New Jersey Institute of Technology Digital Commons @ NJIT

Theses

**Electronic Theses and Dissertations** 

Spring 5-31-1991

# Dynamic analysis of the generalized slider crank

Sahidur Rahman New Jersey Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.njit.edu/theses

Part of the Mechanical Engineering Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Rahman, Sahidur, "Dynamic analysis of the generalized slider crank" (1991). *Theses*. 1292. https://digitalcommons.njit.edu/theses/1292

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Electronic Theses and Dissertations at Digital Commons @ NJIT. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ NJIT. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@njit.edu.

# **Copyright Warning & Restrictions**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a, user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use" that user may be liable for copyright infringement,

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law.

Please Note: The author retains the copyright while the New Jersey Institute of Technology reserves the right to distribute this thesis or dissertation

Printing note: If you do not wish to print this page, then select "Pages from: first page # to: last page #" on the print dialog screen



The Van Houten library has removed some of the personal information and all signatures from the approval page and biographical sketches of theses and dissertations in order to protect the identity of NJIT graduates and faculty.

#### ABSTRACT

#### Dynamic Analysis of the Generalized Slider Crank

#### by

#### Sahidur Rahman

A numerical technique is used to analyze the kinematics of the generalized slider crank mechanism and an analytical technique to derive dynamic force equations for that mechanism has been formulated. The numerical technique used for displacement analysis is based on a combination of Newton-Raphson and Davidon-Fletcher-Powell optimization algorithm using dual-number coordinate-transformation matrices. Velocity analysis is performed by using a dual number method. Finally, dynamic force analysis is accomplished on the basis of the dual-Euler equation and D'Alembert's principle. The approach is developed in such a manner that a digital computer can detect when a solution is possible and then solve the whole problem.

In addition, kinematic displacements of slider and dynamic forces and torques at each of the joints have been graphed against input crank angles for different offsets. In all the graphs, possible cases have been compared with the ideal case, when the mechanism has zero offsets.

## DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THE GENERALIZED SLIDER CRANK

by

Sahidur Rahman

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate Division of the New Jersey Institute of Technology in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Department of Mechanical Engineering May 1991

### APPROVAL PAGE

Dynamic Analysis of the Generalized Slider Crank

by

Sahidur Rahman

Dr. Ian S. Fischer, Thesis Adviser Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering, NJIT

Dr. Rajesh Dave, Committee Member Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering, NJIT

Dr. Anthony Rosato, Committee Member

Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering, NJIT

## **BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH**

Author: Sahidur Rahman

Degree: Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Date: May, 1991

## Undergraduate and Graduate Education:

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ, 1991

Bachelor of Engineering in Mechanical Engineering, Regional Engineering College, Durgapur, India, 1984

Major: Mechanical Engineering

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to his adviser, Dr. Ian S. Fischer for his guidance, advice, and moral support throughout this research.

Thanks also to Dr. Raj Dave and Dr. Anthony Rosato for serving as members of the committee.

The author expresses deep appreciation for the support by National Science Foundation under grant number MSM-8811101.

And finally a special thank to his parents for their consistent encouragement.

Copyright © 1991 by Sahidur Rahman

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background and Motivation10
1.2 Outline of Thesis11
2. ANALYSIS AND FORMULATION OF THE METHOD12
2.1 Basic Definitions12
2.2 Notations14
2.3 Creation of link-joint parameter table15
2.4 Transformation Matrices16
2.5 Partial Derivatives of the Transformation Matrices
2.6 Displacement Analysis22
2.6.1 Dual-number formulation of Numerical Method22
2.6.2 Plane Geometry Method for Initial Estimates of the Joint Variables28
2.7 Velocity Analysis
2.8 Dynamic Force and Torque Analysis
3. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES, RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS54
3.1 Example
3.2 Discussion of Results
3.3 Conclusion
BIBLIOGRAPHY
APPENDICIES

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Link-joint parameters	15

•

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Planar (ideal) slider crank with no offset	12
2. Planar slider crank with offset a4 only	13
3. Generalized slider crank with all offsets	
4. Planar slider crank when crank angle is zero	25

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background and Motivation**

In recent years mechanisms with multi-degree-of-freedom systems have commanded a great deal of research activity. The rapid advancements of the robot manipulator for industry is fueling the interest of many researchers. In 1964 Uicker, Denavit and Hartenberg developed an iterative technique for displacement analysis of spatial mechanisms using  $4 \times 4$  transformation matrices (1). Later in 1967 Uicker did the dynamic force analysis of spatial linkages (2). Dual-number element coordinate transformation matrices were introduced by researchers such as Yang to study spatial mechanisms. In 1964 Yang and Freudenstein applied dual-number quaternion algebra to the analysis of spatial mechanisms (3). Based on dual vectors and screw calculus, Yang formulated inertia force equations for RCCC mechanisms (R stands for revolute joint and C stands for cylindrical joint) in 1971 (4). One year later Bagci did dynamic force and torque analysis of the planar 4R mechanism and spatial RCCC mechanism using dual vectors and  $3 \times 3$  screw matrix (5). Pennock and Yang in 1983 developed the technique for dynamic analysis of a multi-rigid-body open chain system based on Newtonian mechanics with a composite  $3 \times 3$  dual number,  $6 \times 1$  Plucker coordinate method (6). In 1984 Fischer and Freudenstein did the complete derivation of internal force and torque components of a statically loaded cardan joint with manufacturing tolerances (7). As an extension of the earlier work, Chen and Freudenstein in 1986 developed a dynamic analysis of a universal joint with manufacturing tolerances (8). Fischer and Paul successfully extended the concept of Uicker-Denavit-Hartenberg in 1989 for kinematic displacement analysis of a double cardon joint driveline using  $3 \times 3$ dual number transformation matrices (9). In 1990 Fischer investigated displacement errors in Cardan joints caused by coupler-link joint-axes offset (10). To model robot manipulators mathematically, Lagrange-Euler and Newton-Euler formulations using  $4 \times 4$  transformation matrices have been used widely. The method described here serves a meaningful alternative to the existing procedures.

Sandor and Erdman did the displacement, velocity and acceleration analysis of a similar type of mechanism using 4×4 transformation matrix (11). The solution is based on Newton-Raphson iterative procedure commonly used for the solution of nonlinear equations. The present work owes much to pioneering work of Uicker, Denavit, Hartenberg and Yang on spatial mechanisms.

#### **1.2 Outline of Thesis**

Chapter 2 explains how displacement analysis is done numerically and the method of deriving expressions for joint velocities, accelerations and dynamic forces acting at each of the joints. Chapter 3 illustrates a physical example, discusses about the results and draws conclusions.

#### ANALYSIS AND FORMULATION OF THE METHOD

#### **2.1 Basic Definitions:**

Joint axis: A joint axis is established at the connection of two links. It is defined as the axis about which one link can either rotate or translate or both relative to the other.

Link axis and link length: Link length is defined as the shortest distance measured along the common normal between two joint axes of the link and the common normal is the link axis.

Link twist angle: Link twist angle is defined as the angle between two joint axis of the link.

Generalized slider crank: The generalized slider crank is actually a spatial mechanism with multi-degree-of-freedom joints, whereas the conventional slider crank universally used in reciprocating engins and compressors is a planar mechanism with single-degree-of-freedom joints. In a planar slider crank, the joint between frame and



Figure 1 Planar(ideal) slider crank with no offset

crank is revolute and has one degree of freedom; i.e. rotation only. In generalized slider crank it is a cylindrical joint which has two degrees of freedom, one of translation and



Figure 2 Planar slider crank with offset a4 only



Figure 3 Generalized slider crank with all offsets

the other of rotation. In a planar slider crank, the joints between the crank and the connecting rod and between connecting rod and slider are both revolute joints. In generalized case both are ball (spherical) joints which have three rotational degrees of freedom about each one of three mutually perpendicular axes. For both the cases, the joint between slider and frame is prismatic i.e. joint having only one translational degree of freedom.

Rotation matrix: A rotation matrix can be defined as a transformation matrix which operates on a position vector in a three-dimensional euclidean space and maps its coordinates expressed in a rotated coordinate system (body-attached frame) to a reference coordinate system.

#### 2.2 Notations:

Joint axis, link axis and the axis perpendicular to both of them in a right-handed coordinate system are respectively denoted by k, i and j. Rotations about these axes are respectively denoted by  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$ .

Basic 3×3 rotation matrices (13) are denoted by  $[X(\alpha)]$ ,  $[Y(\eta)]$  and  $[Z(\theta)]$ . They respectively represent rotations about *i*, *j* and *k* axes and can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c\alpha & -s\alpha \\ 0 & s\alpha & c\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} Y(\eta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c\eta & 0 & s\eta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s\eta & 0 & c\eta \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} Z(\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta & -s\theta & 0 \\ s\theta & c\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

when dual-number operations are done  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\theta$  are respectively replaced by  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ .

#### 2.3 Creation of link-joint parameter table:

Fig. 1 shows the planar slider crank mechanism without any offset; this is the ideal case without any tolerance error. Here, four coordinate frames are fixed on the distal end (fore-end) of each of the links at the joints. Each coordinate frame consists of three mutually perpendicular axes i, j and k. Joint I is between frame (fixed link) and crank, joint 2 is between crank and connecting rod, joint 3 is between connecting rod and slider and joint 4 is between slider and frame. For the planar case, joints 1, 2 and 3 are revolute joints, each of which has one rotational degree of freedom, and joint 4 is prismatic with one sliding degree of freedom. Two axes of each frame are shown, from which one can see the position of the third axis, since each frame comprises a right-handed coordinate system. Fig. 2 shows the planar slider crank with offset a4 only; there is no other difference between fig. 1 and fig. 2. Fig. 3 is the generalized slider crank in which joint I is cylindrical with one translational and one rotational degrees of freedom, and joints 2 and 3 are ball joints, each of which has three rotational degrees of freedom, and joint 4 is prismatic, exactly the same as in the planar case.

The link parameters are:

- $a_1 = \text{crank length}$
- $a_2 = \text{connecting rod length}$

 $\alpha_3$  = twist angle between two joint axes - the axis of the joint between connecting rod and slider i.e. axis  $k_3$  (not shown) and the axis of the joint between slider and frame

<u></u>	$\theta_{i}$	Si	$\eta_{\mathrm{i}}$	$\alpha_{\rm i}$	aj
	$\theta_1$	S <sub>1</sub>	0	0	a1
2	$\theta_2$	0	$\eta_2$	0	a2
3	$\theta_3$	0	η3	$\pi/2$	0
4	0	S4	0	α4	a4

#### Table 1 Link-joint parameters

i.e. axis  $k_4$ ; the angle is measured counterclockwise according to right-hand rule and is equal to 90 degrees.

 $a_4$  = offset distance between two joint axes, one is the axis of the joint between frame and crank i.e. axis  $k_1$  and the another is the axis of the joint between the slider and the frame i.e. axis  $k_4$ . Axes  $k_1$  and  $k_4$  are mutually perpendicular axes and the distance  $a_4$  is measured from  $k_4$  to  $k_1$ .

 $\alpha_4$  = twist angle between two joint axes  $k_1$  and  $k_4$ ; the angle is measured counterclockwise according to right-hand rule. For the ideal(planar) slider crank this angle is 270 degrees.

The joint variables are:

 $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$  = angular displacements about joint axes at joints 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

 $\eta_2$  = angular displacement about the axis *j*<sub>2</sub>, perpendicular to the joint axis as well as the link axis at joint 2.

 $\eta_3$  = angular displacement about the axis  $j_3$ , perpendicular to the joint axis as well as the link axis at joint 3 i.e. axis  $j_3$ .

 $S_1$  = displacement of the crank along the axis of joint 1 i.e. axis  $k_1$ .

 $S_4$  = slider displacement which is measured about the axis  $k_4$ .

The link-joint parameter table is shown in table 1. This table describes the complete geometry of the mechanism.

#### 2.4 Transformation Matrices:

Once the coordinate systems are rigidly fixed to each link of the mechanism and the link-joint parameter table is formed, coordinate-transformation matrices are specified. Coordinate-transformation matrices contain information about the links and the displacements (both sliding and rotation) between coordinate frames in the form of dual angles. The derivations of dual-number element coordinate transformation matrices, describing the links connecting joints 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4 and 4 and 1, are as follows.

The cosine and sine will be abbreviated by c and s respectively in the remainder of this work. The dual-number operator (3, 4), also called Clifford's screw operator, is denoted by  $\varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ ). The dual-number operator is used to express rotation and displacement about the same axis in combined way. It has the following properties:

 $\hat{\theta} = \theta + \varepsilon S$  [ $\theta$  and S are respectively rotation and displacement of any link about the same axis], then trigonometric functions of dual-angle can be obtained by using Taylor expansion. So,  $s\hat{\theta} = s\theta + \varepsilon S \frac{d}{d\theta} (s\theta)$ ,  $c\hat{\theta} = c\theta + \varepsilon S \frac{d}{d\theta} (c\theta)$ , etc. All identities for ordinary trigonometry hold true for dual angle.

One can trace the path from joint 1 to joint 2 by taking the rotation through angle  $\theta_1$  and sliding through distance  $S_1$  about and along the  $k_1$  axis, followed by a link twist angle rotation through zero degrees with a translation through the link length  $a_1$ about and along the  $i_2$  axis. Therefore, the transformation matrix, specifying the location of coordinate frame {2} with respect to frame {1}, can be written as

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{2} \widehat{M} = \left[ Z\left(\widehat{\theta_{1}}\right) \right] \left[ X\left(\widehat{\alpha_{1}}\right) \right] = \begin{bmatrix} c\widehat{\theta_{1}} & -s\widehat{\theta_{1}} & 0\\ s\widehat{\theta_{1}} & c\widehat{\theta_{1}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & c\widehat{\alpha_{1}} & -s\widehat{\alpha_{1}}\\ 0 & s\widehat{\alpha_{1}} & c\widehat{\alpha_{1}} \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{1} - \varepsilon S_{1}s\theta_{1} & -s\theta_{1} - \varepsilon S_{1}c\theta_{1} & 0\\ s\theta_{1} + \varepsilon S_{1}c\theta_{1} & c\theta_{1} - \varepsilon S_{1}s\theta_{1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & c\alpha_{1} - \varepsilon a_{1}s\alpha_{1} & -s\alpha_{1} - \varepsilon a_{1}c\alpha_{1}\\ 0 & s\alpha_{1} + \varepsilon a_{1}c\alpha_{1} & c\alpha_{1} - \varepsilon a_{1}s\alpha_{1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\hat{\theta_1} = \theta_1 + \varepsilon S_1$  and  $\hat{\alpha_1} = 0 + \varepsilon a_1$  as there is no link twist ( $\alpha_1 = 0$ ), the above expression can be simplified as [In short  $\frac{1}{2}\hat{M}$  is replaced by  $\hat{M}_1$ ],

$$\hat{M}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{1} & -s\theta_{1} & 0\\ s\theta_{1} & c\theta_{1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} -S_{1}s\theta_{1} & -S_{1}c\theta_{1} & a_{1}s\theta_{1}\\ S_{1}c\theta_{1} & -S_{1}s\theta_{1} & -a_{1}c\theta_{1}\\ 0 & a_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

The path from joint 2 to joint 3 can be traced by taking a rotation through angle  $\theta_2$  and a translation through zero distance about and along the  $k_2$  axis (not shown), followed by a rotation through angle  $\eta_2$  with no translation about the  $j_2$  axis, followed by no rotation and a translation through link length  $a_2$  distance along the  $i_3$  axis. Therefore, the derivation of the transformation matrix, specifying the location of coordinate frame {3} with respect to frame {2}, is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} & 2 \\ 3 \\ L = \left[ Z \left( \hat{\theta}_{2} \right) \right] \left[ Y(\hat{\eta}_{2}) \right] \left[ X(\hat{\alpha}_{2}) \right] \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} c \hat{\theta}_{2} & -s \hat{\theta}_{2} & 0 \\ s \hat{\theta}_{2} & c \hat{\theta}_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \hat{\eta}_{2} & 0 & s \hat{\eta}_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s \hat{\eta}_{2} & 0 & c \hat{\eta}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \hat{\alpha}_{2} & -s \hat{\alpha}_{2} \\ 0 & s \hat{\alpha}_{2} & c \hat{\alpha}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} c \theta_{2} - \varepsilon S_{2} s \theta_{2} & -s \theta_{2} - \varepsilon S_{2} c \theta_{2} & 0 \\ s \theta_{2} + \varepsilon S_{2} c \theta_{2} & c \theta_{2} - \varepsilon S_{2} s \theta_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \eta_{2} - \varepsilon E_{2} s \eta_{2} & 0 & s \eta_{2} + \varepsilon E_{2} c \eta_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s \eta_{2} - \varepsilon E_{2} c \eta_{2} & 0 & c \eta_{2} - \varepsilon E_{2} s \eta_{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \alpha_{2} - \varepsilon a_{2} s \alpha_{2} & -s \alpha_{2} - \varepsilon a_{2} c \alpha_{2} \\ 0 & s \alpha_{2} + \varepsilon a_{2} c \alpha_{2} & c \alpha_{2} - \varepsilon a_{2} s \alpha_{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \text{Since } S_{2} = 0, E_{2} = 0, \alpha_{2} = 0, \text{ and } \text{ so } \hat{\theta}_{2} = \theta_{2} + \varepsilon S_{2} = \theta_{2}, \hat{\eta}_{2} = \eta_{2} + \varepsilon E_{2} = \eta_{2} \end{array}$$

Since  $S_2 = 0$ ,  $E_2 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , and so  $\theta_2 = \theta_2 + \varepsilon S_2 = \theta_2$ ,  $\eta_2 = \eta_2 + \varepsilon E_2 = \eta_2$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_2 + \varepsilon \alpha_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_2$ . The above expression can be compressed as [ In short,  $\hat{3}\hat{L}$  is replaced by  $\hat{L}_2$  ],

$$\hat{L}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} & -s\theta_{2} & c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} \\ s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} & c\theta_{2} & s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} \\ -s\eta_{2} & 0 & c\eta_{2} \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{2}c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} & a_{2}s\theta_{2} \\ 0 & a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} & -a_{2}c\theta_{2} \\ 0 & a_{2}c\eta_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

The path from joint 3 to joint 4 can be traced by taking a rotation through angle  $\theta_3$  and a translation through zero distance about and along the  $k_3$  axis (not shown), followed by a rotation through angle  $\eta_3$  with no translation about the  $j_3$  axis, followed by a 90-degree rotation with no translation about the  $i_4$  axis. Therefore, the derivation of the transformation matrix, specifying the location of coordinate frame {4} with respect to frame {3}, is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{3}{4} \widehat{L} = \left[ Z\left(\widehat{\theta_{3}}\right) \right] \left[ Y(\widehat{\eta_{3}}) \right] \left[ X(\widehat{\alpha_{3}}) \right] \\ = \begin{bmatrix} c\widehat{\theta_{3}} & -s\widehat{\theta_{3}} & 0 \\ s\widehat{\theta_{3}} & c\widehat{\theta_{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c\widehat{\eta_{3}} & 0 & s\widehat{\eta_{3}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s\widehat{\eta_{3}} & 0 & c\widehat{\eta_{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c\widehat{\alpha_{3}} & -s\widehat{\alpha_{3}} \\ 0 & s\widehat{\alpha_{3}} & c\widehat{\alpha_{3}} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{3} - \varepsilon S_{3}s\theta_{3} & -s\theta_{3} - \varepsilon S_{3}c\theta_{3} & 0 \\ s\theta_{3} + \varepsilon S_{3}c\theta_{3} & c\theta_{3} - \varepsilon S_{3}s\theta_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c\eta_{3} - \varepsilon E_{3}s\eta_{3} & 0 & s\eta_{3} + \varepsilon E_{3}c\eta_{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s\eta_{3} - \varepsilon E_{3}c\eta_{3} & 0 & c\eta_{3} - \varepsilon E_{3}s\eta_{3} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c\alpha_{3} - \varepsilon a_{3}s\alpha_{3} & -s\alpha_{3} - \varepsilon a_{3}c\alpha_{3} \\ 0 & s\alpha_{3} + \varepsilon a_{3}c\alpha_{3} & c\alpha_{3} - \varepsilon a_{3}s\alpha_{3} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Since} \quad S_{3} = 0, E_{3} = 0, a_{3} = 0 \text{ and} \quad \text{so} \quad \widehat{\theta_{3}} = \theta_{3} + \varepsilon S_{3} = \theta_{3}, \widehat{\eta_{3}} = \eta_{3} + \varepsilon E_{3} = \eta_{3} \\ \text{and } \widehat{\alpha_{3}} = \alpha_{3} + \varepsilon a_{3} = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ (as the link-twist angle = 90 degrees), the above expression can} \\ \text{be compressed as [ in short, } \frac{3}{L} \text{ is replaced by } \widehat{L_{3}} ], \end{array}$$

$$\hat{L}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3} & c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3} & s\theta_{3} \\ s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3} & s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3} & -c\theta_{3} \\ -s\eta_{3} & c\eta_{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

The path from joint 4 to joint 1 can be traced by sliding through distance  $S_4$  with no rotation along the  $k_4$  axis, followed by a rotation through angle  $\alpha_4$  and a translation through distance  $a_4$  about and along the  $i_1$  axis. Therefore, the transformation matrix, specifying the location of coordinate frame {1} with respect to frame {4}, is written as follows:

Since  $\hat{\theta}_4 = 0 + \varepsilon S_4$ , as there is no rotation ( $\theta_4 = 0$ ), and  $\hat{\alpha}_4 = \alpha_4 + \varepsilon \alpha_4$ , the above expression can be compressed as [In short,  $\hat{1}\hat{M}$  is replaced by  $\hat{M}_4$ ],

$$\hat{M}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c\alpha_{4} & -s\alpha_{4} \\ 0 & s\alpha_{4} & c\alpha_{4} \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -S_{4}c\alpha_{4} & S_{4}s\alpha_{4} \\ S_{4} & -a_{4}s\alpha_{4} & -a_{4}c\alpha_{4} \\ 0 & a_{4}c\alpha_{4} & -a_{4}s\alpha_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

#### 2.5 Partial Derivatives of the Transformation Matrices:

Noting that this problem is to be adapted to computer operation, linear operator matrices will be introduced to perform differentiations of the transformation matrices. Taking the partial derivatives of the transformation matrices with respect to the variable quantity contained in the matrix is accomplished by premultiplying by an operator matrix. The derivation of partial differential operator of each of the four transformation matrices follows.

The first transformation matrix contains only input parameters (input crank angle and axial displacement) and crank length, which are all known quantities. Therefore this matrix need not be differentiated.

The second transformation matrix contains two unknown variables  $\theta_2$  and  $\eta_2$ . Upon taking the partial derivative of this matrix (equation 2) with respect to variable  $\theta_2$ , the result is,

$$\frac{\delta \hat{L}_2}{\delta \theta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -s\theta_2 c\eta_2 & -c\theta_2 & -s\theta_2 s\eta_2\\ c\theta_2 c\eta_2 & -s\theta_2 & c\theta_2 s\eta_2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_2 s\theta_2 s\eta_2 & a_2 c\theta_2\\ 0 & a_2 c\theta_2 s\eta_2 & a_2 s\theta_2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{Q}_{L\theta_2} \hat{L}_2$$

where partial differential operator  $Q_{L\theta_2}$  can be obtained in a number of ways; the easiest is perhaps by inspection. Hence operator  $Q_{L\theta_2}$  can be written as follows:

$$\widehat{Q}_{L\theta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2a)

The partial derivative of matrix equation (2) with respect to variable  $\eta_2$  is,

$$\frac{\delta \hat{L}_2}{\delta \eta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -c\theta_2 s\eta_2 & 0 & c\theta_2 c\eta_2 \\ -s\theta_2 s\eta_2 & 0 & s\theta_2 c\eta_2 \\ -c\eta_2 & 0 & -s\eta_2 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 c\theta_2 c\eta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 s\theta_2 c\eta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 s\eta_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{Q}_{L\eta_2} \hat{L}_2$$

hence partial differential operator  $QL\eta_2$  can be written as,

$$\hat{Q}_{L\eta_{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c\theta_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & s\theta_{2} \\ -c\theta_{2} & -s\theta_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2b)

The partial derivative of matrix equation (3) with respect to variable  $\theta_3$  is,

$$\frac{\delta \hat{L}_3}{\delta \theta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} -s\theta_3 c\eta_3 & -s\theta_3 s\eta_3 & c\theta_3\\ c\theta_3 c\eta_3 & c\theta_3 s\eta_3 & s\theta_3\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{Q}_{L\theta_3} \hat{L}_3$$

hence the partial differential operator  $Q_{L\theta_3}$  can be written as,

$$\widehat{Q}_{L\theta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3a)

The partial derivative of matrix equation (3) with respect to variable  $\eta_3$  is,

$$\frac{\delta \hat{L}_3}{\delta \eta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} -c\theta_3 s\eta_3 & c\theta_3 c\eta_3 & 0\\ -s\theta_3 s\eta_3 & s\theta_3 c\eta_3 & 0\\ -c\eta_3 & -s\eta_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{Q}_{L\eta_3} \hat{L}_3$$

hence the partial differential operator  $Q_{L\eta_3}$  can be written as,

$$\widehat{Q}_{L\eta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c\theta_3 \\ 0 & 0 & s\theta_3 \\ -c\theta_3 & -s\theta_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3b)

The partial derivative of matrix equation (4) with respect to variable  $S_4$  is,

$$\frac{\delta \hat{M}_4}{\delta S_4} = \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -c\alpha_4 & s\alpha_4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{Q}_{MS_4} \hat{M}_4$$

hence the partial differential operator  $Q_{MS_4}$  can be written as,

$$\widehat{Q}_{MS_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon & 0\\ \varepsilon & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4a)

#### 2.6 Displacement Analysis:

The relationship between transformation matrices which describes the four links of the mechanism and the joints connecting them is given by the Condition of Loop Closure. Because the links are connected end to end to form a closed loop, transforming from the frame to crank to the connecting rod to the slider brings one back to the coordinate system fixed on the frame as if no transformation at all has occured. Hence this can be written mathematically as:

$${}^{1}_{2}\hat{M}{}^{2}_{3}\hat{L}{}^{3}_{4}\hat{L}{}^{4}_{1}\hat{M} = \hat{I}$$
(5)

which is a nonlinear matrix equation, where  $\hat{I}$  is dual identity matrix

$$\hat{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

#### **2.6.1 Dual-number formulation of Numerical Method:**

The numerical method being used to determine the displacements is based on the algorithm (12) introduced by Hall, Root & Sandgren (1977). This algorithm is the combination of Davidon-Fletcher-Powell optimization routine with the Newton-Raphson method as applied to kinematics by Uicker, Denavit and Hartenberg (1964). This procedure was developed for the use with  $4 \times 4$  homogeneous transformation matrices, and was adopted to  $3 \times 3$  dual-number matrices by Fischer and Paul(1988). Details of the Newton-Raphson method are as follows.

A first order expansion of the loop closure equation is

$$[\hat{M}_{1}] [\hat{L}_{2} + \hat{Q}_{L\theta} \hat{L}_{2} \delta\theta_{2} + \hat{Q}_{L\eta} \hat{L}_{2} \delta\eta_{2}] [\hat{L}_{3} + \hat{Q}_{L\theta} \hat{L}_{3} \delta\theta_{3} + \hat{Q}_{L\eta} \hat{L}_{3} \delta\eta_{3}] [\hat{M}_{4} + \hat{Q}_{MS} \hat{M}_{4} \delta S_{4}] = \hat{I}$$

$$(7)$$

where  $\theta_2$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ ,  $\eta_3$  and  $S_4$  are guesses of displacement variables;  $\delta\theta_2$ ,  $\delta\eta_2$ ,  $\delta\theta_3$ ,  $\delta\eta_3$  and  $\delta S_4$  are errors between guesses and exact values of the displacement variables;  $Q_{L\theta}$ ,  $Q_{L\eta}$  and  $Q_{MS}$  are partial differential operators such that (as shown before)

$$Q_{L\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

$$Q_{L\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c\theta \\ 0 & 0 & s\theta \\ -c\theta & -s\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

$$Q_{MS} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon & 0\\ \varepsilon & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

Expanding equation (7), and putting it into a form which is computationally advantageous, the following equation is obtained. Performance of the multiplication yields a very lengthy equation. However, keeping with the idea of the iteration process, all higher order terms of the form  $(\delta \theta_2 \delta \theta_3, \text{ etc})$  are neglected.

$$\hat{H}_2 \delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_2 \delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_3 \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_3 \delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_4 \delta S_4 = \hat{B}^T - \hat{I}$$
(11)

where

$$\hat{H}_2 = \hat{M}_1 \hat{Q}_{L\theta} \hat{M}_1^T \tag{12}$$

$$\hat{H'}_2 = \hat{M}_1 \hat{Q}_{L\eta} \hat{M}_1^T \tag{13}$$

$$\hat{H}_{3} = (\hat{M}_{1}\hat{L}_{2})\hat{Q}_{L\theta}(\hat{M}_{1}\hat{L}_{2})^{T}$$
(14)

$$\hat{H'}_{3} = (\hat{M}_{1}\hat{L}_{2})\hat{Q}_{L\eta}(\hat{M}_{1}\hat{L}_{2})^{T}$$
(15)

$$\hat{H}''_{4} = (\hat{M}_{1}\hat{L}_{2}\hat{L}_{3})\hat{Q}_{MS}(\hat{M}_{1}\hat{L}_{2}\hat{L}_{3})^{T}$$
(16)

In general,

$$\hat{H}_{i} = (\hat{T}_{1}\hat{T}_{2} - \dots - \hat{T}_{i-1})\hat{Q}_{X}(\hat{T}_{1}\hat{T}_{2} - \dots - \hat{T}_{i-1})^{T}$$
(17)

and

$$\hat{B} = \hat{M}_1 \hat{L}_2 \hat{L}_3 \hat{M}_4 \tag{18}$$

Equation (11) is condensed to

$$\hat{F} = \hat{B}^T - \hat{I} \tag{19}$$

Equations (11) through (19) are all  $3 \times 3$  dual-number matrix equations. So this equation can be written in a matrix form as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{11} & \hat{F}_{12} & \hat{F}_{13} \\ \hat{F}_{21} & \hat{F}_{22} & \hat{F}_{23} \\ \hat{F}_{31} & \hat{F}_{32} & \hat{F}_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_{11} - 1 & \hat{B}_{21} & \hat{B}_{31} \\ \hat{B}_{12} & \hat{B}_{22} - 1 & \hat{B}_{32} \\ \hat{B}_{13} & \hat{B}_{23} & \hat{B}_{33} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(19a)

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{11} &= \hat{H}_{2,11} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,11} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,11} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,11} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,11} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{12} &= \hat{H}_{2,12} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,12} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,12} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,12} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,12} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{13} &= \hat{H}_{2,13} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,13} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,13} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,13} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,13} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{21} &= \hat{H}_{2,21} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,22} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,22} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,22} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,21} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{22} &= \hat{H}_{2,22} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,22} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,22} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,22} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,22} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{23} &= \hat{H}_{2,31} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,33} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,31} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,31} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,31} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{31} &= \hat{H}_{2,31} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,32} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,32} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,32} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,32} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{32} &= \hat{H}_{2,32} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,33} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,32} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,33} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,33} \,\delta S_4 \\ \hat{F}_{33} &= \hat{H}_{2,33} \,\delta\theta_2 + \hat{H'}_{2,33} \,\delta\eta_2 + \hat{H}_{3,33} \delta\theta_3 + \hat{H'}_{3,33} \,\delta\eta_3 + \hat{H''}_{4,33} \,\delta S_4 \end{split}$$
(19b)

So from equation (19a) nine dual equations can be written from this matrix equation, out of which only three are independent. Using the three terms in the upper triangle of each matrix and separating them into a set of real equations and a set of dual equations:

$$F_{p12} = B_{p21}$$

$$F_{p13} = B_{p31}$$

$$F_{p23} = B_{p32}$$
(20)

and

$$F_{d12} = B_{d21}$$

$$F_{d13} = B_{d31}$$

$$F_{d23} = B_{d32}$$
(21)

where the symbols p and d represent primary and dual components respectively. Equation set (20) does not include the constraint that the each diagonal element must be equal to unity at closure. This constraint is included through modification of the current set of equations as discussed in Sandor and Erdman (1984). So, this set can be modified as,

$$F_{p12} = B_{p21} + B_{p11} + B_{p22} - 2$$

$$F_{p13} = B_{p31} + B_{p11} + B_{p33} - 2$$

$$F_{p23} = B_{p32} + B_{p22} + B_{p33} - 2$$
(22)



Figure 4 Planar slider crank when crank angle is zero

The left-hand sides of equations (21) & (22) contain the linear combination of respective elements of the H matrices and the error terms are contained in the left hand side of equation (11). Hence, combining equations (21) & (22) as partitions of a single matrix of real-number equations, the resulting equation is

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_p \\ --- \\ A_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_p \\ --- \\ V_d \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} H_{2p12} & H'_{2p12} & H_{3p12} & H'_{3p12} & H''_{4p12} \\ H_{2p13} & H'_{2p13} & H_{3p13} & H'_{3p13} & H''_{4p13} \\ H_{2p23} & H'_{2p23} & H_{3p23} & H'_{3p23} & H''_{4p23} \\ \hline & & \\ H_{2d12} & H'_{2d12} & H_{3d12} & H'_{3d12} & H''_{4d12} \\ H_{2d13} & H'_{2d13} & H_{3d13} & H'_{3d13} & H''_{4d13} \\ H_{2d23} & H'_{2d23} & H_{3d23} & H'_{3d23} & H''_{4d23} \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)  
$$V = \begin{bmatrix} B_{p21} + B_{p11} + B_{p22} - 2 \\ B_{p31} + B_{p11} + B_{p33} - 2 \\ B_{p32} + B_{p22} + B_{p33} - 2 \\ B_{d31} \\ B_{d32} \end{bmatrix}$$
(25)

and

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \theta_2 \\ \delta \eta_2 \\ \delta \theta_3 \\ \delta \eta_3 \\ \delta S_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

Rearranging equation (23) to solve for the error terms, the Newton-Raphson iteration technique can be achieved. But the matrix A, which is  $6 \times 5$ , cannot be inverted in usual method in order to do so, unless manipulations are performed as follows.

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{-1} = (\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A})^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}^T$$

Hence the modified form of equation (23) is as follows:

$$\Delta = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T V \tag{23a}$$

which is exactly same as  $\Delta = A^{-1}V$ .

The guesses of displacement variables are revised by adding the error terms,  $\Delta$ , to the guesses and the new set of joint variables is used in the next iteration to compute a new set of error terms. The iterative process is continued until the absolute values of all error terms are less than or equal to the desired accuracy limits. At this point the displacements are determined only for the first position of the input link. To solve for the displacements for a new position of the input link displacement, angle  $\theta_I$  is increased by a small amount and the previously calculated displacements are used as initial estimates for the next position. This process is continued for a complete rotation of the input link, the crank.

Another input variable, i.e. length  $S_1$ , the axial displacement of the crank, can be introduced in two following ways:

(1) it is constant throughout the complete rotation of the crank, i.e. the crank is axially displaced to a certain distance and is then rotated.

(2) the crank is constantly moving to and fro in simple harmonic motion along its own joint axis (the joint connecting the frame and the crank, i.e. joint 1). A new parameter  $\alpha$  is introduced which can mathematically be defined as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{number of cycles the crank slides through along its own joint axis}{number of complete rotations of the crank}$$
(27)

Hence relating the axial displacement of the crank to the rotation, translation  $S_1$  can be written as

$$S_1 = S_{S1} \sin(\alpha \theta_1) \tag{28}$$

where symbol SS1 is the amplitude of the sliding cyclic motion of the crank.

#### 2.6.2 Plane Geometry Method for Initial Estimations of the Joint Variables:

Initial estimations of the joint variables  $\theta_2$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ ,  $\eta_3$ ,  $S_4$  have been done by following simple plane geometry method. Fig. 4 shows planar slider crank with offset  $a_4$  only when input crank angle is zero. From geometry the following formulas for the joint variables can be written.

$$S_4 = [a_2^2 - (a_1 + a_4)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  

$$\theta_2 = \pi - \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{S_4}{a_1 + a_4}\right]$$
  

$$\theta_3 = 2\pi - \theta_2$$
  

$$\eta_2 = 0 \text{ and } \eta_3 = 0$$

#### 2.7 Velocity Analysis:

The dual velocity of link 1 (i.e., the crank) relative to link 4 (i.e., the frame) with respect to point 1 in terms of the unit vectors of system  $\{1\}$  is represented by the vector

where symbols  $\dot{\theta}_1$  and  $\dot{S}_1$  denote the rotational and translational velocities about  $k_1$  axis at joint *I* respectively. There is no other velocity about the other two axes at this joint.

Similarly the vector equations for other links can be written as

$${}^{2}\widehat{V}_{21}^{2} = \begin{cases} 0\\ \dot{\eta}_{2}\\ \dot{\theta}_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$(30)$$

where symbols  $\dot{\eta}_2$  and  $\dot{\theta}_2$  denote rotational velocities about the  $j_2$  and  $k_2$  axes at joint 2 respectively.

$${}^{3}\widehat{V}_{32}^{3} = \begin{cases} 0\\ \dot{\eta}_{3}\\ \dot{\theta}_{3} \end{cases}$$

$$(31)$$

where symbols  $\dot{\eta}_3$  and  $\dot{\theta}_3$  denote rotational velocities about the *j*<sub>3</sub> and *k*<sub>3</sub> axes at joint 3 respectively.

$${}^{4}\!V_{43}^{4} = \begin{cases} 0\\ 0\\ \varepsilon \dot{S}_{4} \end{cases}$$
(32)

where symbol  $S_4$  denotes actually the slider velocity about the  $k_4$  axis.

The dual velocity of point 2 on the crank relative to the frame in terms of the unit vectors of system {2}, i.e.  ${}^{2}V_{14}^{2}$ , can be derived by premultiplying equation (29) by the transpose of equation (1):

$$\hat{2}\hat{V}_{14}^{2} = \hat{1}\hat{M}\hat{V}_{14}^{1} \qquad (\hat{1}\hat{M} = (\hat{1}\hat{M})^{T})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{1} - \varepsilon S_{1}s\theta_{1} & s\theta_{1} + \varepsilon S_{1}c\theta_{1} & 0\\ -s\theta_{1} - \varepsilon S_{1}c\theta_{1} & c\theta_{1} - \varepsilon S_{1}s\theta_{1} & \varepsilon a_{1}\\ \varepsilon a_{1}s\theta_{1} & -\varepsilon a_{1}c\theta_{1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ \dot{\theta}_{1} + \varepsilon \dot{S}_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \varepsilon a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}\\ \dot{\theta}_{1} + \varepsilon \dot{S}_{1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (33)$$

The dual velocity of point 2 on the connecting rod relative to the frame in terms of the unit vectors of the system  $\{2\}$  is determined by adding the equations (33) and (30):

$$\hat{}^{2}V_{24}^{2} = \hat{}^{2}V_{14}^{2} + \hat{}^{2}V_{21}^{2} = \begin{cases} 0\\\epsilon a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}\\\dot{\theta}_{1}+\epsilon\dot{S}_{1} \end{cases} + \begin{cases} 0\\\dot{\eta}_{2}\\\dot{\theta}_{2} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0\\\dot{\eta}_{2}+\epsilon\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}\\\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2}+\epsilon\dot{S}_{1} \end{cases}$$
(34)

The dual velocity of point 3 on the connecting rod relative to the frame in terms of the unit vectors of the system  $\{3\}$  is determined by using this equation and the transpose of equation (2):

$${}^{3}V_{24}^{3} = {}^{3}L^{2}V_{24}^{2} \qquad ({}^{3}L = ({}^{2}L)^{T} )$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} & s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} & -s\eta_{2} \\ -s\theta_{2}+\epsilon a_{2}c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} & c\theta_{2}+\epsilon a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} & \epsilon a_{2}c\eta_{2} \\ c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\epsilon a_{2}s\theta_{2} & s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-\epsilon a_{2}c\theta_{2} & c\eta_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\eta}_{2}+\epsilon\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1} \\ \dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2}+\epsilon\dot{S}_{1} \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} -(\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2} + \dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} + \varepsilon(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}) \\ \dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2} + \varepsilon[\dot{\theta}_{1}(a_{1}c\theta_{2} + a_{2}c\eta_{2}) + \dot{\theta}_{2}a_{2}c\eta_{2} + \dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}] \\ (\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2} + \dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + \varepsilon(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} - \dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\theta_{2} + \dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2}) \end{cases}$$
(35)

The matrix equation for dual velocity of point 3 on the connecting rod relative to the frame in terms of unit vector of system  $\{3\}$  can also be formulated by using the backward path of the loop. The procedure is as follows:

From equations (31) and (32) respectively,

$${}^{3}V_{23}^{3} = -{}^{3}V_{32}^{3} = \begin{cases} 0\\ -\dot{\eta}_{3}\\ -\dot{\theta}_{3} \end{cases}$$
(31a)

$${}^{4}\hat{V}_{34}^{4} = -{}^{4}\hat{V}_{43}^{4} = \begin{cases} 0\\0\\-\varepsilon \dot{S}_{4} \end{cases}$$
(32a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{V}_{24}^{3} = {}^{3}\hat{L}^{4}\hat{V}_{34}^{4} + {}^{3}\hat{V}_{23}^{3}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_3 c\eta_3 & c\theta_3 s\eta_3 & s\theta_3 \\ s\theta_3 c\eta_3 & s\theta_3 s\eta_3 & -c\theta_3 \\ -s\eta_3 & c\eta_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\varepsilon \dot{S}_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\dot{\eta}_3 \\ -\dot{\theta}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon \dot{S}_4 s\theta_3 \\ -\dot{\eta}_3 + \varepsilon \dot{S}_4 c\theta_3 \\ -\dot{\theta}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(36)

[This has been obtained using equations (3), (31a) and (32a)]

Equating the two expressions (35) & (36) yields

$$\begin{cases} -(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}+\varepsilon(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})\\ \dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\varepsilon[\dot{\theta}_{1}(a_{1}c\theta_{2}+a_{2}c\eta_{2})+\dot{\theta}_{2}a_{2}c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}]\\ (\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\varepsilon(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2}) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon\dot{S}_{4}s\theta_{3}\\ -\dot{\eta}_{3}+\varepsilon\dot{S}_{4}c\theta_{3}\\ -\dot{\theta}_{3} \end{cases}$$
(37)

This matrix equation actually contains six linear equations (3 primary and 3 dual) with five unknowns. The next step is to rewrite equation (37) as a system of linear equations as follows:

$$-(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)s\eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2s\theta_2c\eta_2 = 0 \tag{37a}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_1 a_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 s \eta_2 = -\dot{S}_4 s \theta_3 \tag{37b}$$

$$\dot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 = -\dot{\eta}_3 \tag{37c}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_1(a_1c\theta_2 + a_2c\eta_2) + \dot{\theta}_2a_2c\eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2a_2s\theta_2s\eta_2 = \dot{S}_4c\theta_3$$
(37d)

$$(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)c\eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 s\theta_2 s\eta_2 = -\dot{\theta}_3 \tag{37e}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_1 a_1 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2 a_2 c \theta_2 + \dot{S}_1 c \eta_2 = 0 \tag{37f}$$

Now separating the unknowns to form a column matrix and a coefficient matrix,

the product of these two matrices must be equal to some column matrix as shown below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -s\eta_2 & 0 & s\theta_2 c\eta_2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s\theta_3\\ 0 & 0 & c\theta_2 & 1 & 0\\ a_2 c\eta_2 & 0 & a_2 s\theta_2 s\eta_2 & 0 & -c\theta_3\\ c\eta_2 & 1 & s\theta_2 s\eta_2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -a_2 c\theta_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_2\\ \dot{\theta}_3\\ \dot{\eta}_2\\ \dot{\eta}_3\\ \dot{s}_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 s\eta_2\\ -\dot{\theta}_1 a_1 s\theta_2 c\eta_2 + \dot{s}_1 s\eta_2\\ 0\\ -\dot{\theta}_1 (a_1 c\theta_2 + a_2 c\eta_2)\\ -\dot{\theta}_1 a_1 s\theta_2 - \dot{s}_1 c\eta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

The coefficient matrix is  $6 \times 5$  and the column matrix of the unknowns is  $5 \times 1$ , therefore it is a system of six equations with five unknowns. Since rank of the coefficient matrix equals 5, the number of columns, a unique solution can be obtained. The final solutions for all the unknowns are as follows:

$$\dot{\theta}_{2} = \frac{\dot{S}_{1}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}c\eta_{2} - a_{1}(\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3} + \dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}) - a_{2}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{3}}{a_{2}s\theta_{3}} \tag{39}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_3 = \frac{a_1(\dot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 s \theta_3 + \dot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \theta_3 c \eta_2) - \dot{S}_1 c \theta_3 s \eta_2}{a_2 s \theta_3} \tag{40}$$

$$\dot{\eta}_2 = \frac{-s\eta_2[a_1(\dot{\theta}_1c\theta_2s\theta_3 + \dot{\theta}_1s\theta_2c\theta_3c\eta_2) - \dot{S}_1s\eta_2c\theta_3]}{a_2s\theta_2s\theta_3} \tag{41}$$

$$\dot{\eta}_3 = \frac{c\theta_2 s\eta_2 [\dot{\theta}_1 a_1 (s\theta_2 c\theta_3 c\eta_2 + c\theta_2 s\theta_3) - \dot{S}_1 s\eta_2 c\theta_3]}{a_2 s\theta_2 s\theta_3} \tag{42}$$

$$\dot{S}_4 = \frac{\dot{S}_1 s \eta_2 - \dot{\theta}_1 a_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2}{s \theta_3} \tag{43}$$

All these equations involve the sliding velocity of the crank along its own joint axis (the joint connecting frame and crank, i.e. joint 1), which is given by either

$$\dot{S}_1 = 0$$
 [when  $S_1 = constant$ ]

or

$$\dot{S}_1 = \alpha S_{S1} \cos(\alpha \theta_1) \dot{\theta}_1$$
 [when  $S_1$  is sinusoidal] (28a)

[ equation (28a) is obtained by differentiating equation (28) with respect to time when  $S_1$  is variable ]

For dynamic analysis, equations (33) and (35) which respectively formulate the dual velocities of point 2 on the crank relative to the stationary frame in terms of the unit vectors of the system  $\{2\}$  and of point 3 on the connecting rod relative to the stationary frame in terms of the unit vectors of the system  $\{3\}$ , will be used. In addition to that, the dual velocity of point 4 on the slider relative frame in terms of the unit vectors of the system  $\{4\}$ , i.e.  $4V_{34}$ , is also needed. The derivation of that velocity vector is obtained by adding equations (31) & (35)

$${}^{3}V_{34}^{3} = {}^{3}V_{24}^{3} + {}^{3}V_{32}^{3}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - (\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2} + \varepsilon(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}) \\ \dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2} + \dot{\eta}_{3} + \varepsilon[\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2} + \dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + (\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}] \\ (\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2} + \dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + \dot{\theta}_{3} + \varepsilon(\dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2} + a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} - \dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\theta_{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$(44)$$

using equations (3) & (44)  ${}^{4}V_{34}^{4} = {}^{4}L^{3}V_{34}^{3}$ 

$$=\begin{cases} c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})-s\eta_{3}((\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3})+\varepsilon[c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3})+\varepsilon[c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\theta_{2})] \\ ------c\\ c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})+c\eta_{3}((\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3})+\varepsilon[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3})+\varepsilon[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3})+\varepsilon[c\theta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2})+c\eta_{3}(\dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\eta_{2}s\theta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\theta_{2})] \\ -c\theta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2})] \\ -c\theta_{3}(\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2})] \end{cases}$$
(45)

#### 2.8 Dynamic Force and Torque Analysis:

## STEP (1) Formulation of inertia binors:

Assuming that the links are symmetrical about their respective lengths, the location of centers of mass of link 1 in terms of frame  $\{2\}$ , of link 2 in terms of frame  $\{3\}$  and of link 3 in terms of  $\{4\}$  can be represented by the vector forms as follows:

$${}^{2}\vec{G}_{1} = \begin{cases} g_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases} \qquad {}^{3}\vec{G}_{2} = \begin{cases} g_{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases} \qquad {}^{4}\vec{G}_{3} = \begin{cases} g_{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

where symbols  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  are respectively the distances along the lengths of links 1, 2 and 3 from their distal joints to their centers of mass. If symbols  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  represent their respective masses, then the inertia matrices of link 1 in terms of frame  $\{2\}$ , of link 2 in terms of frame  $\{3\}$ , of link 3 in terms of frame  $\{4\}$  can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{2}S_{1} \end{bmatrix} = m_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -g_{1} \\ 0 & g_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}S_{2} \end{bmatrix} = m_{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -g_{2} \\ 0 & g_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{4}S_{3} \end{bmatrix} = m_{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -g_{3} \\ 0 & g_{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assuming that the principal axes of each link are oriented the same as the frame fixed upon it, the mass moments of inertia for each link can be written as
$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{2}J_{1} \end{bmatrix} = m_{1} \begin{bmatrix} K_{1x}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{1y}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{1z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}J_{2} \end{bmatrix} = m_{2} \begin{bmatrix} K_{2x}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{2y}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{2z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{4}J_{3} \end{bmatrix} = m_{3} \begin{bmatrix} K_{3x}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{3y}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{3z}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where symbols  $K_{ax}$ ,  $K_{ay}$  and  $K_{az}$  represent the radii of gyration of link a in terms of frame  $\{a+1\}$  fixed on that link (a = 1, 2 and 3).

The general form of the inertia binor of link a in terms of frame  $\{B\}$  is written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}\varphi_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{\begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}S_{a} \end{bmatrix}^{T}} & | & m_{a}[I] \\ ----- & | & ----- \\ {}^{\begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}J_{a} \end{bmatrix}^{T}} & | & {}^{\begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}S_{a} \end{bmatrix}} \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a  $6 \times 6$  matrix where symbol  $m_a$  is the mass of link a, [<sup>B</sup>J<sub>a</sub>] is the mass moment of inertia as described above and [I] is identity matrix,

$$[I] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and matrix  $[{}^{B}S_{a}]$  is the first moment of mass matrix of link 'a' in terms of frame {B}, which can be generalized as

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}S_{a} \end{bmatrix} = m_{a} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -g_{z} & g_{y} \\ g_{z} & 0 & -g_{x} \\ -g_{y} & g_{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore the inertia binors of link 1 in terms of frame  $\{2\}$ , of link 2 in terms of frame  $\{3\}$ , of link 3 in terms of frame  $\{4\}$  can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{split} [^{2}\varphi_{1}] &= m_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{1} & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{1} & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ --- & --- & | & --- & --- & --- \\ K_{1x}^{2} & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{1y}^{2} & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & -g_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & K_{1z}^{2} & | & 0 & g_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(46)  
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3\varphi_{2} \end{bmatrix} &= m_{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{2} & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{2} & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ --- & --- & | & --- & --- & --- \\ K_{2x}^{2} & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & -g_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & K_{2y}^{2} & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & -g_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & K_{2z}^{2} & | & 0 & g_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(47)  
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4\varphi_{3} \end{bmatrix} &= m_{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{3} & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ --- & --- & --- & | & --- & --- \\ K_{3x}^{2} & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ --- & --- & --- & | & --- & --- \\ K_{3x}^{2} & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{3y}^{2} & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & -g_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & K_{3x}^{2} & | & 0 & g_{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(48)

STEP (2) Formulation of dual momentum vectors:

In this step, some of the velocity vector equations are used to formulate the momenta of the moving links. In order to do that we can either use the velocity equations formulated through the forward path only or some velocity equation obtained through the backward path and some through the forward path. In either case,

the same numerical results are obtained, but mixed path equations set is much simpler than only the forward path equations set.

First the forward path equations are used to formulate the momenta. Equations (33), (35) and (45) can respectively be written in the form of  $6 \times 1$  column matrices by separating primary and dual components as shown below (equations (33), (35) and (45) are obtained from the forward path only):

$${}^{2}\widetilde{V}_{14}^{2} = \begin{cases} V_{1xp} \\ V_{1yp} \\ V_{1zp} \\ V_{1xd} \\ V_{1xd} \\ V_{1yd} \\ V_{1xd} \\ V_{1yd} \\ V_{1zd} \\ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\theta}_{1} \\ 0 \\ a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1} \\ \dot{S}_{1} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(33a)  
$${}^{3}\widetilde{V}_{24}^{3} = \begin{cases} V_{2xp} \\ V_{2yp} \\ V_{2yp} \\ V_{2zp} \\$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ V_{2xd} \\ V_{2yd} \\ V_{2zd} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta}_{1}a_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - \hat{S}_{1}s\eta_{2} \\ \hat{\theta}_{1}a_{1}c\theta_{2} + \hat{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + (\hat{\theta}_{1} + \hat{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2} \\ \hat{S}_{1}c\eta_{2} + a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} - \hat{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\theta_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(35a)

Premultiplying equation (33a) by equations (46), (35a) by (47) and (45a) by (48) the following column matrices are obtained

$${}^{2}\widetilde{H}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{2}\varphi_{1} \end{bmatrix} {}^{2}\widetilde{V}_{14}^{2} = m_{1} \begin{cases} 0 \\ g_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1} + a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1} \\ \dot{S}_{1} \\ 0 \\ -g_{1}\dot{S}_{1} \\ K_{1z}^{2}\dot{\theta}_{1} + g_{1}a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(49)
$${}^{3}\widetilde{H}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}\varphi_{2} \end{bmatrix} {}^{3}\widetilde{V}_{24}^{3}$$

$$= m_{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_{1}a_{1s}\partial_{2}c_{12}-\delta_{1s}\eta_{2}}{-2} \\ g_{2}(\partial_{1}+\partial_{2})c\eta_{2}+g_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}\eta_{2}+\partial_{1}a_{1}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\partial_{1}+\partial_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}}{-2} \\ -g_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\delta_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\partial_{1}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\partial_{2}} \\ -g_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\delta_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\partial_{1}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\partial_{2}} \\ K_{2}^{2}\dot{\eta}_{1}c\partial_{2}-g_{2}\delta_{1}c\eta_{2}-g_{2}a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+g_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\partial_{2}} \\ K_{2}^{2}\dot{\eta}_{1}c\partial_{2}-g_{2}\delta_{1}c\eta_{2}-g_{2}a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+g_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}a_{2}c\partial_{2}} \\ K_{2}^{2}\dot{\eta}_{1}+\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}+K_{2}^{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+g_{2}\dot{\theta}_{1}+\partial_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}} \end{bmatrix} (50) \\ 4\tilde{H}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\varphi_{3} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{4}\tilde{Y}_{34}^{3} \\ \frac{c\partial_{3}c\eta_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-\delta_{1}s\eta_{2})+s\partial_{3}c\eta_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}} \\ +(\partial_{1}+\partial_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}]-s\eta_{3}(\delta_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}\eta_{2}-a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}) \\ -g_{3}[c\partial_{3}s\eta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]-c\partial_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})]+c\partial_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3}) \\ -g_{3}[c\partial_{3}s\eta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+s\partial_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3}) \\ -c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]] +s\partial_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-\delta_{1}s\eta_{2}) \\ -c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]] -g_{3}[s\partial_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3}) \\ -g_{3}[(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]] -g_{3}[s\partial_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{3}) + c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}\eta_{2}] \\ -c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]] -g_{3}[s\partial_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{3}) + c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}\eta_{2}] \\ -c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}+\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}+\partial_{3}d_{2}s\eta_{2}-c\partial_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})] \\ -g_{3}[c\partial_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}s\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}] -c\partial_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})] + c\partial_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}d\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{3}+\partial_{3}d_{2}\eta_{2}-c\partial_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\partial_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})] \\ -c\partial_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\partial_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2}$$

Formulations for the dual momentum of link 1, i.e. the crank in terms of frame  $\{2\}$ , of link 2, i.e. the connecting rod, in terms frame  $\{3\}$  and of link 3, i.e. the slider, in terms of frame  $\{4\}$ , can respectively be obtained by modifying equations (49), (50) and (51) to  $3 \times 1$  dual-number matrix equations as shown below

$${}^{2}\hat{H}_{1} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{1x} \\ \hat{H}_{1y} \\ \hat{H}_{1z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} H_{1xp} + \varepsilon H_{1xd} \\ H_{1yp} + \varepsilon H_{1yd} \\ H_{1zp} + \varepsilon H_{1xd} \end{cases} = m_{1} \begin{cases} 0 \\ \hat{\theta}_{1}(a_{1}+g_{1})-\varepsilon g_{1}S_{1} \\ \hat{S}_{1}+\varepsilon (K_{1z}^{2}\hat{\theta}_{1}+g_{1}a_{1}\hat{\theta}_{1}) \end{cases}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2zp} + \varepsilon H_{2zd} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2zp} + \varepsilon H_{2zd} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2pp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2pp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2pp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2pp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{3yp} \\ \hat{H}_{3z} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{3yp} \\ \hat{H}_{3yp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3yp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3yp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{3yp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3pp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3pp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(49a)  
$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{3yp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3pp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3pp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3pp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(50a)

$$\begin{cases} c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(a_{1}\theta_{1s}\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1s}\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})\\ a_{2}c\eta_{2}]-s\eta_{3}(\dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2})+\varepsilon[K_{3x}^{2}[c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})-s\eta_{3}[(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]]]\\ \\ -------\\ g_{3}[s\theta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]-c\theta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})]+[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+\varepsilon[K_{3y}^{2}[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+c\theta_{3}(\dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2})]+\varepsilon[K_{3y}^{2}[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]\\ +s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})+c\eta_{3}[(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}]]]\\ \\ m_{3} \begin{cases} (a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})-c\theta_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]\\ +s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})+c\eta_{3}[(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]\\ -g_{3}[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})+c\eta_{3}\\ [(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]]+[s\theta_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})-c\theta_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}]]+\varepsilon[K_{3z}^{2}[s\theta_{3}[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]\\ -c\theta_{3}(\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})]+g_{3}[c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})-c\theta_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})a_{2}c\eta_{2}]+c\eta_{3}(\dot{S}_{1}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2})]] \end{cases}$$

-

Dual momentum equations (49a), (50a) and (51a) are purely forward path equations, since all the velocity equations (33a, 35a and 45a) used to derive these equations are forward path velocity equations. Out of these three momentum equations, two equations (50a) and (51a) are very large consisting of many sine and cosine functions and their derivatives, which may cause inaccurate results. In order to have more concise equations and better accuracy, two backward path velocity equations are used to derive two momentum equations as the alternative to equations (50a) and (51a).

Now, the backward path velocity equations (36) and (32a) can be written in the form of  $6 \times 1$  column matrices by the same method as before, and going through exactly the same procedure, simpler and concise equations are obtained which can be used as replacements for the above-mentioned large equations.

$${}^{3}\tilde{V}_{24}^{3} = \begin{cases} V_{2xp} \\ V_{2yp} \\ V_{2xd} \\ V_{2yd} \\ V_{2zd} \\ V_{2zd} \\ V_{2zd} \\ V_{2zd} \\ V_{2zd} \\ V_{3zd} \\ V_{3zp} \\ V_{3xp} \\ V_{3xd} \\ V_{3zd} \\$$

Premultiplying equation (36a) by equation (47) and (32b) by (48) the following column matrices are obtained.

$${}^{3}\widetilde{H}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}\varphi_{2} \end{bmatrix} {}^{3}\widetilde{V_{24}^{3}} = m_{2} \begin{cases} -\dot{S}_{4}s\theta_{3} \\ -g_{2}\dot{\theta}_{3} + \dot{S}_{4}c\theta_{3} \\ g_{2}\dot{\eta}_{3} \\ 0 \\ -K_{2y}^{2}\dot{\eta}_{3} \\ -K_{2z}^{2}\dot{\theta}_{3} + g_{2}\dot{S}_{4}c\theta_{3} \end{cases}$$
(52)  
$${}^{4}\widetilde{H}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{4}\varphi_{3} \end{bmatrix} {}^{4}\widetilde{V_{34}^{4}} = m_{3} \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\dot{S}_{4} \\ 0 \\ g_{3}\dot{S}_{4} \\ 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(53)

Now, alternative expressions for (50a) and (51a) using the backward path are respectively

$${}^{3}\hat{H}_{2} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{2x} \\ \hat{H}_{2y} \\ \hat{H}_{2z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} H_{2xp} + \varepsilon H_{2xd} \\ H_{2yp} + \varepsilon H_{2yd} \\ H_{2zp} + \varepsilon H_{2zd} \end{cases} = m_{2} \begin{cases} -\dot{S}_{4} \varepsilon \theta_{3} \\ (-g_{2}\dot{\theta}_{3} + \dot{S}_{4} c \theta_{3}) - \varepsilon K_{2y}^{2} \dot{\eta}_{3} \\ g_{3}\dot{\eta}_{3} + \varepsilon (g_{2}\dot{S}_{4} c \theta_{3} - K_{2z}^{2}\dot{\theta}_{3}) \end{cases}$$
(52a)

$${}^{4}\hat{H}_{3} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{3x} \\ \hat{H}_{3y} \\ \hat{H}_{3z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} H_{3xp} + \varepsilon H_{3xd} \\ H_{3yp} + \varepsilon H_{3yd} \\ H_{3zp} + \varepsilon H_{3zd} \end{cases} = m_{3} \begin{cases} 0 \\ \varepsilon g_{3}\dot{S}_{4} \\ -\dot{S}_{4} \end{cases}$$
(53a)

In the next steps and in the computer program either equations set  $\{(33a), (35a), (45a), (49a), (50a) \text{ and } (51a) \}$  or set  $\{(33a), (36a), (32b), (49a), (52a) \text{ and } (53a) \}$  can be used. The first set consists of purely forward path equations and the second set consists of mixed path equations; equations (33a) and (49a) are forward path equations and rest of the equations in the second set are backward path equations. Both the sets were tested by the program for a number of cases and resulted in same outcome. Finally the second set is used in the program to have better accuracy.

### STEP (3) Time derivatives of dual momentum Equations:

Differentiating forward path equations (49a), (50a) and (51a) with respect to time the following equations are obtained respectively:

$${}^{2}\dot{\hat{H}}_{1} = \begin{cases} \hat{\hat{H}}_{1x} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{1y} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{1y} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{1z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{H}}_{1xp} + \varepsilon \dot{\hat{H}}_{1xd} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{1zp} + \varepsilon \dot{\hat{H}}_{1yd} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{1zp} + \varepsilon \dot{\hat{H}}_{1zd} \end{cases} = m_{1} \begin{cases} 0 \\ \ddot{\theta}_{1}(a_{1} + g_{1}) - \varepsilon g_{1} \ddot{S}_{1} \\ \ddot{S}_{1} + \varepsilon (K_{1z}^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1} + g_{1} a_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(54)$$

$${}^{3}\dot{\hat{H}}_{2} = \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{H}}_{2x} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{2y} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{2z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{H}}_{2xp} + \varepsilon \dot{\hat{H}}_{2xd} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{2yp} + \varepsilon \dot{\hat{H}}_{2yd} \\ \dot{\hat{H}}_{2zp} + \varepsilon \dot{\hat{H}}_{2zd} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \dot{H}_{3xp} &= m_3 [(-\dot{\theta}_3 s \theta_3 c \eta_3 - \dot{\eta}_3 c \theta_3 s \eta_3) (a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 s \eta_2) + c \theta_3 c \eta_3 (a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 c \eta_2 - a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - \ddot{S}_1 s \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 c \eta_2) + (\dot{\theta}_3 c \theta_3 c \eta_3 - \dot{\eta}_3 s \theta_3 s \eta_3) \\ &= [a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) a_2 c \eta_2] + s \theta_3 c \eta_3 [a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 - a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2 \\ &+ a_2 \ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 s \eta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 s \eta_2 + (\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) a_2 c \eta_2] \\ &- \dot{\eta}_3 c \eta_3 (\dot{S}_1 c \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2) - s \eta_3 (\dot{S}_1 c \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \eta_2 \\ &+ a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 s \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - a_2 \ddot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2)] \end{split}$$
(56a)

$$\dot{H}_{3xd} = m_3 [K_{3x}^2 [(-\dot{\theta}_3 s \theta_3 c \eta_3 - \dot{\eta}_3 c \theta_3 s \eta_3) [\dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) s \eta_2] + c \theta_3 c \eta_3 [\ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \dot{\eta}_2 c \eta_2 - (\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) s \eta_2] + (\dot{\theta}_3 c \theta_3 c \eta_3 - \dot{\eta}_3 s \theta_3 s \eta_3) (\dot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 + \dot{\eta}_3) + s \theta_3 c \eta_3 (\ddot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2 + \ddot{\eta}_3) \\ - \dot{\eta}_3 c \eta_3 [(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) c \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \dot{\theta}_3] - s \eta_3 [(\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \dot{\eta}_2 s \eta_2 + \ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + \ddot{\theta}_3]]]$$
(56b)

$$\begin{split} \dot{H}_{3yp} &= m_3[g_3[\dot{\theta}_3c\theta_3[\dot{\eta}_2s\theta_2c\eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)s\eta_2] + s\theta_3[\ddot{\eta}_2s\theta_2c\eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2\dot{\theta}_2c\theta_2c\eta_2 \\ &-\dot{\eta}_2^2s\theta_2s\eta_2 - (\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2)s\eta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2c\eta_2(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)] + \dot{\theta}_3s\theta_3(\dot{\eta}_2c\theta_2 + \dot{\eta}_3) - c\theta_3 \\ (\ddot{\eta}_2c\theta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2\dot{\theta}_2s\theta_2 + \ddot{\eta}_3)] + [(\dot{\eta}_3c\eta_3c\theta_3 - \dot{\theta}_3s\theta_3s\eta_3)(a_1\dot{\theta}_1s\theta_2c\eta_2 - \dot{S}_1s\eta_2) \\ &+ c\theta_3s\eta_3(a_1\ddot{\theta}_1s\theta_2c\eta_2 + a_1\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2c\theta_2c\eta_2 - a_1\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\eta}_2s\theta_2s\eta_2 - \ddot{S}_1s\eta_2 - \ddot{S}_1\dot{\eta}_2c\eta_2) \\ &+ (\dot{\theta}_3c\theta_3s\eta_3 + \dot{\eta}_3s\theta_3c\eta_3)[a_1\dot{\theta}_1c\theta_2 + a_2\dot{\eta}_2s\theta_2s\eta_2 + (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)a_2c\eta_2] + s\theta_3s\eta_3 \\ &[a_1\ddot{\theta}_1c\theta_2 - a_1\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2s\theta_2 + a_2\ddot{\eta}_2s\theta_2s\eta_2 + a_2\dot{\eta}_2\dot{\theta}_2c\theta_2s\eta_2 + a_2\dot{\eta}_2^2s\theta_2c\eta_2 + \\ &(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)a_2c\eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)a_2\dot{\eta}_2s\eta_2] - \dot{\eta}_3s\eta_3(\dot{S}_1c\eta_2 + a_1\dot{\theta}_1s\theta_2s\eta_2 - a_2\dot{\eta}_2c\theta_2) + \\ &c\eta_3(\ddot{S}_1c\eta_2 - \dot{S}_1\dot{\eta}_2s\eta_2 + a_1\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2c\theta_2s\eta_2 + a_2\dot{\theta}_2\dot{\eta}_2s\theta_2c\eta_2 + a_1\dot{\theta}_1s\theta_2s\eta_2 \\ &- a_2\ddot{\eta}_2c\theta_2 + a_2\dot{\theta}_2\dot{\eta}_2s\theta_2)]] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{H_{3yd}} &= m_3 [K_{3y}^2 [(\dot{\eta}_3 c \theta_3 c \eta_3 - \dot{\theta}_3 s \theta_3 s \eta_3) \\ & [\dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) s \eta_2] + c \theta_3 s \eta_3 [\ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 \\ & - (\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) s \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \dot{\eta}_2 c \eta_2] + (\dot{\theta}_3 c \theta_3 s \eta_3 + \dot{\eta}_3 s \theta_3 c \eta_3) (\dot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 + \dot{\eta}_3) + \\ & s \theta_3 s \eta_3 (\ddot{\eta}_3 + \ddot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2) + c \eta_3 [(\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \dot{\eta}_2 s \eta_2 \\ & + \ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + \ddot{\theta}_3] - \dot{\eta}_3 s \eta_3 [(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) c \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \dot{\theta}_3]] \\ & - g_3 [s \theta_3 [a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 c \eta_2 + a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - \ddot{S}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 c \eta_2] \\ & + \dot{\theta}_3 c \theta_3 (a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 s \eta_2) + \dot{\theta}_3 s \theta_3 [a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) a_2 c \eta_2] \\ & - c \theta_3 [a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 - a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2 + a_2 \ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \eta_2 s \eta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \eta_2 s \eta_2 +$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{H}_{3zp} &= m_{3}[-g_{3}[(\dot{\eta}_{3}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3}-\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3})[\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}[\ddot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}+\\ \dot{\eta}_{2}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}^{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})\dot{\eta}_{2}c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})s\eta_{2}]+(\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}+\dot{\eta}_{3}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3})\\ (\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{3})+s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3}(\ddot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}-\dot{\eta}_{2}\dot{\theta}_{2}s\theta_{2}+\ddot{\eta}_{3})+c\eta_{3}[(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}-(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})\dot{\eta}_{2}s\eta_{2}\\ &+\ddot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}^{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]-\dot{\eta}_{3}s\eta_{3}[(\dot{\theta}_{1}+\dot{\theta}_{2})c\eta_{2}+\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+\dot{\theta}_{3}]]\\ &+[\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{3}(a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2})+s\theta_{3}(a_{1}\ddot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}\\ &-a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}-\ddot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}-\dot{S}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\eta_{2})+\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}[a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}^{2}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}^{2}s\eta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}^{2}s\eta_{2}+a_{2}\dot{\eta}_{2}^{2}s\eta$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{H}_{3zd} &= m_3 [K_{3z}^2 [\dot{\theta}_3 c \theta_3 [\dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) s \eta_2] + \\ & s \theta_3 [\dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - (\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) s \eta_2 - \\ (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \dot{\eta}_2 c \eta_2] + \dot{\theta}_3 s \theta_3 (\dot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 + \dot{\eta}_3) - c \theta_3 (\ddot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2 + \ddot{\eta}_3)] + g_3 [(\dot{\eta}_3 c \theta_3 c \eta_3 - \\ \dot{\theta}_3 s \theta_3 s \eta_3) (a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 s \eta_2) + c \theta_3 s \eta_3 (a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 c \eta_2 - \\ a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - \ddot{S}_1 s \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 c \eta_2) + (\dot{\theta}_3 c \theta_3 s \eta_3 + \dot{\eta}_3 s \theta_3 c \eta_3) [a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \\ (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) a_2 c \eta_2] + s \theta_3 s \eta_3 [a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 c \theta_2 - a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2 + a_2 \ddot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 + \\ a_2 \dot{\eta}_2^2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 s \eta_2 + (\ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{\theta}_2) a_2 c \eta_2 - (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 s \eta_2] - \\ \dot{\eta}_3 s \eta_3 (\dot{S}_1 c \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2) + c \eta_3 (\ddot{S}_1 c \eta_2 - \dot{S}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \eta_2 + a_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 s \theta_2 s \eta_2 - \\ & + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 c \theta_2 s \eta_2 + a_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\eta}_2 s \theta_2 c \eta_2 - a_2 \ddot{\eta}_2 c \theta_2 + a_2 \dot{\eta}_2 \dot{\theta}_2 s \theta_2)]] \end{split}$$

Now, backward path equations (52a) and (53a) are differentiated with respect to time. The following equations are replacements for equations (55) and (56) respectively.

In the program either forward path equations set  $\{ (54), (55) \text{ and } (56) \}$  or mixed path equations set  $\{ (54), (57) \text{ and } (58) \}$  can be used. To have better results the second set is used.

# STEP (4) Formulation of the Dual-Euler equations:

In this step, equations for the inertia forces of link 1 (i.e. the crank) relative to frame  $\{2\}$ , for link 2 (i.e. the connecting rod) relative to frame  $\{3\}$  and for link 3 (i.e. the slider) relative to frame  $\{4\}$  are derived. The derivations are shown as follows:

$${}^{2}\hat{f_{1}} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{1x} - \hat{V}_{1z}\hat{H}_{1y} + \hat{V}_{1y}\hat{H}_{1z} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{H}_{1y} - \hat{V}_{1x}\hat{H}_{1z} + \hat{V}_{1z}\hat{H}_{1x} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{H}_{1z} - \hat{V}_{1y}\hat{H}_{1x} + \hat{V}_{1x}\hat{H}_{1y} \end{cases}$$

.

Expanding both sides,

$$\begin{cases} f_{1xp} + \varepsilon f_{1xd} \\ f_{1yp} + \varepsilon f_{1yd} \\ f_{1zp} + \varepsilon f_{1zd} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (\dot{H}_{1xp} - V_{1zp}H_{1yp} + V_{1yp}H_{1zp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{1xd} - V_{1zp}H_{1yd} - V_{1zd}H_{1yp} + V_{1yp}H_{1zd} + V_{1yd}H_{1zp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{1yp} - V_{1xp}H_{1zp} + V_{1zp}H_{1xp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{1yd} - V_{1xp}H_{1zd} - V_{1xd}H_{1zp} + V_{1zp}H_{1xd} + V_{1zd}H_{1xp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{1zp} - V_{1yp}H_{1xp} + V_{1xp}H_{1yp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{1zd} - V_{1yp}H_{1xd} - V_{1yd}H_{1xp} + V_{1xp}H_{1yd} + V_{1xd}H_{1yp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{2z} - \dot{V}_{2z}\dot{H}_{2y} + \dot{V}_{2y}\dot{H}_{2z} \\ \dot{\dot{H}}_{2y} - \dot{V}_{2x}\dot{H}_{2z} + \dot{V}_{2x}\dot{H}_{2y} \\ \dot{\dot{H}}_{2z} - \dot{V}_{2y}\dot{H}_{2x} + \dot{V}_{2x}\dot{H}_{2y} \end{cases}$$
(59)

Expanding both sides,

$$\begin{cases} f_{2xp} + \varepsilon f_{2xd} \\ f_{2yp} + \varepsilon f_{2yd} \\ f_{2zp} + \varepsilon f_{2zd} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (\dot{H}_{2xp} - V_{2zp}H_{2yp} + V_{2yp}H_{2zp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{2xd} - V_{2zp}H_{2yd} - V_{2zd}H_{2yp} + V_{2yp}H_{2zd} + V_{2yd}H_{2zp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{2yp} - V_{2xp}H_{2zp} + V_{2zp}H_{2xp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{2yd} - V_{2xp}H_{2zd} - V_{2xd}H_{2zp} + V_{2zp}H_{2xd} + V_{2zd}H_{2xp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{2zp} - V_{2yp}H_{2xp} + V_{2xp}H_{2yp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{2zd} - V_{2yp}H_{2xd} - V_{2yd}H_{2xp} + V_{2xp}H_{2yd} + V_{2xp}H_{2yd} + V_{2xp}H_{2yd} + V_{2xd}H_{2xp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{2zp} - V_{2yp}H_{2xp} + V_{2xp}H_{2yp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{2zd} - V_{2yp}H_{2xd} - V_{2yd}H_{2xp} + V_{2xp}H_{2yd} + V_{2xd}H_{2yp}) \end{cases}$$
(60)

$${}^{4}\hat{f_{3}} = \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{H}_{3x}} - \hat{V_{3z}}\hat{H}_{3y} + \hat{V_{3y}}\hat{H}_{3z} \\ \dot{\hat{H}_{3y}} - \hat{V_{3x}}\hat{H}_{3z} + \hat{V_{3z}}\hat{H}_{3x} \\ \dot{\hat{H}_{3z}} - \hat{V_{3y}}\hat{H}_{3x} + \hat{V_{3x}}\hat{H}_{3y} \end{cases}$$

Expanding both sides,

$$\begin{cases} f_{3xp} + \varepsilon f_{3xd} \\ f_{3yp} + \varepsilon f_{3yd} \\ f_{3zp} + \varepsilon f_{3zd} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (\dot{H}_{3xp} - V_{3zp}H_{3yp} + V_{3yp}H_{3zp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{3xd} - V_{3zp}H_{3yd} - V_{3zd}H_{3yp} + V_{3yp}H_{3zd} + V_{3yd}H_{3zp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{3yp} - V_{3xp}H_{3zp} + V_{3zp}H_{3xp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{3yd} - V_{3xp}H_{3zd} - V_{3xd}H_{3zp} + V_{3zp}H_{3xd} + V_{3zd}H_{3xp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{3zp} - V_{3yp}H_{3xp} + V_{3xp}H_{3yp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{3zd} - V_{3yd}H_{3xp} + V_{3yd}H_{3xp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{3zp} - V_{3yp}H_{3xp} + V_{3xp}H_{3yp}) + \varepsilon (\dot{H}_{3zd} - V_{3yd}H_{3xp} + V_{3yd}H_{3yp}) \\ (\dot{H}_{3zp} - V_{3yp}H_{3xp} + V_{3xp}H_{3yd} + V_{3xd}H_{3yp}) \end{cases}$$
(61)

STEP (5) Application of D'Alembert's principles:

The force equations for links 1, 2 and 3 are respectively as follows:

$${}^{2}_{1}\hat{M}{}^{1}\hat{F}_{1} + {}^{2}\hat{F}_{2} + {}^{2}\hat{f}_{1} = 0$$
(62)

$${}_{2}^{3}\hat{L}^{2}\hat{F}_{2} + {}^{3}\hat{F}_{3} + {}^{3}\hat{f}_{2} = 0$$
 (63)

$${}^{4}_{3}\hat{L}^{3}\hat{F}_{3} + {}^{4}\hat{F}_{4} + {}^{4}\hat{f}_{3} = 0 \tag{64}$$

Dual forces acting on each joint can be written in vector form as follows:

$${}^{1}\widehat{F_{1}} = \begin{cases} {}^{1}F_{1i} + \varepsilon^{1}T_{1i} \\ {}^{1}F_{1j} + \varepsilon^{1}T_{1j} \\ {}^{1}F_{1k} + \varepsilon^{1}T_{1k} \end{cases}$$
(65)  
$${}^{2}\widehat{F_{2}} = \begin{cases} {}^{2}F_{2i} + \varepsilon^{2}T_{2i} \\ {}^{2}F_{2j} + \varepsilon^{2}T_{2j} \\ {}^{2}F_{2k} + \varepsilon^{2}T_{2k} \end{cases}$$
(66)

where torques  ${}^{2}T_{2i} = {}^{2}T_{2j} = {}^{2}T_{2k} = 0$ , since an intermediate ball joint cannot support torque.

$${}^{3}\hat{F}_{3} = \begin{cases} {}^{3}F_{3i} + \varepsilon^{3}T_{3i} \\ {}^{3}F_{3j} + \varepsilon^{3}T_{3j} \\ {}^{3}F_{3k} + \varepsilon^{3}T_{3k} \end{cases}$$
(67)

where torques  ${}^{3}T_{3i} = {}^{3}T_{3j} = {}^{3}T_{3k} = 0$ , since an intermediate ball joint cannot support torque.

$${}^{4}\hat{F}_{4} = \begin{cases} {}^{4}F_{4i} + \varepsilon^{4}T_{4i} \\ {}^{4}F_{4j} + \varepsilon^{4}T_{4j} \\ {}^{4}F_{4k} + \varepsilon^{4}T_{4k} \end{cases}$$
(68)

Expanding equations (62), (63), (64) and separating primary and dual parts, the following equations are obtained:

$${}^{1}F_{1i}c\theta_{1} + {}^{1}F_{1j}s\theta_{1} + {}^{2}F_{2i} + f_{1xp} = 0$$
(62a)

$$({}^{1}T_{1i}c\theta_{1} - {}^{1}F_{1i}S_{1}s\theta_{1} + {}^{1}F_{1j}S_{1}c\theta_{1} + {}^{1}T_{1j}s\theta_{1} + f_{1xd}) = 0$$
(62b)

$$-{}^{1}F_{1i}s\theta_{1} + {}^{1}F_{1j}c\theta_{1} + {}^{2}F_{2j} + f_{1yp} = 0$$
(62c)

$$(-{}^{1}T_{1i}s\theta_{1} - {}^{1}F_{1i}S_{1}c\theta_{1} + {}^{1}T_{1j}c\theta_{1} - {}^{1}F_{1j}S_{1}s\theta_{1} + a_{1}{}^{1}F_{1k} + f_{1yd}) = 0$$
(62d)

$${}^{1}F_{1k} + {}^{2}F_{2k} + f_{1zp} = 0 \tag{62e}$$

$$({}^{1}F_{1i}a_{1}s\theta_{1} - {}^{1}F_{1j}a_{1}c\theta_{1} + {}^{1}T_{1k} + f_{1zd}) = 0$$
(62f)

$${}^{2}F_{2i}c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2i}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - {}^{2}F_{2k}s\eta_{2} + {}^{3}F_{3i} + f_{2xp} = 0$$
(63a)

$$f_{2xd} = 0 \tag{63b}$$

$$-{}^{2}F_{2i}s\theta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2j}c\theta_{2} + {}^{3}F_{3j} + f_{2yp} = 0$$
(63c)

$$({}^{2}F_{2i}a_{2}c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2j}a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2k}a_{2}c\eta_{2} + f_{2yd}) = 0$$
(63d)

$${}^{2}F_{2i}c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2j}s\theta_{2}s\eta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2k}c\eta_{2} + {}^{3}F_{3k} + f_{2zp} = 0$$
(63e)

$$({}^{2}F_{2i}a_{2}s\theta_{2} - {}^{2}F_{2j}a_{2}c\theta_{2} + f_{2zd}) = 0$$
(63f)

$${}^{3}F_{3i}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3} + {}^{3}F_{3j}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3} - {}^{3}F_{3k}s\eta_{3} + {}^{4}F_{4i} + f_{3xp} = 0$$
(64a)

$$({}^{4}T_{4i} + f_{3xd}) = 0 \tag{64b}$$

$${}^{3}F_{3i}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3} + {}^{3}F_{3j}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3} + {}^{3}F_{3k}c\eta_{3} + {}^{4}F_{4j} + f_{3yp} = 0$$
(64c)

$$(64d)$$

$${}^{3}F_{3i}s\theta_{3} - {}^{3}F_{3j}c\theta_{3} + {}^{4}F_{4k} + f_{3zp} = 0$$
(64e)

$$({}^{4}T_{4k} + f_{3zd}) = 0 \tag{64f}$$

There are eighteen equations out of which one does not give any solution (equation (63b)), since it is of the form 0=0; confirmation of this was done by evaluating it in the computer program. The known force and torque are respectively  ${}^{1}F_{1k}$  and  ${}^{1}T_{1k}$  which are the crank inputs. Axial force  ${}^{1}F_{1k}$  acts on the crank at joint 1 and equals mass of the crank times its axial acceleration, and torque  ${}^{1}T_{1k}$  is applied constantly. Force  ${}^{1}F_{1k}$  can be written mathematically as either

$${}^{1}F_{1k} = 0$$
 [when  $S_1 = constant$ ]

or

$${}^{1}F_{1k} = m_1 \ddot{S}_1$$

where symbol  $m_1 = \text{mass of the crank and}$ 

$$\dot{S}_1 = \alpha S_{S1} \left[ \cos(\alpha \theta_1) \ddot{\theta}_1 - \alpha \sin(\alpha \theta_1) \dot{\theta}_1^2 \right]$$
(28b)

[ equation (28b) is obtained by differentiating equation (28a) with respect to time when  $S_I$  is sinusoidal ]

## STEP (6) Solution of the dynamic equations:

There are sixteen unknowns, including both forces and torques and seventeen equations. Although one equation is redundant, all seventeen equations have been used to avoid sine or cosine functions in the denominator as much as possible, because small sine or cosine terms in the denominator sometimes results in inaccurate division. The details of the solution procedure are as follows: From equation (62e),

$${}^{2}F_{2k} = -({}^{1}F_{1k} + f_{1zp}) \tag{69a}$$

Multiplying equation (63c) by parameter  $a_2$ , adding equation (63f) and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{3}F_{3j} = -(f_{2yp} + \frac{f_{2zd}}{a_2}) \tag{69b}$$

Multiplying equation (63e) by parameter  $a_2$ , subtracting that from equation (63d) and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{3}F_{3k} = \frac{f_{2yd}}{a_2} - f_{2zp} \tag{69c}$$

From equation (64b),

$${}^{4}T_{4i} = -f_{3xd} \tag{69d}$$

From equation (64d),

$${}^{4}T_{4j} = -f_{3yd} \tag{69e}$$

From equation (64f),

$${}^{4}T_{4k} = -f_{3zd}$$
 (69f)

Multiplying equation (62c) by parameter  $a_1$ , adding that to equation (62f) and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{2}F_{2j} = -f_{1yp} - \frac{f_{1zd} + T_{1k}}{a_{1}}$$
(69g)

From equation (63f),

$${}^{2}F_{2i} = \frac{{}^{2}F_{2j} a_{2} c\theta_{2} - f_{2zd}}{a_{2} s\theta_{2}}$$
(69h)

The denominator of the right hand side of this equation involves the sine function. This, in fact, resulted in some abnormal outcomes when values of angle  $\theta_2$  were very close to zero, while running the program. So, the results obtained from this

equation need to be modified. The modification is done by a numerical method as follows.

Multiplying equation (62f) by the term  $s\theta_1$ , multiplying equation (62a) by the term  $a_1c\theta_1$ , adding both the results and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{1}F_{1i} = -({}^{2}F_{2i} + f_{1xp})c\theta_{1} - ({}^{1}T_{1k} + f_{1zd})\frac{s\theta_{1}}{a_{1}}$$
(69i)

Multiplying equation (62f) by the term  $c\theta_1$ , multiplying (62a) by the term  $a_{1s}\theta_1$ , subtracting one from another and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{1}F_{1j} = -({}^{2}F_{2i} + f_{1xp})s\theta_{1} + ({}^{1}T_{1k} + f_{1zd})\frac{c\theta_{1}}{a_{1}}$$
(69j)

Both the equations (69i) and (69j) contain the previously-found value of force  ${}^{2}F_{2i}$  from equation (69h). Moreover the value for  ${}^{2}F_{2i}$  may not be correct because of the reason described before. If that value is incorrect, equation (62a) involving with the terms  ${}^{I}F_{Ii}$ ,  ${}^{I}F_{Ij}$  and  ${}^{2}F_{2i}$  will not be satisfied by the values obtained from equations (69h), (69i) and (69j). The computer program is written in such a way that it checks whether equation (62a) is satisfied by those values or not. If that equation is satisfied, the program goes to the next equation for the next unknown. If not satisfied, then a better value of the force  ${}^{2}F_{2i}$  is found from the following equation (69k), using previously found values of the terms  ${}^{I}F_{Ii}$  and  ${}^{I}F_{Ij}$  from the equations (69i) and (69j) respectively. The program then goes to equations (69i) and (69j) respectively to improve the values of the terms  ${}^{I}F_{Ii}$  and  ${}^{I}F_{Ij}$ , using the better value of the term  ${}^{2}F_{2i}$  obtained from equation (69k). This modification operation is continued until equation (62a) is approximately satisfied (since it is a numerical technique, it is not expected to satisfy exactly). Equation (69k) is obtained from (62a).

$${}^{2}F_{2i} = -{}^{1}F_{1i}c\theta_{1} - {}^{1}F_{1j}s\theta_{1} - f_{1xp}$$
(69k)

From equation (63a),

$${}^{3}F_{3i} = -{}^{2}F_{2i}c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - {}^{2}F_{2j}s\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} + {}^{2}F_{2k}s\eta_{2} - f_{2xp}$$
(691)

From equation (64e),

$${}^{4}F_{4k} = {}^{3}F_{3j}c\theta_{3} - {}^{3}F_{3i}s\theta_{3} - f_{3zp} \tag{69m}$$

From equation (64c),

$${}^{4}F_{4j} = -({}^{3}F_{3i}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{3} + {}^{3}F_{3j}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{3} + {}^{3}F_{3k}c\eta_{3} + f_{3yp})$$
(69n)

From equation (64a),

$${}^{4}F_{4i} = -({}^{3}F_{3i}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{3} + {}^{3}F_{3j}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{3} - {}^{3}F_{3k}s\eta_{3} + f_{3xp})$$
(690)

Multiplying equation (62b) by the term  $s\theta_1$ , multiplying equation (62d) by the term  $c\theta_1$ , adding them together and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{1}T_{1j} = {}^{1}F_{1i}S_{1} - f_{1xd}s\theta_{1} - ({}^{1}F_{1k}a_{1} + f_{1yd})c\theta_{1}$$
(69p)

Multiplying equation (62b) by the term  $c\theta_1$ , multiplying equation (62d) by the term  $s\theta_1$ , adding them together and then keeping the known terms on the right hand side,

$${}^{1}T_{1i} = -{}^{1}F_{1j}S_{1} - f_{1xd}c\theta_{1} + ({}^{1}F_{1k}a_{1} + f_{1yd})s\theta_{1}$$
(69q)

Equations (69a) through (69q) involve acceleration terms which are obtained by differentiating equations (38) through (42) with respect to time. Hence the five acceleration equations are as follows:

$$\ddot{\theta}_{2} = \frac{a_{2}s\theta_{3}[\ddot{S}_{1}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}c\eta_{2} - \dot{S}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}c\eta_{2} + \dot{S}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{3}c^{2}\eta_{2} - \dot{S}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{3}s^{2}\eta_{2}}{-a_{1}(\ddot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3} + \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3} + \ddot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2} - \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}} \\ + \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2} - \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}) - a_{2}\ddot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{3} - a_{2}\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{3}] - \\ \dot{\theta}_{2} = \frac{a_{2}\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{3}[\dot{S}_{1}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}c\eta_{2} - a_{1}(\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3} + \dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}) - a_{2}\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{3}]}{(a_{2}s\theta_{3})^{2}}$$
(38a)

$$\ddot{\theta}_{3} = \frac{a_{2}s\theta_{3}[a_{1}(\ddot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}+\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}+a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-a_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}s\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{3}-\dot{\theta}_{1}$$

$$\ddot{\eta}_{2} = \frac{a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}[-\dot{\eta}_{2}c\eta_{2}[a_{1}(\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}+\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2})-}{\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3}]-s\eta_{2}[a_{1}(\ddot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}+\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}+\ddot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}}{+\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}-\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{2})}{-\ddot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3}-\dot{S}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\eta_{2}c\theta_{3}+\dot{S}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\eta_{2}s\theta_{3}]]+a_{2}s\eta_{2}[a_{1}(\dot{\theta}_{1}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}+\dot{\theta}_{1}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2})}{-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3}](\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}+\dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3})}$$

$$\ddot{\eta}_{2} = \frac{-\dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3}}{(a_{2}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3})^{2}}$$
(40a)

$$\ddot{\eta}_{3} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix}\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}(s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2}+c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}) - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2} + c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}) + \dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}}{(\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2} - \dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}c\eta_{2} - \dot{\eta}_{2}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}s\eta_{2} + \dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{\theta}_{2}s\theta_{2}s\theta_{3})} \\ -\ddot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3} - \dot{S}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\eta_{2}c\theta_{3} + \dot{S}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}s\eta_{2}s\theta_{3}]] - a_{2}c\theta_{2}s\eta_{2}}{[\dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}(s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3}c\eta_{2} + c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3}) - \dot{S}_{1}s\eta_{2}c\theta_{3}](\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}s\theta_{3} + \dot{\theta}_{3}s\theta_{2}c\theta_{3})} \\ (41a)$$

$$\ddot{S}_{4} = \frac{S\theta_{3}[\ddot{S}_{1}S\eta_{2} + \dot{S}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}c\eta_{2} - a_{1}(\ddot{\theta}_{1}S\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} + \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}c\theta_{2}c\eta_{2} - \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\eta}_{2}S\theta_{2}S\eta_{2})]}{-(\dot{S}_{1}S\eta_{2} - \dot{\theta}_{1}a_{1}S\theta_{2}c\eta_{2})\dot{\theta}_{3}c\theta_{3}}$$
(42a)

## ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES, RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

# 3.1 Example

In order to run the program and check the feasibility of the method a number of physical examples are considered, one of which has been illustrated here. The known link-joint parameters, dimensions, other known parameters and some basic formulas used in the computer program are as follows:

Crank length  $a_1 = 12$  inches,

Connecting rod length  $a_2 = 50$  inches,

Offset *a*4 = 0, 10, 20, 30 inches,

Offset  $\alpha_4 = 232, 270, 310$  degrees,

Axial displacement of the crank  $S_1 = 0, 3, 6, 9$  inches,

Rotation of crank  $\theta_1 =$  from 0 to 360 degrees,

The cross-section of crank is a circle of radius  $r_1 = 1.5$  inches,

The cross-section of connecting rod is a circle of radius  $r_2 = 1$  inch,

The slider is a cube of each side  $r_3 = 3$  inches,

Specific gravity of the material (mild steel is used for all the links ) d = 0.28,

Acceleration due to gravity g = 386.4 inches per square second,

Crank RPM = 50,

Rotational acceleration of the crank  $\ddot{\theta}_1$  is taken to be zero assuming that it is rotating

at constant RPM,

Torque acting in the crank  ${}^{I}T_{Ik} = 100$  pound-inch,

Parameter  $\alpha = 0$ , since the axial displacement of the crank is considered to be constant. Parameter  $\alpha$  will have some positive value if sinusoidal axial displacement of crank is considered.

Location of center of the crank  $g_1 = \frac{a_1}{2}$ ,

Location of center of mass of the connecting rod  $g_2 = \frac{a_2}{2}$ ,

Location of center of mass of the slider  $g_3 = 0$ ,

Mass of the crank  $m_1 = \frac{\pi r_1^2 a_1 d}{g}$ ,

Mass of the connecting rod 
$$m_2 = \frac{\pi r_{2a_2d}^2}{g}$$
,

Mass of the slider  $m_3 = \frac{r_3^3 d}{g}$ ,

Radii of gyration are formulated as written below:

$$K_{1x} = \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$K_{1y} = K_{1z} = \left[\frac{r_1^2}{4} + \frac{a_1^2}{3}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$K_{2x} = \frac{r_2}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$K_{2y} = K_{2z} = \left[\frac{r_2^2}{4} + \frac{a_2^2}{3}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$K_{3x} = K_{3y} = K_{3z} = \frac{r_3}{\sqrt{6}},$$

#### **3.2 Discussions of results:**

The results obtained as computer output are the slider displacements, dynamic forces and torques acting at each of the four joints for each position of the crank from 0 through 360 degrees. The program was first tested for the planar case and the results found to be same as that obtained by the complex algebra method, a widely used procedure for analyzing planar mechanisms. This verifies the feasibility of the method. Then the program was run for different spatial cases. All the results have been graphed against input crank angle as shown in figs. 5-38. In figs. 5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33,

36, slider displacements, different joint forces and torques are shown for variable offset distance  $a_4$  (varying from 10" to 30"), constant crank axial offset  $S_I$  (=3.5") and ideal offset angle  $\alpha_4$  (=270 degrees, i.e. the crank shaft is perpendicular to the cylinder). In figs. 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, 34, 37, slider displacements, different joint forces and torques are shown for variable crank axial offset  $S_I$  (varing from 3" to 9"), constant offset distance  $a_4$  (=30") and ideal offset angle  $\alpha_4$  (=270 degree). In figs. 7, 10, 13, 20, 23, 26, 29, 32, 35, 38, slider displacements, different joint forces and no torques are shown for variable offset angle  $\alpha_4$  (varing from 232 degrees to 310 degrees), constant offset distance  $a_4$  (=20") and no crank axial offset (ideal). In each of the graphs different spatial cases have been compared with the ideal case.

Although all the dynamic equations ( (68a) through (68g) and (68i) through (68q) ) are free from sine or cosine terms in the denominator, the expressions for acceleration ( equation (38a) through (42a) ) are not free from sine terms which become very very close to zero at some particular positions of the crank, i.e. near 100 degrees and 300 degrees. Using the computer program it has been observed that near those particular values for input crank angle, the joint angle  $\theta_2$  goes very close to zero degrees and thus the calculated values of the acceleration terms, at those particular positions, go abnormally high on account of roundoff errors in the computer calculations, which is clearly inaccurate. Therefore, in order to draw graphs, those particular values have been discarded, otherwise those points would have taken most of the space and the valid details of the graph would have been diminished.

All the torque components at joint 4, i.e.  ${}^{4}T_{4j}$ ,  ${}^{4}T_{4j}$ , and  ${}^{4}T_{4k}$  and the force components along joint axis (k) at joint 2, i.e.  ${}^{2}F_{2k}$  are found to be zero throughout one complete revolution of the crank at any offset. Interestingly, by manual manipulation of the equation those components are also found to be zero. Another force component along the *j*-axis of joint 2, i.e.  ${}^{2}F_{2j}$  is constant (-8.33 lbs) throughout one complete

rotation of the crank at any offset. By manual manipulation that force component is found to be negative of the ratio of input torque (100 lb-in) and the crank length (12 inches). Two torque components at joint *I*, i.e.  ${}^{I}T_{Ii}$  and  ${}^{I}T_{Ij}$  reduce to zero, when there is no crank axial offset *S*<sub>I</sub>. By manual manipulation it is found that  ${}^{1}T_{1i} = -{}^{1}F_{1j}S_{1}$  and  ${}^{1}T_{1j} = {}^{1}F_{1i}S_{1}$ .

For the particular link lengths as mentioned before, when offset  $a_4$  is zero, the program fails to converge if the term  $S_1$  is greater than 1.5 inches. When offset is increased to 10 inches or greater, the program fails to converge if the term  $S_1$  is greater than 3.5 inches and when offset  $a_4$  is 30 inches, the program converges as long as the term  $S_1$  is less than or equal to 9 inches. From this observation it can be inferred that in order for the mechanism to work, with the increase of crank axial shift, offset  $a_4$  should be increased. In the case of mechanism failure, it will either lose closure, i.e. one link or more will get detached from another at the joint or the slider will go to the opposite side. When offset  $a_4$  is 20 inches and crank axial displacement  $S_1$  is zero, the program converges as long as offset  $\alpha_4$  is between 232 and 310 degrees.

#### **3.3 Conclusions**

Slider displacement curves are almost semi-sinusoidal type of graphs which seem to be quite reasonable. When crank is placed at higher level than the plane on which slider is moving by providing positive offset distance  $a_4$ , the stroke of the engine is reduced. So in that case, while consuming less power the same RPM of the engine can be obtained. But with the increase of offset distance  $a_4$ , joint forces and torques also increase. So proper design is necessary for the safe operation of the engine, considering that factor. In most of the joint force and torque graphs (except for  ${}^3F_{3j}$  with no spike,  ${}^3F_{3k}$  with one spike and  ${}^4F_{4k}$  with one spike) two spikes are seen, one near 100 degrees and other near 300 degrees. Hence it is very obvious that with the increase of offset the chance of fatique failure of the links at high speed is very large. In the presence of

offset distance  $S_1$ , two extra torque components  ${}^{1}T_{1i}$  and  ${}^{1}T_{1j}$  are acting at joint 1. So extra precaution should be taken by designer, so that engine does not fail, and the manufacturer should pay special attention to minimize this tolerance error.

Since the present work investigates dynamic forces and torques at each joint of a slider crank with all kinds of manufacturing tolerances, the results provide information needed for the sizing and dynamic balancing of a high speed slider crank in the design stage. This analysis can be used to determine the effect and significance of the inertia forces acting on each link due to its own mass and mass moment of inertia. It is expected that this investigation will enable designers to have better insight into the designing and testing of high speed spatial mechanisms and robot manipulators.

The main disadvantage of this method is that if we can not make good initial estimates for joint displacements, (which is normally done by using the direct formulas for kinematic displacements, derived either by using plane geometry rules as shown before in section 2.4.2 or by using the complex algebra method or by the dual-number method, considering that there is no offset at all, i.e. the planar case ) the method will not converge. Usually the process converges in 3 to 6 iterations if estimates are within 20 degrees, which is quite possible by using the direct formula for the planar case. Another great disadvantage is that matrix A may become singular. Fortunately it never happened while running the program. Since this method is well adapted for digital computation, any complex system can be analyzed using this analytical tool. The main advantages of this method are its generality and that all calculations can be performed on digital computer. Other advantages are that this method is concise, flexible, economical and its analytical approach is independent of visualization.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. Uicker, J. J., Denavit, J., and Hartenberg, R. S., "An Iterative Method for the Displacement Analysis of Spatial Mechanisms," *ASME Journal Of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 31, June 1964, pp. 309-314.

2. Uicker, J. J., "Dynamic Force Analysis of Spatial Linkages," *ASME Journal Of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 34, June 1967, pp. 418-424.

3. Yang. A. T., and Freudenstein. F., "Application of Dual-Number Quaternion Algebra to the Analysis of Spatial Mechanisms,"*ASME Journal Of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 31, June 1964, pp. 300-308.

4. Yang. A. T., "Inertia Force Analysis of Spatial Mechanisms," *ASME Journal Of Engineering For Industry*, Vol. 93, February 1971, pp. 27-33.

5. Bagci, C., "Dynamic Force and Torque Analysis of Mechanisms Using Dual Vectors and 3×3 Screw Matrix," *ASME Journal Of Engineering For Industry*, May 1972, pp. 738-745.

6. Pennock, G. R., and Yang, A. T., "Dynamic Analysis of a Multi-Rigid-Body Open-Chain System," *ASME Journal Of Mechanisms, Transmissions, And Automation In Design*, Vol. 105, March 1983, pp. 28-34.

7. Fischer, I. S., and Freudenstein, F., "Internal Force and Moment Transmission in a Cardan Joint With Manufacturing Tolerances," *ASME Journal Of Mechanisms, Transmissions, And Automation In Design*, Vol. 106, December 1984, pp. 301-311.

8. Chen, C. K., and Freudenstein, F., "Dynamic Analysis of a Universal Joint With Manufacturing Tolerances," *ASME Journal Of Mechanisms, Transmissions, And Automation In Design*, Vol. 108, December 1986, pp. 524-532.

9. Fischer, I. S., and Paul, R. N., "Kinematic Displacement Analysis of a Double-Cardan-Joint Driveline," *Advances In Design Automation, ASME*, DE-Vol. 10-2, September 1987, pp. 187-196. 10. Fischer, I. S., "Displacement Errors in Cardan Joints Caused by Coupler-Link Joint-Axes Offset," *1st National Applied Mechanics And Robotics Conference, Cincinnati, Ohio*, Vol. 2, November 1989, pp. 89 AMR-9A-2-5.

11. Sandor, G. N., and Erdman, A. G., Advanced Mechanism Design: Analysis and Synthesis, Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1984, p. 611.

12. Hall, A. S., Root, R. R., and Sandgren, E., "A Dependable Method for Solving Matrix Loop Equations for the General Three-Dimensional Mechanism," *ASME Journal Of Engineering For Industry*, August 1977, pp. 547-550.

13. Fu, K. S., Gonzalez, R. C., and Lee, C. S. G., Roboics: Control, Sensing, Vision, and Intelligence, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1987, pp. 12-50.



Figure 5



Figure 6











Figure 9



Figure 10



Figure 11



Figure 12














Figure 16

Joint Torque Curve (S1=3.5", except for ideal)



Figure 17



Figure 18











Figure 21



Figure 22



Figure 23























Figure 29



Figure 30











Figure33











Figure 36







Figure 38