم
(PHO)LOWER/FEE	D = 0,400	FEEU= 0.200		
	×			
N	YT/YO	YB/YO		SF
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u>     1                               </u>	0.10000E 01	<b>0.89018</b> E	0.0	0.11234E 01
2	0.10932E 01	<b>0.81333</b> E	0.0	0.13442E 01
4	0,12542E 01	<b>0.67</b> 895E	0.0	0.18472E 01
6	0.138625 01	<b>0,5</b> 6677E	<u>D n</u>	0.24457E 01
8	0.149442 01	<b>0.47313</b> E	θŊ.	0.315868 01
10	0.158325 01	<b>0.</b> 39496E	0.0	0.400358 01
12	0.165615 01	0.32971E	<u>0 0</u>	0.502288 01
14	0.1712/E 01	<b>0.27</b> 523E	0.0	0.622278 01
16	0.17501E 01	0,22976E	6 A	0.761725 01
18	0.17733E 01	<b>0,1918</b> 0E	0.0	0.92454E 01
20	0,178598 01	<b>0.1</b> 6011E	0.0	0,11154E 02
22	0.17911E 01	0.13366E	0.0	6.13401E 02
24	0.17909E 01	0.11157E	<u>0 n</u>	0.160518 02
26	0.175718 01	0.93141E	-01	0.19187E 02
28	0,17809E 01	<b>0.777</b> 52E	-01	0,22915E 02
30	0.17733E 01	<b>0.64</b> 906E	-01	0,27321E 02
32	0.176498 01	0.541826	-01	0,32573E 02
34	0.17562E 01	0.45230E	<del>-</del> 0 <u>1</u>	0,388285 02
36	0.17476E 01	<b>0.37</b> 758E	-01.	0.46286E 02
38	0.173935 01	0.31519E	→ :) <b>(</b>	0.351338 02
40	0.173158 01	0.26312E	-01	0,65808E 02

		L <sub>1</sub> = Hot Half	60.02 L <sub>2</sub>	= 59.77 Regi	on One
		Cycle R <sup>0</sup> BP (diluted)	R <sub>TP</sub> (diluted)	⟨y <sub>BP</sub> ⟩ n/yo	⟨y <sub>TP</sub> ⟩ n/yo
1.		-	-	-	-
2.		-	-	-	-
3.		· -	-	с. ск <b>е</b>	-
4.		020	208	0.617	1.09
5.		<b>_</b> .	-	-	<b>_</b> ·
6.		+.013	-	0.543	-
7.		-	-	• -	· · ·
8.		+.043	278	0.476	1.27
9.		-	-	-	-
10.		+.071	312	0.414	1.36
11.		-	-	-	_
12.		+.105	322	0.338	1.38
13.	•		-	-	-
14.		+.122	330	0.300	1.40
15.		_	-	-	
16.		+.140	334	0.260	1.41

Experimental Results of Run # 8

C1=	0.272	(2=		0.272			
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0.280	FEED=	0.200				
N	YT/YO		<b>A</b> B\X0	-		SF	
1 2	0.10000E 01 0.10797E 01		0,89018E 0,81333E	00		0.112	34E 01 75E 01
6 8	0,12690E 01 0,13167E 01	-	0.56677E 0.47313E	00		0.223	90E 01 29E 01
10 12 14	0,13671E 01 0,13798E 01		0,32971E 0,27523E	00		0.341	63E 01 31E 01
<u>16</u> 18 20	-0.13879E-01 0.13932E 01 0.13966E 01		0,22976E 0,19180E 0,16011E	00 00 .00		0,604 0,726 0,872	08E_01 38E 01 25E 01
22 24 26	0.13987E_01 0.14001E_01 0.14010E_01	•	0,13366E 0,11157E 0,93141E	00 00 01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.104	65E 02 49E 02 42E 02
28, 30	-0+14016E-01- 0,14020E 01		0.77752E- 0.64906E-	-01		0.180	27E_02_ DOE 02
32 34 36	0.14022E 01 -0.14024E_01_ 0.14025E 01		0,54182E- 0,45230E- 0,37758E-	-01 -01 -01		0.258	15E_02_ 15E_02_ 15E_02
38 	0.14026E 01	· · ·	0,31519E-	-01		0,444	98E 02













(PHO)UPPER= 1	0.240 (P)	40)LONER=	0,056		
DIST1= 60.021	DIST2=	59.769	····		• -
(YT/YU)INF=	0.12333E	01 (YB.	(YC) INF=	0.00000E	00
	0.272	C2=		0.272	
(PHU)LUWER/FEED=	0.189	FEEDE	0.296		
Ν.	YT/Y0		YB/YO		SF
1	0.10000E 01		0.89018	E 00	n.11234E
2	0.10735E OÏ		0.81333	E 00	0.13199E
4	0.11603E 01		0.67895	E 00	0.17089E
6	0.12022E 01		0.56677	E 00	0.21212E
8	0.12225E 01		0.47313	E 00	0.25839E
10	0.123245 01		0.39496	E 00	0.31202E
12	0.12371E 01		0.32971	E 00	0.37522E
14	0,12394E 01		0.27523	E 00	0.45032E
16	0.12406E 01		0.22976	E 00	0.53994E
18	0.12411E 01		0,19180	E 00	0.64708E
20	0.12414E 01		0.16011	E 00	0.77531E
22	U.12412E 01		0.13366	E 00	0.92886E
24	U-12417E 01	· · ·	0.11157	E UO	0.11127E
20	U.12410E 01		0.93141	5-01 C	0.13330E
20	0,124106 01	i.	0.///52	E-01	0.13969E
30	U+12410E U1		0.54900	E=01	0+191295
34	0.124102 01		0.24102	E#U1 E`04	0.229128
34	0 104465 01		0 77750	E Ul	0.2/4016
78	0 124106 01		0.3//30	E=01 E_04	0.320045
40	0 124165 01		0.01017	C-01 C-04	0.070920
40	0 124165 01		0.20012	E-04	0.4/100C
44	0.124165 01		0.48336	E-04	0.505202
46	0.124165 04		0.15306	E_01	6 81117E
48	0.12416E 01		0.12777	F_01	0.071725
50	0.12416E 0	- -	0.16666	E-01	0.11640E
52	0.12416F 01		0.89040	E=02	n.13944E
54	0.12416E 01	•	0.74329	E+02	n.16704E
56	0.12416E 01	• •	0.62049	E=02	0.20010E
58	0.12416E 01		0.51797	E-02	n.23971E
60	0.12416E 01		0.43239	E-02	0.28715E
62	0.12416E 01		0.36095	E-02	0.34398E
64	0.12416E 01		0.30132	E-02	0.41206E
66	0,12416E 01	,	0.25153	E=02	0.49361E
68	0.12416E 01		0,20998	E=02	0.59131E
70	0.12416E 01		0.17528	E-02	0.70834E
72	0.12416E 01		0,14632	E-02	0.84853E
74	0.12416E 01		0.12215	E-02	0.10165E
76	0.12416E 01		0.10197	E=02	0.12176E
78	0.12416E 01		0.85121	E=03	0.14586E
80	0.12416E 01		0.71057	E-03	0.17473E
82	U.12416E 01		0,59317	E-03	0.20932E
04	U.12410E 01		0.49517	E-03	n.25074E
50 80	U+12410E 01		0.41336	E-03	0.30037E
00	U.12410E 01		0.34506	E=03	0.35982E
A0 A0	U.12410E 01		0.28805	E-03	0.43103E
22	U.12410E 01		0.24046	E-03	0.51634E
74	U.12410E 01		0.200/3	E-US	0.61854E
70 0.0	U+12410E 01		0.16/0/	E = U 3 E = 0 7	N.74096E
100	0.124102 01		U+13700	C-US E-07	0,00/6UE
TOD	0+12410E 01		U.110//	C * U 3	0.100335

.

DIST1= 60.020	DIST2=	59.769	0) TNĖ-	0 000005	80
	0.120012	C2=	UVINFE	0.000000	00
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0,219	FEEDE	0,256		
N ·	YT/Y0		YR/YO		SF
1	0.10000E 01		0.89018E	00	n.11234E
2	0.10760E 01		0.81333E	00	0.13229E
- 1 ( <b>4</b> - 1) (1	0.11734E 01		0.67895E	00	0.17283E
6	0.12265E 01		0.56677E	00	0.21640E
8	0.12554E 01		0.47313E	00	0.26533E
10	0,12/11+ 01		0.39496	00	0.32183E
12 4 A	0.128445 0		0.329/10	00	0.30013E
16	0.12869E 01		0.22976E	00	0.56011E
18	0.12883E 01		0.19180E	00	n.67168E
20	0.12890E 01		0.16011E	00	0.80509E
22	0.12895E 01		0.13366E	00	0.96474E
24	0.12897E 01		0.11157E	00	0.11559E
26	0,12898E 01	÷	0,93141E	-01	0.13848E
28	0+12897E U1		0,///526	HU1	0.102895
30	0.12899E 01		0.541825	-01	0.190/30
34	0.12899E 01		0.45230E	-01	0.28519E
36	0,12899E 01		0.37758E	-01	n.34164E
38	0.12899E 01		0.315195	-01	n.40925E
40	0.12899E 01		0.26312E	-01	0.49025E
42	0.12899E 01	r	0.21965E	-01	0.58728E
44	0,12899E 01		0,18336E	-01	0.70352E
40	0+120775 01		0.153000	-04	0.842/05
50	0.128995 01	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	0.10666E	-01 -01	0.100902
52	0.12899E 01		0.89040E	-02	0.14487E
54	0.12899E 01		0.74329E	+02	n.17354E
56	0.12899E 01		0.62049E	-02	0.20789E
58	0.12899E 01		0.51797E	-02	0.24904E
60	0.12899E 01		0.43239E	-02	0.29833E
62	0,12899E 01		0.36095E	-02	0.35737E
66	U+12077E U1		0.301326	-UZ	0.420105
68	0.12899E 01		0.201200	-02	0.414335
70	0.12899E 01		0.17528E	-02	n.73591E
72	0.12899E 01		0.14632E	<del>~</del> 02	0.88156E
74	0.12899E 01		0.12215E	-02	0.10560E
76	0.12899E 01	•	0.10197E	-02	0.12651E
78	0,12899E 01		0,85121E	•03	0.15154E
80 80	0.12899E 01		0.71057E	₩03	0,18154E
02 84	U+12099E 01		0.5931/E	≂US ∴oz	0.21747E
86	0,12899E 01		0.4971/6		0.20031E
88	0.12899F N4		0.345065	-03	0.373935
90	0.12899E 01		0.28805F	m 0 3	6.44781F
92	0.12899E 01		0.24046E	-03	0.53644E
94	0.12899E 01		0.20073E	-03	0.64262E
96	0.12899E 01		0.16757E	-03	0.76980E
98	0.12899E 01		0.13988E	-03	n.92216E
100	0.12899E 01	-	0.11677E	-03	n.11047E

•

.

(PHO)UPPER=	0.144 (P	H LO)IOWER=	0.056		
DIST1= 60.022	DIST2=	59.769			
(YT/YO)INF=	0.138896	01 (YB/Y	D)INF=	0.0000E	00
	0,272	_C2=		0,272	
(PHU)LUWER/FEED=	0.280	FEED=	0,200		
N	YT/Y0		VRZYO		6 <i>1</i>
					5° .
<b>1 1 1 1</b>	0,10000E 01	· · ·	0.89018E	00	0.11234E D
	0.119498 01		0.67895E	00	n 17500E 0
6	0.12690E 01		0.56677E	00	n.22390F 0
8	0,13167E 01		0.47313E	00	n.27829E 0
10	0.13473E 01		0.39496E	00	0.34113E 0
12	0,13671E 01		0.32971E	00	n.41463E 0
14	0.13798E 01		0.27523E	00	0.50131E 0
16	0.13879E 01		0.22976E	00	0.60408E 0
18	0.13932E 01		0.19180E	00	0.72638E 0
20	0,13909E U1	4	0.16011E	00	0.87225E 0
24 24	0+1390/E U1	• `	0.130000	00	0.10465E 0
26	0,14011E 01	1. A.	0,1115/6	_UU _04	0,12249E U
28	0.14016F 01		0.777526	-01 -04	N.12042E U
30	0.140205 01		.0.64906E	-01	0 1002/E U
32	0.14022F 01		0.54182E	-01	0.258806.0
34	0.14024E 01		0.45230E	=01	0.31005E 0
36	0.14025E 01		0.37758E	-01	0.37145E 0
38	0.14026E 01		0.31519E	01	0.44498E 0
40	0.14026E 01		0.26312E	-01	0.53307E 0
42	0.14026E 01	and the states of a second state	0.21965E	-01	0,63858E 0
44	0.14026E 01		0.18336E	-01	0.76498E 0
46	0,14026E 01		0.15306E	-01 .	0.91639E 0
48	0,14027E 01	•	0.12777E	-01	0,10978E 0
	0,1402/E 01		0.1000E	-01	0,13150E 0
52	0 140278 01	. •	0,89040E	-02	0,15753E 0
56	0.14027E 01	10 T	0./43292	•02	0,100/1E U
58	0.140275 00		0.620776	-02	0,220000 0
60	0.140278 01		0.43239E	-02	0.27000E 0
62	0.14027E 01		0.34095E	-02	0.38860E 0
64	0.14027E 01		0.30132E	-02	0.46551E 0
66	0.14027E 01		0,25153E.	•02	n.55764E 0
68	0.14027E 01		0.20998E	-02	0,66801E 0
70	0.14027E 01		0.17528E	•02	ñ.80022E 0
72	0.14027E 01		0.14632E	-02	n.95860E 0
74	0.1402/E 01		0.12215E	•02	0.11483E 0
70	0,1402/E 01		0,10197E	-02	0.13756E 0
70 ·	0 140275 04		0.851216	=03	0.16479E 0
82	0.140275 04		0./102/2		0.19/408 0
84	0.14027E 01		0,593176	-07	0,2304/E 0
86	0.14027E 0		0.41336E	-07	0.2002/E U
88	0.14027E 01		0.34506E	-03	0.40650E 0
90	0.14027E 01		0.28805F	-03	0.486955 0
92	0.14027E 01		0.24046E.	-03	0.58332E D
94	0.14027E 01		0.20073E.	+03	n.69877E 0
96	0.14027E 01		0.16757E	-03	n.83707E 0
98	0.14027E 01		0.13988E	-03	n.10027E 0
100	0 140275 04		B 44477E	- 07	

.

•

DIST1=	60.021	DIST2=	59.76	9	· ·	
(YT/YO)IN	Fg	0.156016	01	(YB/YO)INF=	0.00008	00
C1=	0.	272	C2=		0.272	
(PHO)LOWE	R/FEED=	0,359	FEED=	0.156		
Ν.	١	7/40	-	YRZYO		SF
1	0.1	0000E 01		0.89018E	00	n.11234E
2	0.1	0829E 01		0,81333E	00	0,13314E
4	0+1	2148E 01		0.67895E	00	0,17892E
0	0.1	311/E 01		0.566//E	00	0.23143E
10	U+1 0 +	3827E U1		0.473135	00	0.29228E
10	1.0	4736E 01		0.394900	00	0.3033/E
14	0.1	50485 Di		0.327/10	00	0,440932
16	0.1	5225E 01		0.229765	00	0.66265E
18	0.1	5377E 01		0.19180E	00	0.80174E
20	0.1	.5489E 01	•	0,16011E	00	0.96741E
22	0.1	5571E 01		0.13366E	00	0.11650E
24	0,1	5632E 01		0.11157E	00	0.14010E
26	0.1	5676E 01		0.93141E	-01	0.16830E
28	0.1	5709E 01		0.77752E	-01	0.20203E
30 .	· U.1	57505 01		0.649005	-01	0.24239E
34	0.1	57635 OF		0.041020	-04	0.290096
36	0.1	5772E 04		0.492300	-01	0.340202
38	0.1	5779E 01	-	0.315198	-01	0,41//JE
40	0.1	5785E 01		0.26312E	-01	n.59990E
42	0.1	5788E 01	·	0.21965E	-01	0.71881E
44	0.1	5791E 01		0.18336E	-01	0.86122E
46	0.1	5793E 01		0.15306E	-01	0.10318E
48	0.1	5795E 01		0.12777E	-01	0.12361E
20 50	0,	5796E 01		0.10666E	-01	0,14809E
- 52 - 54	0.1	5707E 01		0.890405	=02	0.1//41E
56	0.1	57075 U1		U,/43275 0 400405	•02	0.212535
58	0.1	57985 01		0.020975	-02	0.224000
60	0.1	5798F 04		n.43239E	-02	0.307776
62	0.1	5798E 01		D.36095E	-02	n.43768E
64	0.1	5798E 01		0.301328	-02	n.52431E
66	0.1	5798E 01		0,25153E	-02	0.62808E
68	0.1	5799E 01		0.20998E	-02	0.75239E
70	0.1	5799E 01		0.17528E	-02	0.90131E
/2	0.1	5799E 01		0,14632E	+02	0.10797E
74	0.1	5799E 01		0.122156	-02	0.12934E
70	0.1	5/97E U1		0.1019/5	=02	0.15494E
80	0.1	57095 01		0.051210	≈U3 07	0.18560E
82	0.1	57995 N		0.563175	=03 _03	0,222342
84	0.1	5799E 01		0.49517E	=03	0.31906F
86	0.1	5799E 01	1.5	0.41336E	-03	0.38220E
88	0.1	5799E 01		0.34506E	-03	n.45785E
90	0,1	5799E 01		0.288055	=03	0.54846E
92	0.1	5799E 01		0.24046E	-03	0.65701E
94 04	0.1	5799E 01		0.20073E	-03	0.78705E
70 70	0.1	57095 01		0.167578		0.94282E
100	U+1	5709m A4		U.13988E	-03	0.11294E
TO0	Ų•1			U.110//E		0.132305

1. 1

86

.

	****					
	H= 90.000	B= 0.05				
	(PHO)UPPER=	0.060 (P)	O)LOHER=	0.056		
	DIST1= 60.021	DIST2=	59.769			
•	(YT/YO)INF=	0.193356	01 (YB/	YO)INF=	0.00005	80
	C1=	0.272	C2=		0.272	
	(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0.483	FEFD	0.144		
					÷	
	N	YT/Y0		YB/YO		SF
						-
	. 1	0,10000E 01		0.89018E	00	0.11234E 01
	2	0,10860E 01		0.81333E	00	0,13353E 01
	4	0.12357E 01		0.67895E	00	0.18201E 01
	6	0.13600E 01		0,56677E	00	0,23996E 01
	8	0.14632E 01		0.47313E	00	0.30925E <b>01</b>
	10	0,15488E 01		0.39496E	00	0.39213E 01
	12	0.16198E 01		0.32971E	00	0.49128E 01
	14	0.16788E 01		0.27523E	00	0.60994E 01
	16	0,17277E 01		0.22976E	00	0.75196E 01
	18	0.17683E 01		0.19180E	00	0.92195E 01
	20	0.18020E 01		0.16011E	00	0.11255E 02
	22	0.18300E 01		0.13366E	00	0.13692E 02
	24	0.18532E 01		0.11157E	00	0.16609E 02
	- 26	0.18722E 01		0.93141E	-01	0.20104E 02
	28	0.18882E 01		0.77752E	-01	0.24288E 02
	30	0,1901/E 01		0.64906	-01	0.29300E 02
	32	U.1912/E 01		0.541826	-01	0.35302E 02
	34	0.19217E 01		0.452306	-01	0.42491E U2
	30	0.19292E 01	-	0.37/588	.=01	0.51101E 02
	40	0 19330E UI		0.312175		0.014175 02
	40	0,19410E U1		0.200120	#U1	0.73/69E UZ
	44	0.194895 01	and the second second	0 403365	-04	- 0.0000/E UZ
	46	0.195196 01		0.153065	-01	0.100276 00
	48	0.19544E N		0.197775	-01	0.12752E 00
	50	0.19565F 04	and the second sec	0.106665	-01	0.48342E 03
	52	0.19582E 01		0.80040E	-02	0.21902E 03
	54	0.19596E 01		0.743295	-02	0.26363E 03
	56	0.19608E 01	•	0.62049E	-02	n.31600E 03
	58	0.19617E 01		0.51797E	-02	0.37873E 03
	60	0.19625E 01		0.43239E	-02	0.45388E 03
	62	0.19632E 01		0.36095E	-02	0,54389E 03
	64	0.19638E 01		0.30132E	-02	0.65173E 03
	66	0.19642E 01		0.25153E	÷02	0.78090E 03
	68	0.19646E 01		0.20998E	÷02	0.93564E 03
	70	0,19649E 01		0,17528E	-02	0.11210E 04
	/2	0,19652E 01		0,14632E	-02	0.13430E 04
	74	0,19074E 01		0,12215E	=02	0.16090E 04
	76	0.19650E 01		0.10197E	=02	0,19277E 04
	/8	0.19658E 01		0.851216	-03	0.23094E 04
	0U	U,19029E U1		0,/105/6		0.2/066E 04
	94	0,1900UE U1		0,2931/6	1=U3	0.331442 04
	84	0,19001E U1		0,4971/6	1 T U S	0.39/052 04
	RR .	0.106695 01		0.91000	- 07	0+4/2022 U4
	90	0.10640E 01		0.342002		0,79701E U4
	92	0.10663E 01		0.240440	-07	0.002002 04
	94	0,10663E 01		0.240402	-03	0,01//25 04
	96	0.19664E 01		0 447570	-03	U+9/73/2 U4
	98	0.19664F 04		0,130885	-03	0.11/325 02
	100	0.19664F 01		0.146775	-03	0.168405 05
		ararow w UA				DITORADE ON
	-					

•

•

· .

	(PHU)UPPER=	U.UZU. (PH	U)LUNER#	0,050		
		2 DIST2= 0 370045	57./57 04 /VD		a` a a a a a	
		0.3/9948	01 (18.	TUTINFA		: 00
	VONUNI UMERVEEEDE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 55 CZ=	0 074	0.272	•
	(FRO/LONEN/FEED		FLLDG	0.078		
	N	YT/YO		YRZYO		SF
	1	0.10000E 01		0.89018	E 00	n.11234E
	2	0.10894E 01		0.81333	E 00	n.13394E
	4	0,12600E 01		0.67895	E 00	n.18558E
•	S. 198 August and S. S. Sana and S. S. Sana and S. San	0,14202E 01	-	0.56677	E 00	0.25057E
	* <b>8</b>	0,15707E 01		0.47313	E 00	0,33197E
	10	0.17120E 01		0.39496	E 00	0,43347E
	12	0,18448E 01		0,32971	E 00	0,55953E
	14	0.19695E 01		0.27523	E 00	n.71558E
	16	0.20867E 01		0.22976	E 00	0,90819E
	18	U.21967E 01		0.19180	E 00	0.11453E
	20	0,23074E 01		0,16011	E 00	0.14365E
	24	0.248835 01		V.13300		0,1/Y37E
	26	0.257398 01	• * <u>*</u> * *	0.03441	E 00 E_04	0.220026
	28	0.26544E 01	1. 1. 1. 1.	0.77752	E-01	0.34139E
	30	0.27299E 01		0.64906	E-01	n.42060E
	32	0,28009E 01		0.54182	E-01	n.51694E
	34	0.28676E 01		0.45230	E-01	0.63399E
	36	0.29302E 01		0.37758	E-01	0.77605E
•	38	0.29890E 01	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	0.31519	E-01	0.94831E
		0.30442E 01		0.26312	E=01	0.11570E
	42	0.30961E 01	and the second second	0,21965	E=01	0.14096E
	44	0.340065 01		0.18330		0.1/1525
	48	0.323366 04		0,19300	E=01	0,200426
	50	0.32740E 01	1 × 3 • • •	0.10666	E-01	0.30695E
	52	0.33120E 01		0.89040	E-02	0.37196E
	54	0.33476E 01	in and a second se	0,74329	E=02	n.45037E
	56	0,338116 01		0.62049	E-02	0.54490E
	<sup>•</sup> 58	0.34125E 01		0.51797	E-02	0.65882E
	60	0.34420E 01		0,43239	E=02	n.79604E
	62	0.34698E 01		0.36095	E-02	0,96128E
	D4 44	0,34958E 01		0.30132	t=02	0.11602E
	00 ·	0.354TTE A4		0,25153	t=02	0.13995E
	70	0.35649= 04		0.20770	C=U2 5-02	N.100/3E
	72	0.358515 04		0.44632	E-02	0.200388
	74	0.36042E 01		0.19215	E=02	0.2995065
	76	0.362218 01		0.10197	E=02	0.355225
	78	0.36389E 01		0.85121	E-03	0.42749E
	80	0.36547E 01		0.71057	E=03	0.51433E
	82	0.36695E 01		0.59317	E-03	0.61862E
	84	0.36834E 01		0.49517	E-03	0.74387E
	86	0.36965E 01		0.41336	E=03	D.89426E
	00	0.37080E 01		0,34506	±-03	0.10748E
	90 00	0.373410 04		0.25805	E=03	0.12915E
	94	0.374130 04		U.24040 0 0x077	E#U3 E_07	D.1001/E
	96	0.37509F 04		0.20073	E=03	1,10030E
	98	0.375998 01		0.13988	E-03	0.968705
	100	0 77/075 04				0.1600-7L





*********				
H= 90,000	B= 0.10č	l		
(PHO)UPPER= (	).240 (Pi	0)LOWER= 0.09	8	
DIST1= 60.021	DIST2=	59,778		
(YT/YO)INF=	0.14083E	01 (YB/YO)INF	'= 0,00000E	00
C1=	0.272	C2=	0,272	
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0.290	FEED= 0.338	<b>B</b>	
N	YT/Y0	V		er
14			,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3,
1	0,10000E 01	0.8	818E 00	n.12222E 01
2	0,11266E 01	0.70	123E 00	0.16065E 01
4	0.12759E 01	0.5	509E 00	D.24770E 01
6	0.13481E 01	0.37	7836E 00	0.35630E 01
- <b>8</b>	0.13831E 01	0.27	793E 00	n.49764E 01
10	0,14000E 01	0.20	0415E 00	n.68576E 01
12	0.14082E 01	0.1	1996E DO	n.93904E 01
14	0.14122E 01	0.1	1015E 00	n.12820E 02
16	0.14141E 01	0,81	1915E-01	0.17476E 02
18	0,14150E 01	0.5	7430E=01	0.2380/E 02
20	U,14127E U1	0.4	5079E-01	0.324216 02
22	0,1412/E U1	0.3	20/0E#01 15576 04	0,44143E UZ
24 24	0.141585 04	0.2	3304E-04	0,00100E 02
28	0.14159F 01	0.11	711F-01	0.411398 03
30	0.14159E 01	0.9	367E-02	0.15165E 03
32	0.14159E 01	0.6	3583E-02	n.20645E 03
34	0,14159E 01	0.5	378E=02	n.28105E 03
36	0.14159E 01	0.3	7005E-02	n.38261E 03
38	0.14159E 01	0.2	7182E=02	0,52083E 03
40	0.14159E 01	0.1	9967E=02	0.70911E 03
42	0.14159E 01		4667E=02	0,96536E 03
44	0.14159E 01	0.1	n7/4E=02	n.13142E 04
40 A 9	0,14159E 01	0.7	913/E=03	0.1/891E U4
10 . 8n	0 14157E U1	0,21	5131E=U3 5700E 07	0.2407/2 04
52	0.141596 01	0.7	27002003	0,00107E 04
54	0.14159E 01	0.2	10022-00 1040F-03	0.614545 04
56	0.14159E 01	0.1	5924E=03	n.83662E 04
. 58	0.14159E 01	0.1	2431E-03	0.11389E 05
60	0.14159E 01	0.9	1316E-04	0.15505E 05
62	0.14159E 01	0,61	7076E-04	0,21108E 05
64	0.14159E 01	0.4	9271E-04	0.28736E 05
66	0.14159E 01	0.3	5192E-04	0.39121E 05
08	0.14159E 01	0.2	5585E#04	0.53258E 05
70	0.14159E U1	0.1	95286-04	0,72504E-05
72	0 14159E 01	U.1	4347E=04	0.98705E U7
76	0.141595 01	0.7	1207E#04 1200E_0E	0.104070 00
78	0.141595 00	0,7	48545-05	0.1029JE 00
80	0,14159E 01	0.4	762E=05	0.33903E 06
82	0.14159E 01	0.3	676E=05	n.46155E 06
84	0,14159E 01	0.2	2534E-05	0.62834E 06
86	0.14159E 01	0.1	552E-05	0,85541E 06
88	0,14159E 01	0.1	2158E-05	n,11645E 07
90	0,14159E 01	0.8	9310E-06	0.15854E 07
92	0.14159E 01	0.6	5603E=06	0.21582E 07
94 07	0.14159E 01	0.4	8189E-06	0.29382E 07
70 09	U,14157E 01	0,3	537/2-06	0.39999E 07
70	U+14177E U1	0.2	5090E 04	D.54454E 07
TOO	-0+14134E 01	0.1	YU77C=U0	<b>∏</b> •74132E 07
	a.			

•

/BUONIBBER- (	1,200 (8)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.008		
DICT1 _ 40 018		69 779	0.090		
	0 140015		01110-		ň
	0.179010	CO- (10/1	071NF -		/0
	0.2/2	-52=		0.272	
(PHU)LOWER/FEED=	0,929	FEEDE	0+298		
N1			<b>V</b> 8.740		er
N	TITTO		1010		3.5
4	0.10000E 01	•	0.818185	: on	n.∛2222E 0
2	0.113085 04		0.761235	00	0.46126E 0
2	0.110000 01		0 545000		- 25240E 0
4	0.129020 01		0.010076		0,27210E 0.
. 0	U.13097E U1		0.0770300		0.307342 0
8	U,14390E U1		0.27/935		0.51/998 0.
10	0,1466/E 01		0.204155	00	0,71844E U
12	0.1481>E 01		0.14996	00	0,98791E 0
14	0.14895E 01		0.110158	00	0.13522E 0
16	0.14939E 01		0.809156	-01	n.18463E 0
18	0.14963E 01		0.594368	-01	0.25174E 0
20	0.14976E 01		0.436598	-01	0.34301E 0
22	0.14983E 01		0.32070E	=01	0,46719E 0
24	0.14987E D1	•	0.235578	-01	0.63618E 0
26	0.14989E 01		D.17304E	-01	n.86620E 0
28	0.14990E 01		0.127118	-01	n.11793E 0
30	0.14990F 01		0.933676	E⇒02	0.16055E 0
32	n.14991E ni		0.685836	-02	0.21858E 0
34	0.140015 04		0.563786		5 29757E 0
36	0.140015 04		0.370056	-02	A X05115 0
30	0 140015 04		0.070020		0.407116 0
40	0 140010 01		0 400470		0.97170E 0
	01147712 01	r	0.1970/0	-02	n.79060E 0
92	U,14991E U1		0.1400/0	=02	0.102210
44	0.14991E 01		0,10//46	÷+U2	0.139198 0
40	0.149918 01		0.7913/6	===03	D.18943E U
48	0,14991E 01	<ul> <li>*</li> </ul>	0.581316	=03	0.25789E 0
20	0.14991E 01		0.427008	=03	0.35108E U
52	0.14991E 01		0.313656	=03	0.47795E 0
54	0.14991E 01		0,230408	E-03	0,65067E 0
56	0,14991E 01		0.169246	E=03	0.88581E O
58	0,14991E 01		0.124316	-03	0.12059E 0
60	0.14991E 01		0,913168	E-04	0.16417E 0
62	0,14991E 0Ï		0,670768	E-04	0.22349E 0
64	0.14991E 01		0,492718	E=04 .	0.30426E 0
66	0.14991E 01		0.341926	-04	n.41421E 0
68	0.149915 01		0.245856	-04	n.56389E.0
70	0.149916 01		0.195286	-04	n.76767F 0
72	0.149916 04		0.14345	-14	n.40451E 0
74	0.149915 01		0.105376	-04	n. 44207E 0
76	0.140015 04		0.793000		A LOTACE D
78	0,14001E 01		0 820645		U+17007E V
Ro ·	0+17771E U1		0.127200	2007 1_05	0.20000E V
80	0 440045 51		U.41/02t		0.3209/E U
0 <i>C</i>	U+14991E 01		0.300/66		0,40069E 0
04	U.14991E 01		U.22534E		0.66529E 0
00	0.14991E 01	,	0.165528	-05	0.90570E 0
88	0.14991E 01		0.121588	E-05	0.12330E 0
90	0.14991E 01		0.893108	5-06	0,16786E 0
92	0.14991E 01		0.656038	E-06	0.22851E 0
94	0.14991E 01		0,481898	-06	0.31109E 0
96	0.14991E 01		0.35397	E-06	0,42351E 0
98	0.14991E 01		0.26001	E-06	0.57656E 0
			0 40000	- 0.	

(PH	D)UPPER= (	),144 (	PHO)LOHER	= 0.098		
DIST	T1= 60.018	DIST2=	59.77	8		
(YT)	YO)INF=	0.16807	E 01	(YB/YC)INF=	0.0000E	00
C1=		0.272	C2=		0.272	
(PH	0)LOWER/FEED=	0.405	FEED=	0,242	• - • -	
	N	41/40		YB/YO		SF
· •		0.100005 0	4	0.87818	F 0.0	n. +2222E
2		0.11372E 0	1	0.7123	E 00	n.16217E
· · .4		0.13355E 0	4	0.51509	= 00 = 00	0.25927E
6		0.14631E 0		0.37836	F 00	0.38669E
8		0.15452E 0	Ĩ	0.27793	= 00 = 00	0.55596E
10		0.15980E 0	1	0.20415	E 00	0.78273E
12		0.16319E 0	1	0.14996	E 00	0.108825
14		0.165385 0	Ĩ	0.11015	E 00	n. 15013F
16		0,16679E 0	1	0.80915	E-01	n.20613E
18		0.16769E 0	Ĩ.	0.59436	E-01	n.28214F
20		0.16827E	1	0.43659	E=01	0.385435
22		0.16865F M	i i	0.32070	E-01	n.525875
24		0,16889E	1	0.23557	E-01	0.716035
26		0.16904E	1	0.17304	Ē-01	0.076015
28		0.16914E 0		0.12711	F_04	0.43307E
30		0.16921E 0	4	0.93367	E=02	8 48123E
32		0.16925E 0		0.68583	02 F_02	0.101235
34		0.16928F 0	4	0.50378	E-02	A 33601E
36	· · ·	n.16929E	÷	0.37005	E-02	0,30001E
38	. , · · ·	0.16930F 0		0.07002	-02 F-03	A 422845
40		0.16931E 0		0.40967	E_02	0 • 8220~C
42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.16931E 0	1	0.44667	E_02	8 41544E
44		0.16932F 0		0.10774	E-02	01112775
46		0.16932E 0	1	0.79137	E-03	0.01306E
48	1	0.16932E 0	1	0.58131	E-03	n.29128E
50		0.16932E 0	Ĩ	0.42700	E-03	0.39654E
52		0.16932E 0	1	0.31365	E=03	0.53984E
54		0.16932E 0	1	0.23040	E=03	n.73492E
56		0.16932E 0	1	0.16924	E=03	0.10005E
58		0.16932E 0	Ĩ	0.12431	E-03	0.43620E
60		0,16932E 0	1	0.91316	E+04	n.48543E
62		0.16932E 0	1	0.67076	E-04	n.25243E
64		0.16932E 0	1	0.49271	E-04	0.34365F
66		0,16932E 0	1	0.36192	E+04	n.46784F
68		0,16932E 0	Ĩ	0.26585	E-04	n.63691E
70		0.16932E 0	1	0.19528	E-04	0.86707F
72		0.16932E 0	ĺ	0.14345	E+04	0.118045
74	· · ·	0.16932E 0	1	0.10537	E-04	n.16070E
76		0.16932E 0	1	0.77399	E-05	0.21877F
78		0.16932E 0	1	0.56854	E+05	n.29782F
80		0.16932E 0	1	0,41762	E-05	0.40545E
82		0.16932E 0	1	0.30676	E-05	0.55196F
84		0,16932E 0	1	0.22534	E-05	0.75143F
86		0.16932E 0	1	0.16552	E=05	0.10230F
88		0.16932E 0	Í	0.12158	E-05	0.13926F
90		0.16932E 0	Í	0.89310	E-06	0.18959F
92		0,16932E 0	1	0.65603	E-06	0.25810F
94		0.16932E 0	1	0.48189	E-06	n.35137F
96		0.16932E 0	1	0.35397	E-06	n.47835F
98		0,16932E 0	1	0.26001	E-06	n.65121E
100		0.16932E 0	1	0.19099	E-06	0.88654E

•

(PH0)UPpER= (	8= 0.100 1.100 (pi	) 40 )   OKER=	0.098		
DIST1= 60.021	DIST2=	59.778	0.090		
(YT/YO)INF=	0.19798E	01 (YB/	YO)INP=	0.0000E	00
	0.272	C2=	• • • • •	0.272	
(PHU)LUWER/FEED*	0,495	FEED#	0,198		
N	YT/Y0		YBZYO		SF
1	0.10000E 01	·	0.81818	E 00	0.12222E 0
2	0.11427E 01		0.70123	E 00	n.16295E 0
4	U+13690E U1		0.51209	E 00	0.20592E 0
. g	0.165015 04		0.37030		0.40010E 0
10	0.174915 01		0.20415		0 85674E 0
12	0.18152E 01		0.14996	E 00	0.42104E 0
14	0.18637E 01		0.11015	E 00	n.16919E 0
16	0.18994E 01		0.80915	E-01	0,23474E 0
18	0.19256E 01		0,59436	E-01	0.32398E 0
20	0.19448E 01		0,43659	E-01	0,44546E D
22	U.19590E 01		0,32070	E-01	0,61084E 0
24	0+19093E 01	•	0,2355/	E=01	0.835991 0
28	0.19826F 01		0.12711	E=01	0.155986 0
30	0,19867E 01		0.93367	E=02	n.21278E 0
32	0,19897E 01		0,68583	E=02	0.29012E 0
34	0,19919E 01		0.50378	E-02	0.39540E 0
36	0,19936E 01		0.37005	E-02	0.53872E 0
36	0,19948E 01		0.27182	E=02	0.73384E 0
40	U.19950E U1	· .	0.19967	12-02	0.99947E 0
44	0.19963E U1		0.1400/	E=U2	0,13011E U
46	0.19971E 01		0.70137	F-03	0.102346 0
48	0.19974E 01		0.58131	E=03	n.34360E 0
50	0.19976E 01		0.42700	E=03	n.46781E 0
52	0.19977E 01	,	0.31365	E=03	0,63691E 0
54	0.19978E 01	100 A	0.23040	E-03	0.86711E 0
56	0.19979E 01		0.16924	E-03	0.11805E 0
28	0,19979E 01		0.12431	E-03	0.16071E 0
60	0.1990UE UI		0,91310	12704 Se_na	0.71880E U
64	0.19980E 01		0.49271	F-04	0.40551F 0
66	0.19980E 01		0.36192	E-04	n.55206E 0
68	0.19980E 01		0.26585	E-04	n.75156E 0
70	0.19980E 01		0.19528	E=04	0.10232E 0
72	0.19981E 01		0.14345	E-04	n.13929E 0
74	0.19981E 01		0.10537	'E=04	n.18963E 0
70 78	0,10021E 01		0.77399	15-05	0.20815E 0
80	01199815 04		0.20034	16409 16-05	U1371492 U
82	0,19981F 04		0.30676	E-05	0.45134F 0
84	0,19981E 01		0.22534	E-05	0.88671E 0
86	0.19981E 01		0.16552	!E≠05	0.12071E 0
88	0,19981E 01		0.12158	E-05	0.16434E D
90	0.19981E 01		0,89310	E-06	0,22372E 0
92	0.19981E 01		0.65603	E=06	0.30457E 0
94	U.19981E 01		0.48189	/E=06	0.41463E 0
УD 0 д	U.19981E 01		0,35397	12-06	0.56447E 0
100	0.199815 01		0.10000	.=-V0 )F=0&	0 1045E 0
100	OTTOTAL OT		0.19077	12400	0.1040TC 0

DIST1= 60.019	DIST2=	59.778			
(YT/YO)INF=	0.26337E	01 (YB/Y	O)INF=	0.00000E	00
C1=	0.272	C2=		0.272	
(PHO)LOWER/FEED=	0,620	FEED=	0,158		
N	YT/Y0		YRZYO	· · · ·	SF
2	0,10000E 01		0.818185	00	0.12222E 0:
4	0.14058F 04	4	0.545098	00	0.272035 0
6	0.16198E N		0.378365	00	0 42810E 0
8	0.17973E 01		0.277936	00	0.64669E 0
10	0,19447E 01		0.204156	00	n.95256E 0
12	0,20670E 01		0.14996E	00	0.13783E 0
14	0.21685E 01		0.110156	0 n	0.19686E 0
16	0.22527E 01		0.80915E	-01	0.27841E 02
18	0.23226E 01		0.59436E	-01	0.39078E 0
20	0.23807E 01		0.43659E	-01	0.54529E 0
22	0,24288E 01		0.32070E	-01	n.75735E 0
24	0.24688E 01		0.23557E	+01	0.10480E 0
26	0.25020E 01		0.17304E	-01	n.14459E 0
28	0,25295E 01		0.12711E	-01	0,19901E 0
30	0.25523E 01		0,93367E	-02	0,27337E 0
32	0,25713E 01		0.68583E	-02	0.37492E 0
34	0.25870E 01		0,50378E	-02	0,51353E 0
36	0.26001E 01		0,37005E	-02	0.70263E 0
38	0,26110E 01		0.27182E	•02 ·	0.96053E 0
40	0.26199E 01		.0.19967E	-02	0.13121E 04
42	0.26274E 01		0.14667E	-02	0.17914E 0
44	0,26336E 01		0.10774E	=02	0.24445E 0
45	0.26388E 01		0.79137E	-03	0.33344E 04
48	0.26430E 01		0.581318	-03	0.45467E 0
20	0.26460E 01		0.42700E	-03	0.61980E 0
22 54	0.20497E 01		0,313656	-03	0.84472E 0
5 2 <b>5</b> 4	0.20519E 01	N. C. Same	0.23040E	-03	0,11510E 0
20	0.2074UE 01		0.16924	=03	0,15682E 0
26	0,2000/E 01		0.12431E	-03	0.21362E 0
6U 43	0.265/0E 01		0.91316	<b>704</b>	0.29097E 0
64	0.265020 AA		0.070708	+U4	0.39030E 0
66	0 766000 04		0.492/15	1909 04	0.539/0E 0
68	0.26606= 04		0.001925	-04	0,73495E D
70	0.266125 04		0.405000	-04	0.100000 00
72	0.266165 04		01133505	-04	0.1002/C U
74	0.266205 04		0.105375	-04	0.10777E U
76	0.266235 04		0.773000	-05	0+22207E 0
78	0.266265 04		0.548545	-05	010707/E U
80	0.266285 04		0.407625	-05	A 43741E A
82	0.266305 04		0.306765	-05	0.00/01C 0
84	0.26631F 04		0.225345	-05	A 44840E A
86	0.266335 04		0.145525	-05	0 4 8000E 0.
88	0.26634F 01		0.121585	-05	0.2100505 0
90	0.26634F 04		0.803105	-06	0.208225 0
92	0.26635F 01		0.656035	-06	0.40600F 0
94	0.26636E 01		0.48189F	-06	n.55273F 0
96	0.26636F 01		0.353976	-06	0.75240E 0
98	0.26637F 01		0.26001F	-06	n.40244F 0
1 0 0	0 246375 04			~~~	

M= 90.000 (PHO)UPPFR=	n= 0.100 0.020 (⊡	) 10)) ÖKFR=	0.00A		
DIST1= 60.020	nIST2=	59.778	0.090		
(YT/Y0) INF =	0.58997E	01 (YB.	/Y0)INF=	0.0000E	00 ·
	0,272	C2=		0.272	
(PHU)LUWER/FEED=	0.031	+ = =	0,118		
	VT /VO				1 <b>-</b>
N	11/10		YH/TU		51
1	0.10000E 01		0.81818E	00	n.12222E 0
2	0.11237E 01		0.701236	00	0,10455E U
-	0,144/2E 01		0,512098	00	0.28102E U
0	0.1/233E 01		0.37836E	00	0.45547E U
8	0.19824E 01		0.27793E	00	0.71328E 0
10	0.22258E 01		0,20415E	00	0.10902E 0
12	0.24543E 01		0.149905	00	0.10366E U
14	0.20090E 01		0.110156	00	0.24230E 0
10	U120/0/E 01		0.809156	-01	0.35478E 0
10	U-SUDULE 01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U.39930E		0.51456E D
20	U.32300E UI		0.430395	-01	0.7416/E U
22	0.34032E 01		0.320/06	-01	0.10018E U
24	0.370965 04		0.235576	-01	0.121210
20	0.384815 04	· · · ·	0 407445		0.21430E U
20	0 307815 04		0.073675	mU1	0.302/45 0
30	0.410036 04		0 495835	-02	0.420005 0
34	0.421515 01		0.663785	-02	0.000000
36	0.430295 04		0 370055	-02	0.000/02 0
38	0.442425 01		0.271826	-02	0 462762 0
40	0.45193F 01		0.199675	-02	0.22634E 0
42	0.46086F 01		0.146676	-02	0.31422E 0
44	0.469258 01		0.10774E	-02	0.43556E 0
46	0.47713E 01		0.79137E	-03	0.60292E 0
48	0.48453E 01		0.581316	-03	n.83353E 0
50	0.49149E 01		0.42700E	-03	n.11510E 0
52	0,49802E 01		0.31365E	-03	n.15878E 0
54	0.50415E 01		0.23040E	-03	0.21882E 0
56	0.50992E 01		0.16924E	-03	0.30130E 0
58	0.51533E 01		0.12431E	-03	0.41454E 0
60	0.52041E 01		0.91316E	+04	0.56990E 0
62	0.52519E 01		0,67076E	-04	0.78297E 0
64	0.52967E 01		0.49271E	-04	0.10750E 0
66	0.53389E 01		0.36192E	-04	0.14751E 0
08	0.53784E 01		0.265858	=04	0.20231E 0
70	0.54156E 01		0.19528E	-04	0.27732E 0
72	0,54505E 01		0.143458	-04	0.37997E 0
74	0.54833E 01		0.10537E	=04	0.52039E 0
	U.50141E 01		0,77399E	= 05	0,71243E 0
70	U,22431E 01		0.56854E	-05	0.97497E 0
0U 80	0.550595 A		U.41/02E	- 05	n.13338E 0
©∠ 84	0.554075 A		U.300/0E		0.18241E 0
86	0,0019/E 01		0.220345	17UD	0.24939E 0
88	0.564745 01		V.10772E	1707 105	n.34088E 0
00	0.549735 04		U.12128E	- 45	n,46580E 0
99	0.570495 01		0.090102		0.63030E U
QA	0.57105E 01		0.070035	-00	0.00710C U
96	0.57%50E 01		0.481095		0.1100YE U
98	n.575+4E n4		0.0507/0		0.102U9E 0
100	0 574500 04		0.200010		0.721200 0
	U+2/027E U1		0.190996	-00	0.3U187E

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Wilhelm, R. H., A. W. Rice, and A. R. Bendelius, <u>Ind. Eng.</u> <u>Chem. Fundam.</u>, 5, 141 (1966).
- Mc Andrew, M. A., Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton Univ., Princeton, New Jersey, (1967).
- 3. Jenczewski, T. J. and A. L. Myers, <u>AIChE</u> <u>J.</u>, <u>14</u>, 509 (1968).
- 4. Wilhelm, R. H. and N. H. Sweed, <u>Science</u>, <u>159</u>, 522 (1968).
- Wilhelm, R. H., A. W. Rice, D. W. Rolke, and N. H. Sweed, <u>Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.</u>, 7, 337 (1968).
- 6. Rolke, R. W. and R. H. Wilhelm, Ibid., 8, 231 (1969).
- 7. Pigford, R. L., B. Baker, and D. E. Blum, <u>Ibid.</u>, <u>8</u>, 144 (1969).
- 8. Aris, R., <u>Ibid.</u>, <u>8</u>, 603 (1969).
- 9. Sweed, N. H. and R. H. Wilhelm, <u>Ibid.</u>, <u>8</u>, 221 (1969).
- Horn, F. J. M. and C. H. Lin, <u>Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.</u>, 73, 575 (1969).
- Pigford, R. L., B. Baker, and D. E. Blum, <u>Ind. Eng. Chem.</u> <u>Fundam.</u>, <u>8</u>, 848 (1969).
- 12. Sabadell, J. E. and N. H. Sweed, <u>Separ. Sci.</u>, <u>5</u>, 171 (1970).
- 13. Gregory, R. A. and N. H. Sweed, <u>Chem. Eng. J.</u>, <u>1</u>, 207 (1970).
- 14. Jenczewski, T. J. and A. L. Myers, <u>Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.</u>, <u>9</u>, 216 (1970).
- 15. Chen, H. T. and F. B. Hill, <u>Separ. Sci.</u>, <u>6</u>, 411 (1971).
- 16. Sweed, N. H. and R. A. Gregory, AIChE J., 17, 171 (1971).
- 17. Turnock, P. H. and R. H. Kadlec, <u>Ibid.</u>, <u>17</u>, 335 (1971).
- Gupta, R. and N. H. Sweed, <u>Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.</u>, 10, 280 (1971).
- 19. Baker, B. and R. L. Pigford, <u>Ibid.</u>, <u>10</u>, 283 (1971).
- 20. Butts, T. J., R. Gupta, and N. H. Sweed, <u>Chem. Eng. Sci.</u>, <u>27</u>, 855 (1972).

- 21. Gregory, R. A. and N. H. Sweed, Chem. Eng. J., 4, 139 (1972).
- 22. Chen, H. T., J. L. Rak, J. D. Stokes, and F. B. Hill, <u>AIChE</u> <u>J.</u>, <u>18</u>, 356 (1972).
- 23. Chen, H. T., J. Jaferi, and J. D. Stokes, Paper 9e, Presented at 73rd National Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, Minneapolis, Aug. 28, 1972.
- 24. Kowler, D. E. and R. H. Kadlec, <u>AIChE</u> <u>J.</u>, <u>18</u>, 1207 (1972).
- 25. Shendalman, L. H. and J. E. Mitchell, <u>Chem. Eng. Sci.</u>, 27, 1449 (1972).
- Patrick, R. R., J. T. Schrodt, and R. I. Kermode, <u>Separ. Sci.</u>, <u>7</u>, 331 (1972).
- 27. Chen, H. T., E. H. Reiss, J. D. Stokes, and F. B. Hill, <u>AIChE</u> J., 19, 589 (1973).
- Butts, T. J., N. H. Sweed, and A. A. Camero, <u>Ind. Eng. Chem.</u> <u>Fundam.</u>, 12, 467 (1973).
- 29. Wankat, P. C., Ibid., 12 372 (1973).
- 30. Gupta, R. and N. H. Sweed, Ibid., 12, 335 (1973).
- 31. Mitchell, J. E. and L. H. Shendalman, Paper 76a, Presented at 74th National Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New Orleans, March 12, 1973.
- 32. Apostolopoulos, G. P., Paper 76f, Presented at 74th National Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New Orleans, March 12, 1973.
- Chen, H. T., J. A. Park, and J. L. Rak, <u>Separ. Sci.</u>, 9, 35 (1974).
- 34. Chen, H. T., W. W. Lin, J. D. Stokes, and W. R. Fabisiak, <u>AIChE J.</u>, <u>20</u>, 306 (1974).
- 35. Chen, H. T. and J. A. Manganaro, <u>AIChE J.</u>, <u>20</u>, 1020 (1974).
- 36. Chen, H. T. and V. J. D'Emidio, Paper 65a, Presented at 67th Annual Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, Washington D. C., December 4, 1974.
- 37. Skarstrom, C. W., <u>Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.</u>, <u>72</u>, 75 (1959).
- 38. Wankat, P. C., Separ. Sci., 9, 85, (1974).
- 39. Hatt, H. H. and A. C. K. Triffett, <u>J. Appl. Chem.</u>, <u>15</u>, 556 (1965).
- 40. Teller, J. D., 130th ACS Meeting, Abstracts of Papers, 69C, Sept., 1956.