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ABSTRACT

INFLUENCE OF DEFECTS AND IMPURITIES ON SOLAR CELL PERFORMANCE

by
Vinay Budhraja

Multicrystalline silicon (mc-Si) solar cells exhibit high impurity content and higher density of crystal defects such as grain boundaries, dislocations, stacking faults and impurity precipitates. Even though the effect of dislocations on mc-Si solar cell performance has been studied, a severe lack of understanding of the quantitative effects of dislocations on cell parameters still exists. Some correlation has been reported under the assumption of a uniform distribution of dislocation density and a negligible effect of front and back surface recombination velocity. This assumption can cause a significant error as the current mc-Si technology provides good surface passivation by SiN:H and very effective back surface fields.

This work is an extension of previous models that use Green Function to include the influence of front \( S_1 \) and back \( S_2 \) surface recombination velocities. The three dimensional continuity equation of the minority carriers has been solved in a solar cell having periodic array of dislocations and with front and back surface recombination. Each dislocation is considered to be a space charge cylinder perpendicular to the surface and extending through the entire cell. The calculations show that low dislocation densities \( (< 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) have very little effect on the cell performance. This is in agreement with the previously published data. The results of calculated dependencies of cell parameters on the dislocation density for different recombination activities are discussed in this work.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Basic Introduction of Solar Cells

A solar cell is a photovoltaic device which converts light into energy. In this process the absorption of light raises electrons to a higher energy state. The electrons dissipate their energy in the external circuit and return to the solar cell. The solar cells made up of different semiconductor materials like Si, CdTe, CIGS, GaAs etc., use photovoltaic energy conversion.

In order to describe the properties and use of photovoltaic solar cells, it is helpful to consider absorption, radiation, generation and transport of charge carriers in the semiconductor. The carriers are separated by the junction and collected at the contacts to the device and finally to the various power conditioning devices. These power conditioning devices are used for storage along with the actual utilization of power generated.

1.1.1 Solar Cell Operation and Its Parameters

A solar cell is simply a p-n junction diode as shown in Figure 1.1 (a). It consists of a potential energy barrier at the junction which creates an electric field. When light shines on a solar cell (Figure 1.1 (b)) the photons with energy greater than the band gap create electron-hole pairs (Anderson, 2005). The dark I-V characteristics of a diode are well known and are shown in Figure 1.2. Because of the electric field present at the junction, the electrons in the p-region move towards the n-side of the junction and the holes in the n-region move towards the p-side of the junction. The result is an extra component of
current flowing in opposite direction to a solar cell. This is called light induced current \((I_L)\) or short circuit current \((I_{sc})\). Because \(I_L\) flows in the opposite direction under light, the light I-V characteristics of the solar cell shift downwards as shown in Figure 1.2.

![Figure 1.1](image)

**Figure 1.1** (a) P-N junction diode (solar cell), (b) P-N junction diode (solar cell) under light.

The basics steps in the operation of solar cells are the generation of light generated carriers, the collection of those carriers to generate current, and the generation of a large voltage across the solar cell, as well as the dissipation of power in the load and in parasitic resistances (Green, 1998).
Figure 1.2 I-V characteristics of a solar cell under dark and light.

The important parameters of solar cells are $I_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$ (open-circuit voltage), FF (fill factor) and $\eta$ (efficiency). The $I_{sc}$ is the current through the solar cell when the voltage across the solar cell is zero. The short-circuit current is due to the generation and then separation of light-generated carriers. The $V_{oc}$ is the maximum voltage available from the solar cell. This occurs at zero current. The $V_{oc}$ corresponds to the amount of forward bias on the solar cell due to the bias of the solar cell junction with the light-generated current. FF determines the maximum power from a solar cell. The FF is defined as the ratio of the maximum power from the solar cell to the product of $I_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$. The $\eta$ is the important parameter to compare the performance of one solar cell to another. $\eta$ is defined as the ratio of energy output from the solar cell to the input energy from the sun (Green, 1998).
The expressions for the cell parameters are given in following equations:

\[ I_L = qAG (L_n + W + L_p) \]  

(1.1)

\[ V_{oc} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln\left(\frac{I_T}{I_0} + 1\right) \]  

(1.2)

\[ FF = \frac{V_m I_m}{V_{oc} I_{sc}} \]  

(1.3)

\[ \eta = \frac{V_{oc} I_{sc} FF}{P_{in}} \]  

(1.4)

The p-n junction solar cell can be expressed by the two diode model (as shown in Figure 1.3) in which the diode current is given by Equation 1.5. In the analysis of solar cells and to calculate their parameters some research groups analyzed solar cell by the single exponential diode model (Ding, 2008), (Lal, 2006), (Agarwal, 1981). Some other groups analyzed solar cells by the two diode model (Pysch, 2007), (Dyk, 2004), (Aberle, 1993), (Nielsen, 1982).

Most of the people analyzed solar cells by the single diode model to determine the ideality factor and series resistance. It is difficult to analyze the properties by the single equivalent diode model (Nishioka, 2007), (Kurobe, 2005).
Figure 1.3 Two diode model of a solar cell (Hussein, 2001).

\[ I = I_{01} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{q(V-I R_s)}{kT} \right) - 1 \right\} + I_{02} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{q(V-I R_s)}{2kT} \right) - 1 \right\} + \frac{V-IR_s}{R_{sh}} - I_L \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

where \( I_{01} \) & \( I_{02} \) are the saturation currents, \( k \) is Boltzman’s constant, \( T \) is the temperature, \( q \) is the electronic charge, \( R_s \) is the series resistance and \( R_{sh} \) is the shunt resistance. The first term in Equation 1.5 is due to the recombination current in the quasi neutral region (from the first diode) and the second term is due to the recombination current in the depletion region (from the second diode). The correct values of these parameters are very important to find in large area solar cells because these parameters provide the information about various losses.

1.1.2 Comparison of Solar Cells Made on Different Semiconductors

Despite various solar cells were processed on new and an exotic material, the reality is that the majority of photovoltaics market is dominated by crystalline silicon solar cells. This means that most of the solar cell manufacturers are producing their cells on silicon wafer. Moreover, a large scale of research is being done all over the world on silicon that help to produce silicon solar cells at low production cost.
The ultimate goal for photovoltaic concepts is to produce solar electricity at a cost comparable to the current market-dominant coal, natural gas, and nuclear power in order to make it the leading primary energy source (Swanson, 2006). To achieve this it may be necessary to reduce the cost of installed solar systems from about the current US$ 1.80 (for bulk Si technologies) to about US$ 0.50 per Watt peak power. Since a major part of the final cost of a silicon module is related to the high cost of solar grade polysilicon feedstock (about US$ 0.4/Watt peak) there exists a substantial drive to reduce the thickness of silicon wafer or to make solar cells from upgraded metallurgical silicon which is also called dirty Si. Figure 1.4 compares the best achievable efficiencies of different solar cells.

![Figure 1.4 Schematic of best achievable efficiencies in different types of solar cells (Kazmerski, 2011).](image-url)
1.1.3 Overview of Crystalline Silicon Solar Cells

Not only do different materials give different efficiencies, even cell efficiencies may differ for the same technology. The performance of silicon solar cell also depends on the purity of the solar wafer that is used as raw material to print solar cells, besides whether it is monocrystalline or multicrystalline solar cells. Table 1.1 shows the various types of crystalline silicon which are discriminated according to the grain size (Basore, 1994).

Table 1.1 Terminology for Various Types of Crystalline Silicon(c-Si) (Basore, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Grain size</th>
<th>Growth technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single crystal</td>
<td>Sc-Si</td>
<td>&gt;10 cm</td>
<td>Czochralski(Cz), Float Zone(FZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicrystalline</td>
<td>Mc-Si</td>
<td>1mm-10 cm</td>
<td>Casting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycrystalline</td>
<td>Pc-Si</td>
<td>1µm-1mm</td>
<td>Chemical Vapor Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcrystalline</td>
<td>µc-Si</td>
<td>&lt;1µm</td>
<td>Plasma Deposition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crystalline silicon solar cells and modules have dominated photovoltaic (PV) technology from the beginning. They consists more than 85% of the PV market today. One of the reasons for the domination of crystalline silicon in photovoltaics is the fact that microelectronics has greatly developed silicon technology. Another advantage associated with silicon solar cells are that cheap silicon feedstock and second-hand equipment are available at reasonable prices.

The schematic view of a commercially fabricated crystalline silicon solar cell is shown in Figure 1.5 (Budhraja, 2011a). The processing steps that are adopted for the fabrication of silicon solar cells (Wenham, 1985), (Johnson, 1984) are as follows: (i) Starting material: p-type silicon with resistivity 1 Ω-cm, (ii) Gettering process is done to
remove both areal and in-depth nonuniformities, (iii) Texturing in an acidic solution containing nitric acid, hydrofluoric acid and some additives., (iv) P (Phosphorous) diffusion (typically 900 °C to 950 °C for 5-15 minutes), (v) Junction isolation to remove n-region from the wafer edges, (vi) AR (anti-reflection) coating of silicon nitride or TiO₂ to reduce reflection losses, (vii) Front contact print (typically Ag paste is used), (viii) Back contact print (typically Al is used), and (ix) Firing of metallic contacts (front and back) in addition to achieve proper Back Surface Field (BSF). These fabrication techniques are similar in most of the crystalline silicon solar cells except for some variations are used at certain steps.

Figure 1.5 Schematic view of crystalline silicon solar cell.
(Budhraja, 2011a)
1.2 Background Information of Multicrystalline Silicon (Mc-Si) Solar Cells

The use of multicrystalline silicon solar cells has increased in the past decade because of its associated reduced cost and high efficiency (Tobias, 2003). For the past several decades, the PV market was dominated by p-type Czochralski silicon substrates. Continuous improvements in research, yields and reliability have allowed an important cost reduction and the subsequent expansion of the PV market. Because of the lower cost of mc-Si wafers, mc-Si solar cells emerged in the 1980s as an alternative to single-crystal ones.

The techniques used for the production of mc-Si are simpler, and therefore cheaper, than those required for single crystal material. However, the material quality of the multicrystalline material is lower than that of the single crystalline material due to the presence of crystal defects like grain boundaries, dislocations etc. Grain boundaries introduce high localized regions of defects which have the high recombination rate. The minority carrier life time of the wafers reduces due to the presence of grain boundaries. In addition, grain boundaries reduce solar cell performance by blocking carrier flows and providing shunting paths for current flow across the p-n junction.

To avoid significant recombination losses at grain boundaries, grain sizes on the order of at least a few mm are required (Card, 1977). The grains which extend from the front to the back of the cell provide less resistance to the path of carrier flow. Such multicrystalline silicon materials are widely used for commercial solar cell production.
1.2.1 Advancements in Mc-Si Solar Cells from 1980 to 2010

The use of mc-Si solar cells has increased in the past decade because of its associated reduced cost and high efficiency. There are a lot of improvements that have been done in various fabrication and characterization techniques to advance the technology. The improvements and research in scientific understandings helped to improve the cell efficiency from approximately 7% in 1980 to 20.3% in 2004.

A short description of the fabrication techniques (of mc-Si solar cells) that have been followed by various groups and the reported efficiencies are presented in Table 1.2. This table summarizes the changes that were introduced in the process steps and the evolution in the nature of the material over time in world-record cells.

In order to fabricate high efficiency mc-Si solar cells, process steps such as H-passivation, oxide passivation for emitter, or PECVD nitride must be followed in addition to general process steps discussed in Subsection 1.1.3. There are several other variations in the process steps such as high temperature annealing, deposition of porous silicon layer, laser fired contact etc. Mc-Si material growth from several methods such as HEM (Heat Exchange Method), EMC (Electromagnetic Casting) and DSS (Direct Solidification System) have led to promising materials to make high efficiency mc-Si solar cells (Wu, 2008).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year, Author</th>
<th>Main steps in process except general steps discussed above</th>
<th>Results obtained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980, T. Saitoh</td>
<td>Impurity gettering by N₂ annealing</td>
<td>Efficiency: 6.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981, C.H. Seager</td>
<td>Hydrogen passivation</td>
<td>Values of cell parameters (J_sc, Voc, FF &amp; η) increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984, S.M. Johnson</td>
<td>They used the general steps discussed above but in different order, two layer of antireflective coating was used (material: semi crystalline, area: 4 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 15.7% (world record cell) this value corrected latter w.r.t AM 1.5G [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985, S.R. Wenham</td>
<td>Plasma hydrogenation, plasma etching of emitter between contact fingers, plasma deposition of silicon nitride, PESC process (material: poly-Si, area: 4.1 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 15.8% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986, S. Narayanan</td>
<td>Passivated emitter solar cell with P-pretreatment with sheet resistivity 100-150 Ω/□</td>
<td>Efficiency: 15.9% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988, B.L. Sopori</td>
<td>Backside hydrogenation during high temperature processing</td>
<td>Values of cell parameters increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989, J.C. Zolper, M.A. Green</td>
<td>Laser texture, laser grooved, buried contact process (material: poly-Si, area: 10 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 16.7% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990, D. Gilles</td>
<td>Internal gettering of interstitial impurities</td>
<td>Dissolution of oxygen precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993, A. Rohatgi</td>
<td>Oxide passivation after phosphorous gettering (material: OTC, area: 1 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 17.7% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996, D.S. Ruby</td>
<td>RIE, PECVD nitride, Hydrogen plasma for bulk passivation</td>
<td>Values of cell parameters increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996, A. Rohatgi</td>
<td>Oxide passivation after phosphorous gettering (material: HEM, area: 1 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 18.6% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997, S.A. McHugo</td>
<td>Two step P-gettering, Al getting</td>
<td>Diffusion length increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998, J. Zhao, M.A. Green</td>
<td>Honeycomb textured, enshrouding cell surface in thermally grown SiO₂ (material: DS, area: 1 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 19.8% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999, D. Macdonald</td>
<td>P-gettering on top, middle, bottom regions of ingot</td>
<td>Lifetime of wafers increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002, F. Duerinckx</td>
<td>PECVD silicon nitride deposition</td>
<td>Values of cell parameters increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002, L. Mittelstadt</td>
<td>Front and rear SiNₓ passivation</td>
<td>Efficiency &gt;18% achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003, S. Martinuzzi</td>
<td>Hydrogen passivation</td>
<td>Values of cell parameters increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004, O. Schultz</td>
<td>Laser fired contacts, Front contact textured by plasma process, wet oxidation (material: mc-Si Kawasaki steel, area: 1 cm²)</td>
<td>Efficiency: 20.4% (world record cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006, H.F.W. Dekkers</td>
<td>High temperature rapid annealing of SiNₓ:H</td>
<td>V_ac and lifetime increased with respect to SiN dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008, T. Buonassisi</td>
<td>High temperature annealing of mc-Si wafer</td>
<td>Dislocation density reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009, H. Nouri</td>
<td>Formed porous silicon layer on front and back sides of mc-Si wafer</td>
<td>cell parameters and IQE increased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budhraja, 2010a
1.2.2 Types of Defects and its Influence on Cell Performance

Present-day crystalline silicon for photovoltaic applications usually contains a variety of defects ranging from point defects of various origins such as vacancy, substitution impurity etc. to extended defects like dislocations, grain boundaries, micro defects or second phase precipitates. Mainly the defects are due to the imperfection in crystal structure. Various types of defects like point defects, line defects, planar defects and bulk defects have major effect on solid state devices. The effect of point defects like vacancy, interstitial etc., is mainly seen in single crystalline cells. The performance of mc-Si solar cells are mainly affected by the presence of line defects, planar defects and bulk defects like dislocations, grain boundaries and impurities respectively. The electrical performance of such materials i.e., mainly their minority carrier lifetime, is closely related to metal impurities present in the feedstock or introduced during crystal growth and/or solar cell processing (Istratov, 2003), (Buonassisi, 2006). These impurities strongly interact with existing crystal defects to form complexes, accumulate at dislocations or grain boundaries in different forms, or even form silicide precipitates which simultaneously contain several metal impurities (Buonassisi, 2007). However, there exist two important issues in the fabrication of low cost mc-Si solar cells, the electrical loss due to recombination defects and the breakage of thin wafers due to residual strain.

The conversion efficiency of mc-Si is 2%–4% lower than that of mono crystalline Si due to the existence of crystal defects, mainly grain boundaries (GBs) (Zook, 1980), Bary, 1998), (Wang, 1999). Metallic impurities are incorporated in low grade Si feedstock precipitates at crystal defects, and enhance the recombination activities
(Maurice, 1989), (Rizk, 1994), (Kittler, 1995), (McHugo, 1998). In addition, the recombination properties of decorated crystal defects or multi-metal-precipitates have to be known if their effect on the material performance for photovoltaic applications is to be estimated.

Commercial silicon solar cells show the nonuniformities in the cell response. These nonuniformities depend on the type of material and the processes used for cell fabrication. Moreover these inhomogeneities change the minority carrier diffusion length and causes device shunting (Sopori, 1980), (Fossum, 1980) which have adverse effects on large area solar cells.

"Figure 1.6  (a) I-V curves of defect free and defected cell showing degradation due to defect regions (Sopori, 2003), (b) A comparison of calculated I-V characteristics of three cells with 0%, 6%, and 15% of area covered by defects (Sopori, 2009b)."
Figure 1.6 (a) shows the comparison of two large area solar cells of the same material. The cell that has the 18.4% efficiency is defect free while the other cell which has 20% of the areas covered by defects, reduces the cell efficiency (to 16.7%) and other parameters. This reduction in parameters was caused by increased recombination, which manifests as shunting due to defected regions. Such shunts represent sources of internal power dissipation within the cell (Sopori, 2003). A similar effect was seen by B.L. Sopori (Sopori, 2009b) in the comparison of three cells as shown in Figure 1.6 (b). The performance of a solar cell improves as the area covered by the defects is reduced.

Defects have the major effect on different parameters of solar cell and its performance. Solar cell performance is typically evaluated based on its efficiency. Since the high defect density in the wafer has direct impact on cell efficiency the relation between cell efficiency and dislocation density needs to be closely evaluated. This was estimated by Dimitriadis et al. (Dimitriadis, 1985) as shown in Figure 1.7 at different values of product of recombination activity $S_d$ and dislocation core radius (Read radius) $r_0$. At the product of $S_d$ and $r_0$ equals to one, there is a marginal change in cell efficiency when the dislocation density increases from $10^2$ to $10^3$ cm$^{-2}$. There is a rapid decrease in efficiency once the dislocation density goes above $10^4$ cm$^{-2}$. For lower values of dislocation density, efficiency decreases slowly according to the relation $\eta \sim N_d^{-0.5}$, and for higher values of dislocation density, efficiency decreases more rapidly according to the law $\eta \sim N_d^{-1}$. 
1.2.3 Role of Mc-Si Solar Cells in Present Technology

Mc-Si based devices dominate the present solar cell industry due to their durability, their relatively low cost, and the vast silicon knowledge base developed by the microelectronics industry (Fauchet, 2003). Mc-Si solar cells account for around 50% of total solar cell production (Macdonald, 2004). According to the January 2011 issue of Solar Industry Magazine, mc-Si technology represents roughly 80% of the c-Si market on a dollar per watt basis against thin film silicon, CdTe and CIGS. Mc-Si is produced from poor quality material that’s why the cost of mc-Si solar cells is lower than monocrystalline silicon solar cells. The mc-Si solar cells are the best alternative to solar cells made on monocrystalline silicon because they maintain a tradeoff between cost and efficiency (Tobias, 2003). The mc-Si technology will continue to be profitable throughout the value chain from $1.45/W in 2009 to $0.93/W in 2015, assuming poly pricing at $70/Kg (Magazine article, 2011).
Mc-Si solar cells keep their market dominance due to the significant lower cost of ingot growth process compared to the mono-crystal process. In the current technology the efficiency difference mono and multicrystalline silicon solar cells remains about 1 to 2% but a continuous enhancement in the efficiency of solar cell has been done (Wan, 2010).

**Table 1.3** Breakdown of Cost of Fabrication of Single and Multicrystalline Solar Cells (Tobias, 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Singlecrystalline solar cells</th>
<th>Multicrystalline solar cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure Si</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingot information</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawing</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wafer cost</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell fabrication</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total components</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell cost</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module assembling</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamination</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module cost (Euro m²⁻¹)</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module Cost (Euro Wp⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The cost information of the fabrication of single and multicrystalline solar cells are given in Table 1.3 (Tobias, 2003).

A combination of material quality and process improvement has allowed higher efficiencies at a still lower cost which increases the share of multicrystalline in the PV market, ahead of monocrystalline. The evolution of the market can be seen in Table 1.4 (Tobias, 2003).

**Table 1.4** Market Share of Single Crystalline and Multicrystalline Solar Cells (Tobias, 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cz-Si solar cells</th>
<th>Mc-Si solar cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1.3 Motivation**

In this thesis the main focus is on mc-Si solar cell cells because of their associated advantages like largest market share, high efficiency, low cost of wafers, vast silicon knowledge, etc., as discussed in section 1.2. Among various photovoltaic materials, multicrystalline silicon (mc-Si) is now the most promising material, due to its excellent stability and reliability. The only one disadvantage is the high production cost in comparison to cells made on other semiconducting materials. To overcome this disadvantage, one scheme is to use cheap silicon feedstock. Another scheme is to reduce wafer thickness. There exists several defects like grain boundaries, dislocations, defect clusters etc. in solar grade silicon.
The effect of grain boundaries is neglected in present mc-Si solar cells because of the use of large grain size material by solar industries (Ghitani, 1989a), (Halder, 1983). Dislocations are the most harmful out of various defects. Defect clusters are also harmful but they can be assumed as the regions of very high dislocation density. When the dislocations are decorated with impurity precipitates they form regions of very high defect density and in those regions the dislocations appeared to be clustered. That’s why those regions are also called dislocation cluster regions (Sopori, 2009a), (Sopori, 2010). Because of these reasons effect of dislocations on the performance of mc-Si solar cells was studied.

In the present mc-Si solar cell technology it is highly desirable to have the characterization technique by which the effect of defects on the performance of solar cell can be quantified.

It is highly desirable to have the suitable mathematical model by which the electrical characteristics of the solar cell can be compared.

1.4 Objectives

The primary objective of this research is to propose a model that will be able to provide a physical insight into the effect of dislocations on the performance of solar cells and to compare the J-V characteristics of present mc-Si solar cells. To this end, the goals are (1) to understand the advancements in mc-Si solar cells, (2) to understand the physics of the effect of defects on the performance of solar cells, (3) to understand the characterization technique called mesa diode analysis proposed by B.L.Sopori (Sopori, 1988a) to get the quantitative information of the effect of defect on cell parameters, and
(4) to fabricate diode arrays on silicon substrate to compare the different regions of wafers that have different dislocation densities. The following chapters describe the findings from the studies that were carried out in this dissertation to meet these objectives.

1.5 Dissertation Outline

There are mainly discussions on the influence of dislocations on the performance of mc-Si solar cells and the characterization techniques for defects like mesa diode analysis in this dissertation. There are other techniques like Lock in Thermography (Breitenstein, 2004), Electron Beam Induced Current (Seager, 1982), Light Beam Induced Current (Kaminski, 2004), Electroluminescence (Hinken, 2007) etc. exist which can characterize defects but mesa diode analysis is the only way to obtain spatially resolved measurements of the open circuit voltage and fill factor.

Chapter 2 discusses the physics and literature review of the nature of defects that exist in mc-Si and their influence on the performance of cells as a whole. Moreover, the applications of the defect study on the characterization of cells will be presented.

Chapter 3 discusses the dislocation model of mesa diodes, which is the extension of old dislocation model proposed by H. Al. Ghitani (Ghitani, 1989a). In the old model the effect of front and back surface recombination velocities were neglected but in the proposed model these effect are being included. The assumptions and parameters which are used in the improved dislocation model are discussed in this chapter. The derivation of current equations in different regions in the case of dark and light is given in this chapter.
Chapter 4 gives the experimental details of mesa diode arrays. The processing steps and dimensions used in the fabrication of mesa diode arrays are shown in this chapter. The mesa diode arrays are used to characterize the substrates in this work.

Chapter 5 discusses the simulation results of the improved dislocation model with the effect of front and back surface recombination velocity. These simulation results are shown under the different cases with the variations of several parameters used in the model. To confirm the reliability of the model, the comparison of modeling and experimental results is shown in this chapter.

Chapter 6 discusses the conclusions of the dissertation from Chapter 1 to Chapter 5. Suggested future work is also described in this final chapter.
CHAPTER 2

DISLOCATION STUDY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF SILICON SOLAR CELLS

2.1 Introduction

In large grain size material, defects are mainly due to dislocation. The multicrystalline silicon solar cell will have high efficiency if most of its area is covered by low dislocation density regions. High dislocation density regions are responsible for an increase in dark forward current and a decrease in efficiency and cell parameters (Sopori, 1991), (Hartman, 2008). Two different large grain-sized multicrystalline silicon wafers will give the same average dislocation density if one wafer has most of the area covered by low dislocation density regions with only a small amount of high dislocation density regions, and the other wafer is covered by moderate dislocation density regions. The efficiency and other cell parameters will be high in the second wafer because the dark leakage current across the high dislocation density regions will be high in the first wafer. That is why it is necessary to minimize the high dislocation density regions from multicrystalline silicon. In the past few decades several methods have been adopted by researchers and solar industries to minimize the defects from multicrystalline silicon during the crystal growth and during the processing of silicon solar cells which are given as follows:

(i) The controlled nucleation mechanism during crystal growth results in low dislocation densities by doing directional solidification (Stokkan, 2010), (Moller, 2009). This utilizes dendritic growth during crystal growth to achieve multicrystalline silicon
with preferred orientation and large grain size (Takahashi, 2010), (Fujiwara, 2006),
(Fujiwara, 2008a), (Fujiwara, 2008b).

(ii) Reduction in dislocation density by doing high temperature annealing
(Hartman, 2008).

(iii) Dislocations in the areas of low life time in multicrystalline silicon can cause
life time enhancement upon phosphorous diffusion (Bentzen, 2009), (Cuevas, 1997).

(iv) Hydrogen passivation in multicrystalline silicon solar cell processing reduces
defects (Tarasov, 2004), (Dekkers, 2006), (Duerinckx, 2002), (Sopori, 1988b).

2.2 Electrical Properties of Dislocations

In the study of dislocations the mid-band levels are especially active. This can be derived
from the fact that holes and electrons require about equal energies to move halfway from
valence band and conduction band.

Dislocations can be considered as missing electronic charges or a charge
accumulation that has a stronger effect on the local band structure. The dislocations with
their free bond charges have a wide range of electric field influence.

According to W. Schottky (Schottky, 1945) donor atoms can exist in both neutral
and positive donor states. Similarly, acceptor atoms can exist in both neutral and
negatively charged states. Schottky proposes to discriminate between states near the
conduction or valence bands by the prefix “trans-” for higher states, “cis-” for states
below the Fermi level as shown in Figure 2.1. For mid-band terms near the Fermi level
medial donors and medial acceptors are connected to the appearance of dislocations. The influence on recombination mechanisms is shown in Figure 2.1. Such mid-band levels may be located a few kT from the Fermi level and therefore can easily be converted from a donor to an acceptor (Matare, 1970a).

![Schottky terminology for inter-band energy levels (Schottky, 1945).](image)

**Figure 2.1** Schottky terminology for inter-band energy levels (Schottky, 1945).

Suppose a trans- acceptor exists near the conduction band in a material as shown in Figure 2.2 (a). After accepting a free electron, this band changes to cis- acceptor below the middle of the gap but near Fermi level (Figure 2.2 (b)). In the case of a dangling bond, it is thinkable that electrons are added as long as the energy is gained to lower the energy below the Fermi level. This explains the behavior of dislocations to act as hole sinks after they have been able to build a space charge cloud around themselves (Figure 2.2 (c)).

The simple recombination process is shown in Figure 2.3 (a). Either an intrinsic recombination takes place across the bandgap or by way of a recombination center.
Electrons can also reach the recombination center from the valence band or a filled recombination center can release electrons to both valence and conduction bands.

![Diagram of energy levels and band structures](image)

**Figure 2.2** Energy level of a dangling bond that is (a) unfilled, (b) filled, and (c) compensated (Matare, 1970a).

A dislocation that has an energy level in the forbidden gap may have the following functions (Figure 2.3 (b)): (1) Accept an electron from the conduction band which is shown as process 1, (2) Release a hole to the valence band which is shown as process 4, (3) Filled levels can release an electron to the conduction band which is shown as process 2, (4) Accept a hole from the valence band which is shown as process 3.

### 2.2.1 Scattering Around Dislocation

Dislocations are the main sources of moving charges in real crystals. The energy difference between the Fermi level and the lower edge of the conduction band is given by
Figure 2.3 (a) Hole electron recombination, (b) Different recombination processes via empty and filled centers in the forbidden gap (Matare, 1970a).

In an electric field the particle acceleration is

\[ a = -\frac{eE}{m} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

The drift velocity is given by

\[ v_d = -\frac{es}{m} E \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
The current carried by \( n \) charges in a three-dimensional sample is

\[
i = e\mu nE \tag{2.4}
\]

where \( \mu \) is the mobility of the sample. Aside from charge defects, lattice vibrations are the strongest disturbance for the electronic charge transport.

In three-dimensional space the scattering leads to three components of current for the electron. In momentum space a group \( n_i \) of electrons in a volume element produces a current density

\[
\delta I = -\frac{ev_i}{V} \delta n_i \tag{2.5}
\]

where \( v_i \) is the velocity component of group \( n_i \) and \( V \) is the crystal volume under consideration.

The fraction of carriers scattered into new directions with velocity \( v_j \) during time \( dt \) changes the current increment to

\[
d\delta I = dt \int_0^{\Omega(E)} \frac{-e(v_j - v_i)}{V} \int -\frac{e(v_j - v_i)}{V} \delta n_i W_{ij} d\Omega_j \tag{2.6}
\]

where \( \Omega(E) \) is the energy surface and, \( W_{ij} \) is the transition probability from state \( i \) to \( j \).
The current change can be expressed by

\[ d\delta I = -dt \delta I W_0 < 1 - \cos \theta > \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

where \(< 1 - \cos \theta >\) is introduced as the average scattering parameter for all collision processes.

Figure 2.4 shows the cases of scattering electrons for fixed scattering angle \(\theta\).

\[\text{Figure 2.4 Electron scattering at positive and negative ions (Matare, 1970a).}\]
Dislocation sites are charge centers either because of doping mismatch when lattice dilation and compression react as sink for specific ions, or because of dangling bonds. In either case a strong core charge can be accomplished by a space charge.

### 2.2.2 Formation of a Dislocation Pipe or a Dislocation Cylinder

If more dislocation arrays are generated instead of point defects, dislocation space charge pipes are formed and have a strong scattering influence on carriers that can be assessed in the same way as done for neutral impurities. In this case, one can assume that a dislocation acts as an inpenetrable cylinder.

Dislocations in semiconductors act as electrically active defects. They can be acceptors or donors, recombination centers reducing the life time of minority carriers or scattering centers. Electrically charged dislocations are surrounded by a screening space charge that causes local band bending and therefore may change the charge state of point defects in this region. Plastic deformation of semiconductor crystals introduces deep states into the gap. They are due to the dislocations themselves. The pioneering work in this field was published by W.T.Read (Read, 1954). Dislocations are the lines of variable charge. Read calculates the occupation of the dislocation for a given doping and temperature from the minimum energy of the system. A certain probability for electron hopping along the dislocation must be taken into account. Entropy will lead to a minimum of free enthalpy at a non-equidistant arrangement of the charges. The electrostatic potential around a charge dislocation is given by
where $Q$ is the space charge around the line. The divergence for $r \to 0$ can be overcome by taking into account in the vicinity of the dislocation line and the discrete nature of charges. The screening of a charged line by a space charged cylinder of the opposite sign prevents the divergence of the potential for $r \to \infty$. The screening by ionized donors contained in a cylindrical region with a sharp radius $R$ can be assumed as

$$
R = (\pi l N_D - N_A l)^{-1/2}
$$

where $R$ is the Read radius. Outside $R$ the potential $\Psi$ vanishes. Likewise the electric field disappears for $r \geq R$.

### 2.2.3 Charge Carrier Transport Across Edge and Screw Dislocation

There is considerable lattice disturbance due to the following: (1) The local stress around dislocations, (2) The disrupted or dangling bond with its specific charge and energy level, and (3) The space charge domain that forms immediately in semiconductors and surrounds the dislocation lieu in the form of a space charge pipe.

The edge dislocation introduces a strong discontinuity in the local stress pattern changing from pure compression to pure dilation. This has the effect of changing the forbidden gap of the semiconductor because of the resulting local compression increment in the deformation potential.
Figure 2.5 Electronic energy level of an edge dislocation and band scheme (Matare, 1970b).

Figure 2.6 Dislocation barrier layer and resulting space charge (Matare, 1970b).
If the distance between the individual sites is larger than the lattice constant, alternating bandgap widening and narrowing occurs as shown in Figures 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.7** Compression and dilation influence on gap (Matare, 1970b).

In p-type crystals there exist p+ behavior of the dislocations and thus a formation of a space charge region. Similarly in n-type crystals there exist n+ behavior of the dislocations (Matare, 1970c). The mobility is direction-dependent, smaller when perpendicular to the dislocation pipe, and larger along the pipe. W.T. Read (Read, 1954) makes a distinction between parallel and perpendicular current flow (Figure 2.8).
In screw dislocations the strain is mostly pure shear, hence the atoms are represented by undisturbed cubes slipped over one another. Two twisted seed crystals with a lattice translation vector as a slip vector form a screw boundary (Figure 2.9). Since the energy of the screw dislocation is smaller than that of the edge dislocation, the lattice disturbance due to strain and the resultant band change are similar.

Figure 2.8 Current flow in a crystal with dislocation along one major axis (Matare, 1970c).
Figure 2.9 Two twisted crystals with slip vector = lattice translation vector (Matare, 1970b).

An ideal screw dislocation, however does not show the special aspect of the disrupted bond with its acceptor (donor) level and the consequent buildup of a space charge. Figure 2.10 (a) shows the model of the ideal screw dislocation and its electronic band picture. Figure 2.10 (b) shows, for comparison, the disturbed screw dislocation, which does not lie in a single plane parallel to the slip vector. In this case the boundary of the slipped area is an edge dislocation. Since slip occurs preferentially on densely-packed crystallographic planes, the growth of screw dislocation from twisted seeds is subjected to forces that tend to divert the slip plane into lower energy positions, thereby creating edge dislocations.
Figure 2.10 (a) Model of an ideal screw dislocation and band scheme, and (b) Disturbed screw dislocation (Matarić, 1970b).
2.2.4 Dislocations in Junction Devices in General

There are effects of dislocations in the properties of bulk crystals like resistivity, mobility, lifetime, etc. (Pauls, 1993). In general, dislocations do not add free electrons to the amount of free carriers available but they actually withdraw free electrons from the free carrier pool to form a space charge. The radial resistivity gradient in Czochralski-grown crystals can be kept smaller when such normal dislocation density is counted and compared with dislocation-free crystals (Matare, 1970d). On one hand, decreased device size itself improves the chances of high performance but the devices like solar cells processed on a large area has significant effect on dislocations.

A large amount of pictures of crystals before and after processing by typical microelectronic production steps show that dislocations are generated by the following: (1) Surface damage, (2) Thermal oxidation, (3) Diffusion of impurities like phosphorous and boron, (4) Diffusion generated strain in adjacent areas not subject to the diffusion, (5) Ion implantation, (6) Alloying, and (7) Metal deposition.

The dislocation arrays behave like a degenerate piece of semiconductor, the degeneracy being a function of the lateral field across the space charge domain. A dislocation pipe situated between a p-n junction and a contact area acts in two ways:

1. It carries excess current with increased field between the p-type layer and the contact.

2. The amount of current is dependent on the lateral field across the space charge and increases for increasing lateral field.

This situation can be the main cause of difficulty in junction devices, for example, in a case where the dislocation runs across p-n junction space charge layer (Figure 2.11).
Figure 2.11  Dislocation D₁, as shunt in a p-n junction, and Dislocation D₂ which is inactive (Matare, 1970c).

The edge dislocations form a space-charge pipe in the p-n junction as shown in Figure 2.12. Actually, the space-charge pipe comprises hundreds of lattice points but is reduced here. The application of a field of the sign indicated biases one side of the n-p-n structure in the forward direction, limiting the space-charge, depressing the barrier, and decreasing the number of carriers generated by electron impact. The high carrier generation-recombination rate enhances the sample current it draws.

A dislocation pipe acts as a highly conducting path because of wave function overlap but establishes blocking properties in a direction perpendicular to the pipe. Therefore the orientation of the dislocation is of importance for its behavior in depletion layers where its influence is mostly felt. The high field region in all junction devices or the intrinsic layers is most sensitive in this respect. If the array is located (a) in the
direction of the field lines between the n- and p- layers, it establishes an efficient breakdown region and forms the origin for excitation (case a in Figure 2.13).

Figure 2.12 Edge dislocation space charge pipe in the cubic case and its equivalent band structure (Matare, 1970c).
If the dislocation is running parallel to the p-n layer, it may not interfere with the junction properties, but its space-charge widens considerably because of the bias effect (case b in Figure 2.13). In case a (Figure 2.13), a high current density also leads to local heating effects and eventually to a destruction of the crystal properties like segregation, second phase formation, melting etc.

![Dislocation arrays with a space-charge pipe in two positions within the barrier layer region (Matare, 1970d).](image)

**Figure 2.13** Dislocation arrays with a space-charge pipe in two positions within the barrier layer region (Matare, 1970d).

Process induced dislocations have the same effects as in-grown defects when they are located within the high field regions of the devices. But this does not mean that the original wafer perfection is less important. Interface dislocations change the band structure as shown in Figure 2.14. The recombination flux through the interface decreases the efficiency.
2.3 Application of Defect Study Including Dislocations in Different Projects on Mc-Si Solar Cells

Earlier in this chapter it was shown that the dislocation has adverse effect on devices as it reduces the lifetime, mobility, resistivity etc. The effect of dislocations was observed on different projects in solar cells, the details of which are mentioned in this section.
2.3.1 Comparison of Low and High Efficiency Cell

Cells and wafers provided by different vendors were characterized optically and electrically. J-V measurements of the cells were taken to get the cell parameters from a commercially available solar simulator under the spectral irradiance of 1000.0 W/m$^2$ at room temperature. The area of tested cells was 243.36 cm$^2$, i.e. 6” X 6”. Dark Lock-in thermography (DLIT) method was used to see the distribution of dislocations in multicrystalline silicon. The details of the instrument (www.thermosensorik.com) are available in literature and published by O. Breitenstein (Lanenkamp, 2002), (Breitenstein, 2003). Lock-in thermography (LIT) is a standard technique to detect shunts and hot spots. Shunts are the regions of high dislocation density. LIT images were taken in multicrystalline silicon cells at different bias voltages to see the regions of dislocations and impurity precipitates. Under high reverse bias, high dislocation density regions show the large thermal signal due to the high power dissipation in those regions.

Three cells, namely cells A, B and C made up of mc-Si and having different distribution of defects were characterized electrically. After calibrating the light intensity from a standard reference cell with respect to one sun, the J-V measurement of cells A, B and C were done under dark and illuminated (AM1.5) conditions. Dark and illuminated J-V measurements of cells A, B and C are shown in Figure 2.15 and their corresponding cell parameter values are given in Table 2.1. Cell A has higher efficiency and higher values of cell parameters than cell B and cell C. The reason for this is described when lumped parameters (like saturation currents, series resistance and shunt resistance) of these three cells were calculated by fitting the dark J-V curves using the two diode model.
Table 2.1 Electrical Parameters at 25°C (Area: 243.36 cm$^2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar Cell</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell A</td>
<td>0.6196</td>
<td>34.79</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>15.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell B</td>
<td>0.6127</td>
<td>34.47</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>14.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell C</td>
<td>0.6046</td>
<td>33.15</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>13.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fitting results of cells A, B and C are shown in Figure 2.16 and their corresponding lumped parameter values are shown in Table 2.2. The series and shunt resistances of the cell influence fill factor, maximum power point, and efficiency of the cell (Nielsen, 1982), (Pysch, 2007), (Dyk, 2004), (Kurobe, 2005). In a good silicon solar cell the series resistance must be low and shunt resistance must be high. Cell A has lower series resistance, higher shunt resistance and lower saturation currents than cell B and cell C, which makes it the highest efficiency cell. The high values of $J_{02}$ in multi crystalline cells A, B and C are due to the recombination in space charge region associated with defects.

Table 2.2 Parameters Obtained from Dark J-V Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar Cell</th>
<th>$R_s$ (m$\Omega$)</th>
<th>$R_{sh}$ (k$\Omega$)</th>
<th>$J_{01}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$J_{02}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell A</td>
<td>4.021658</td>
<td>14.796350</td>
<td>6.61E-10</td>
<td>3.29E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell B</td>
<td>4.350242</td>
<td>11.002230</td>
<td>1.04E-09</td>
<td>3.51E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell C</td>
<td>4.510488</td>
<td>0.230615</td>
<td>1.01E-09</td>
<td>4.93E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cells A, B and C have different efficiencies because of the different distribution of defects in the bulk. In multi-crystalline silicon dislocations are randomly distributed and form a region of increased dark forward current. These regions are called shunts. O. Breitenstein (Breitenstein, 2004) has described mainly two types of shunts: process-induced shunts and material-induced shunts.

**Figure 2.15** Dark and illuminated characteristics of (a) Cell A, (b) Cell B and (c) Cell C.
Process-induced shunts can be minimized but it is hard to remove material-induced shunts. In other words shunts are the regions of high defect density.

Figure 2.16 Illustration of dark log J-V characteristics (a) Cell A, (b) Cell B and (c) Cell C.
By applying high reverse bias, electric field effects are enhanced in high dislocation density regions that behave as sites for localized avalanche breakdown. In these local regions high power is dissipated under high reverse bias because of increased dark reverse current which results in local excessive heating of the cells. These regions are called hot spots which can be seen from Dark Lock-in Thermography (DLIT). DLIT is used to see defects. DLIT images of cells A, B and C was taken at -5V (as shown in Figure 2.17) and -10V (as shown in Figure 2.18).

![Figure 2.17](image1.png)  
**Figure 2.17** Dark Lock-in Thermography at -5V, 2.3 Hz of (a) Cell A, (b) Cell B and (c) Cell C.

![Figure 2.18](image2.png)  
**Figure 2.18** Dark Lock-in Thermography at -10V, 2.3 Hz of (a) Cell A, (b) Cell B and (c) Cell C.
From Figures 2.17 and 2.18 it is clearly seen that there is a high distribution of defects in cell C that is responsible for its lower efficiency than cell A and cell B. When the voltage is increased from 5V to 10 V in reverse bias, local heating of high dislocation density regions increases due to the higher power dissipation at higher voltages in reverse bias. In Figure 2.18a and 2.18b the pink circles and other small light circles correspond to etch pits. When the impurities get precipitated at dislocation sites the defects clump together in those regions and form defect clusters, which are shown as pink region in Figure 2.18c. The characterization of defect clusters has been described by B.L. Sopori (Sopori, 2009b). This confirms that cell A must have the highest efficiency because of the lowest dislocation density.

2.3.2 Dislocation Propagation in Mc-Si

Grains of certain orientations with the lowest yield stress undergo plastic deformation producing local dislocation regions and causing the thermal stresses to diminish (Chen, 2008), (Sopori, 2009). As a result, other grains of higher yield stress do not form dislocations or have very low dislocation density. This causes formation of dislocation clusters in the mc-Si (Sopori, 2009). Unfortunately, dislocations multiply (and some of them also annihilate) causing the dislocations to spread from the initial grains into the adjacent regions. Dislocation clusters are decorated with precipitated metallic impurities, which are not gettered or passivated during solar cell processing. Thus, dislocations have a very strong influence on the solar cell performance. It is important for wafer versus brick versus ingot suppliers to know how the dislocations are propagated in the ingot and how they influence the solar cell performance (Sopori, 2010).
Experimental studies were also performed to investigate the influence of defects in mc-Si wafers on solar cell performance and to study changes associated with defect propagation within a brick of mc-Si. Selected wafers (156-mm-size) were characterized which taken from mc-Si bricks from different parts of ingots. These selected wafers were then processed into solar cells. The cell data from current-voltage characteristics, light-beam-induced current, electroluminescence (EL), and hot-spot thermal image maps were analyzed.

Figure 2.19 shows an example of LBIC, dislocation, and EL maps for wafers and cells taken from top, middle, and bottom of a brick. The cell parameters ($V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF), average LBIC current (in A/W), and defect densities are listed in Tables 2.3 and 2.4. It is seen that there is a progressive degradation of $V_{oc}$ and $J_{sc}$ for cells from top to bottom.

Table 2.3  Solar Cell Parameters Measured at 25$^0$C (Sopori, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar Cell</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ [V]</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ [mA/cm$^2$]</th>
<th>LBIC @ 980 nm [A/W]</th>
<th>LBIC @ 633 nm [A/W]</th>
<th>FF [%]</th>
<th>$\eta$ [%]</th>
<th>Defect density [X 10$^5$/cm$^2$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOP</td>
<td>0.6217</td>
<td>32.89+0.035</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDLE</td>
<td>0.6209</td>
<td>33.0+0.035</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTTOM</td>
<td>0.6198</td>
<td>33.0+0.035</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4  Parameters Obtained from Dark I-V Characteristics (Sopori, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar Cell</th>
<th>$R_S$ (m$\Omega$)</th>
<th>$R_{sh}$ (k$\Omega$)</th>
<th>$J_{01}$(mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$J_{02}$(mA/cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOP</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>7.5E-10</td>
<td>3.1E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDLE</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>8.51E-10</td>
<td>2.6E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTTOM</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>8.39E-10</td>
<td>2.9E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.19 Maps of 980nm LBIC (A), defect density (B) and electro-luminescence (C) for three wafers from bottom, middle and top part of an ingot (Sopori, 2010).
CHAPTER 3
DISCUSSION OF THE MODEL OF A SILICON MESA DIODE

3.1 Introduction

In crystalline silicon there are several defects that exist such as grain boundaries, dislocations, defect clusters etc. The effect of grain boundaries is negligible in the performance of solar cells because of the use of large grain size material by solar industries in present technology (Ghitani, 1989), (Halder, 1983). Defect cluster regions can be assumed as regions of high dislocation densities (Sopori, 2009a). Among various defects, dislocations and impurity precipitates are the most harmful in the performance of mc-Si solar cell. Dislocations have adverse effects on cell parameters such as short-circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) and spectral response (S.R) in polycrystalline silicon solar cells.

The three-dimensional continuity equation of the minority carriers with the effect of dislocations has been solved by assuming the boundary condition of the front surface recombination velocity on the quasi neutral region of the n side and back surface recombination velocity on the quasi neutral region of the p-side. The dislocation was considered to be a space charge cylinder that extends perpendicular from the front to back surface of the n⁺-p junction. In this work the influence of front and back surface recombination velocities at the front and back surfaces of the n⁺-p junction silicon solar cells have been computed, respectively, on the spectral response and short-circuit current density using identical dislocation models.
3.1.1 Assumptions Used in Suggested Model

The three-dimensional continuity equation of the minority carriers in a solar cell which has a periodic array of dislocations and with front and back surface recombination was solved. Each dislocation was considered to be a space charge cylinder perpendicular to the surface and extending through the entire cell.

The suggested model assumes the uniform distribution of dislocations as shown in Figure 3.1. Dislocations have their influence in all three dimensions. The thickness of the cell is d. The influence of defects exists in the horizontal direction because of recombination activity. The influence of defects exists in the vertical direction because of dislocation density as well as front and back surface recombination.

![Image of three-dimensional view of dislocation distribution](image)

**Figure 3.1** Three-dimensional view of the distribution of dislocations.
In the model a unit cell surrounded by four dislocations was considered. The dislocations are located on the corners of the cube as shown in Figure 3.2 (a).

![Figure 3.2](image)

Figure 3.2 (a) The distribution of dislocations in a unit cell; (b) Front view of the distribution of dislocations in a unit cell at z=0.

Figure 3.2 (b) shows the front view of the distribution of dislocations at the z=0 plane which is the front surface of the cell. The carriers can recombine at a dislocation from all three dimensions. In this work the calculations were done under the following assumptions:

(i) The contribution of the emitter was considered.

(ii) Shunt and series resistance effects were neglected.

(iii) The grains contained a regular array of homogenously recombining dislocations that were perpendicular to the junction area.

(iv) The dislocation was considered to be a space charge cylinder that extends perpendicular from the front to back surface of the n⁺-p junction.

(v) The effect of front and back surface recombination velocity cannot be neglected because the current mc-Si technology provides surface passivation by SiN:H on the front surface and very effective back surface field on the back surface.
(vi) The effect of recombination activity at dislocations was considered.

(vii) The diameter of the space charge region around cylindrical dislocation was smaller than the distance between two dislocations.

All these calculations were done at the cell thickness of 200 µm and the term $S_d$ was varied from $10^2$- $10^6$ cm/sec.

The distance between two dislocations is $2a$. The dislocation density is given by

$$N_d = \frac{1}{\pi a^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

In the calculations the dislocation density was varied from $10^2$ – $10^6$ /cm², and front and back surface recombination velocities were varied from $10^2$ cm/sec to infinity.

3.1.2 Comparison of Suggested Model with Other Dislocation Models

The effect of dislocations on the performance of mc-Si solar cells has been studied by several groups (Hartman, 2008), (Cousins, 2006), (Kieliba, 2006) but still no direct relation between dislocation density and cell parameters has been established even though some correlation under the assumption of uniform distribution of dislocations (Opdorp, 1977), (Lax, 1981), (Yamaguchi, 1986), (Ghitani, 1989a), (Donolato, 1993) has been reported. The effect of front and back surface recombination velocity was, however, neglected in these dislocation models. In other words, the surface recombination velocities in the above models assumed to be infinity where all the carriers that arrive at the surface, completely recombine. This can cause a significant error,
particularly because current mc-Si technology provides surface passivation by SiN:H and very effective back surface fields.

### 3.2 Continuity Equation of Minority Carriers

A continuity equation in physics is a differential equation that describes the transport of a conserved quantity. Since mass, energy, momentum, electric charge and other natural quantities are each conserved under its own appropriate condition, a vast variety of physics may be described with continuity equations. All the examples of continuity equations express that: the total amount (of the conserved quantity) inside any region can only change by the amount that passes in or out of the region through the boundary. Any continuity equation can be expressed in an "integral form" (in terms of a flux integral), which applies to any finite region, or in a "differential form" (in terms of the divergence operator) which applies at a point.

In a semiconductor, both electrons and holes can enter a volume at one end and leaves at other end. Carriers may also pile up or disappear in the volume. Therefore their number leaving at any time may not be the same as the number entering. The continuity equation takes into account all the sources and sinks of electrons and holes (Shockley, 1962), (Anderson, 2005).

In the differential volume, the rate of increase in the conduction band electron concentration is given by

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \, dx = - \frac{\partial \Sigma n}{\partial x} \, dx + (G_n - R_n) \, dx
\]

(3.2)
where $G_n$ is the electron generation rate and $R_n$ is the electron recombination rate (number of carriers per unit volume per unit time). The term $(\partial F_n / \partial x) \, dx$ represents the difference in electron flux at either end of the region $dx$. Since the electron flux density is given by

$$F_n = - \left( \frac{J_n}{q} \right)$$

(3.3)

where $J_n$ is the electron current density is given by

$$J_n = q D_n \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}$$

(3.4)

Figure 3.3 Geometry for determining the continuity equation of electrons. The rate at which electrons accumulate in the incremental volume depends on the incoming and outgoing currents as well as the recombination and generation within the region $dx$ (website: ecee.colorado.edu).
In the differential volume, the rate of increase in the conduction band electron concentration is given by

\[ \frac{\partial \Delta n}{\partial t} \, dx = - \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial x} \, dx + (G_n - R_n) \, dx \]  

(3.5)

where \( G_n \) is the electron generation rate and \( R_n \) is the electron recombination rate (number of carriers per unit volume per unit time). The term \( (\partial F_n / \partial x) \, dx \) represents the difference in electron flux at either end of the region \( dx \). Since the electron flux density is given by

\[ F_n = - \frac{J_n}{q} \]  

(3.6)

where \( J_n \) is the electron current density is given by

\[ J_n = qD_n \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \]  

(3.7)

So the continuity for electrons will become

\[ \frac{\partial \Delta n}{\partial t} = D_n \frac{\partial^2 \Delta n}{\partial x^2} + (G_n - R_n) \]  

(3.8)

\[ R_n = \Delta n / \tau_n \]  

(3.9)
Similarly the continuity equation for hole is given by

\[
\frac{\partial \Delta p}{\partial t} = -D_p \frac{\partial^2 \Delta p}{\partial x^2} + (G_p - R_p)
\]  

(3.10)

In the steady state \(\frac{\partial \Delta n}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \Delta p}{\partial t} = 0\), because the electron and hole concentrations do not change with time.

### 3.3 Introduction of Green’s function

It is important to understand the Green’s function as it is used to solve the system of equations. The theoretical approach involves a solution of the three dimensional continuity equation by Green’s function method bounded by boundary conditions.

In mathematics, a Green's function is a type of function used to solve inhomogeneous differential equations subject to specific initial conditions or boundary conditions. The term is also used in physics, specifically in quantum field theory, electrodynamics and statistical field theory, to refer to various types of correlation functions, even those that do not fit the mathematical definition.

Let \(L\) be the Sturm–Liouville (McQuarrie, 2003) operator, a linear differential operator of the form

\[
L = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ p(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right] + q(x)
\]  

(3.11)
Let $D$ be the boundary condition operator

$$Du = \begin{cases} \alpha_1 u'(0) + \beta_1 u(0) \\ \alpha_2 u'(l) + \beta_2 u(l) \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function in $[0,1]$.

$$Lu = f \quad (3.13)$$

$$Du = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

$$u(x) = \int_0^1 f(s)G(x,s)\,ds \quad (3.15)$$

where $G(x,s)$ is the Green's function satisfying the following conditions:

1. $G(x,s)$ is the continuous function in $x,$

2. For $s \neq 0$, $DG(x,s) = 0,$
3. \( G'(s + 0, s) - G'(s - 0, s) = 1/p(s) \)

4. Symmetry: \( G(x, s) = G(s, x) \)

### 3.4 Current Density Calculations in the Light Case

The solar cell was considered as a p-n junction for its modeling. The dislocations are uniformly distributed and extend from top to bottom of p-n junction as shown in Figure 3.4.

![Figure 3.4 Schematic view of a n-p junction.](image)

For a multicrystalline n-p junction cell the continuity needs to be solved in the n and p regions. Here the assumptions are made that the recombination velocity is the same at all points on the boundaries and that the density change is occurring at the boundaries in an analogous fashion to that at the surfaces of the junction. Green’s function method is used to obtain the solution of the continuity equations in the n and p regions.
3.4.1 Derivation of the Current Equations in the N-Region

The continuity equation to be solved in the n-region of the cell is a partial differential equation of the form (Halder, 1983):

\[ \nabla^2(p_n - p_{n0}) - (p_n - p_{n0})/L_p^2 = -\frac{\alpha F(1 - R)}{D_p} e^{-\alpha z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

The right hand side of Equation (3.16) is the generation rate and the boundary conditions of this upper n-region are:

\[ \frac{\partial(p_n - p_{n0})}{\partial z} = \frac{S_1}{D_p} (p_n - p_{n0}) \quad \text{at } Z = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

\[ (p_n - p_{n0}) = 0 \quad \text{at } Z = Z_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

\[ \frac{\partial(p_n - p_{n0})}{\partial x} = \pm \frac{S_d}{D_p} (p_n - p_{n0}) \quad \text{at } x = \pm a \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.19)

\[ \frac{\partial(p_n - p_{n0})}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{S_d}{D_p} (p_n - p_{n0}) \quad \text{at } y = \pm a \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

Green’s function method was used to obtain a solution with the above boundary conditions. The hole density may be expressed as:

\[ (p_n - p_{n0})(x',y',z') = \langle \mathcal{G}, f \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)
where the prime variables represent arbitrary points in the volume. The right hand volume integral is integrated with respect to the unprimed variables \(x, y\) and \(z\). \(G\) is the symbol for the Green’s function and \(f\) is defined as,

\[
f = -\frac{\alpha F (1 - R) e^{-\alpha z}}{D_p} \tag{3.22}
\]

The Green’s function is found by solving the following equation:

\[
\nabla^2 G - \frac{G}{L_p^2} = \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y') \delta(z - z') \tag{3.23}
\]

with the boundary conditions:

\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} = \frac{S_z}{D_p} G \text{ at } Z=0 \tag{3.24}
\]

\[
G = 0 \text{ at } Z=Z_j \tag{3.25}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} = \pm \frac{S_x}{D_p} G \text{ at } x=\pm a \tag{3.26}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{S_y}{D_p} G \text{ at } y=\pm a \tag{3.27}
\]
The solution of Equation (3.23) is expressed by

\[ G(x, y, z : x', y', z') = \sum_{m,n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^2} \cos(mx) \cos(ny) X \]

\[
\begin{cases}
A \sinh(z/L_2) + B \cosh(z/L_2) & \text{if } z < z' \\
C \sinh(z/L_2) + D \cosh(z/L_2) & \text{if } z > z'
\end{cases}
\]

where \( L_2^2 = n^2 + m^2 + 1/L_p^2 \)

The substitution for \( G \) in the boundary conditions given by Equations (3.26) and (3.27) leads to

\[ ma \tan(ma) = -S_d a/D_p \quad (3.29) \]

\[ na \tan(na) = -S_d a/D_p \quad (3.30) \]

The Green’s function \( G \) is continuous at \( z' \) which leads to

\[ G(z < z') = G(z > z') \text{ at } z = z' \quad (3.31) \]

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\partial(G(z' + \epsilon) - G(z' - \epsilon))}{\partial z} = M_a N_a \cos(mx') \cos(ny')
\]

where \( M_a = m/(ma + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin(2ma)) \) and \( N_a = n/(na + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin(2na)) \)

The values of \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) are obtained by solving Equations (3.24), (3.25), (3.31) and (3.32).

This gives \( B = M_a N_a \cos(mx') \cos(ny') \frac{[\sinh\left(\frac{z'}{L_2}\right) - \tanh\left(\frac{z'}{L_2}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{z'}{L_2}\right)]}{\left(\frac{1}{L_2^2} + \frac{z'}{D_p^2} \tanh\left(\frac{z'}{L_2}\right)\right)} \) and
The excess of minority carriers (holes) is given by the volume integral:

$$ \delta p(x, y, z) = \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} G f \, dx \, dy \, dz $$

$$ = \sum_{n,m} -\frac{qF(1-R)N_a N_v}{m^* n^* a^2} \left( A \left[ L_2 e^{-\alpha z} \cosh \left( \frac{z}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2 e^{-\alpha z} \sinh \left( \frac{z}{L_2} \right) - L_2 \right] + B \left[ L_2 e^{-\alpha z} \sinh \left( \frac{z}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2 e^{-\alpha z} \cosh \left( \frac{z}{L_2} \right) - \alpha L_2 \right] + C \left[ I_{12} - L_2 e^{-\alpha z} \cosh \left( \frac{z}{L_2} \right) - \alpha L_2 \right] \right) \cos(mx) \cos(ny) $$

(3.33)

The average photocurrent density at a given wavelength is obtained by

$$ J_p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} q D_p \left( \frac{\partial \delta p}{\partial z} \right)_{z=z_j} \, dx \, dy $$

$$ = \sum_{n,m} -\frac{qF(1-R)N_a N_v}{m^* n^* a^2 (1-\alpha^2 L_2^2)} \left( \frac{S_{\lambda}}{D_p} \cosh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) \left[ -L_2 + I_{12} - \tanh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) I_{11} \right] - \alpha L_2 \cosh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) + \tanh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) \left[ \frac{S_{\lambda}}{D_p} + \alpha L_2 - \frac{L_2}{L_2^2} \right] + \frac{L_2}{L_2^2} \sinh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) + \alpha e^{-\alpha z_j} \left[ L_2 + \tanh \left( \frac{Z_l}{L_2} \right) \frac{S_{\lambda}}{D_p} \right] \right) $$

(3.34)
where

\[ I_{11} = L_2 \exp(-\alpha Z_j) \sinh \left( \frac{Z_j}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2^2 \exp(-\alpha Z_j) \cosh \left( \frac{Z_j}{L_2} \right) \]  
\[ I_{12} = L_2 \exp(-\alpha Z_j) \cosh \left( \frac{Z_j}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2^2 \exp(-\alpha Z_j) \sinh \left( \frac{Z_j}{L_2} \right) \]  

3.4.2 Derivation of the Current Equations in the Depletion Region

The electric field in the depletion region is high enough that virtually all of the carriers generated are accelerated out of the region before they can recombine, so all contribute to photocurrent. The current can be found in the depletion region by integrating the absorption over the junction width:

\[ J_{dr}(\lambda) = \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j + W} q \alpha F_L(z) dz \]  

where the photon flux at a given distance into the material \( F_L(z) \) is given by

\[ F_L(z) = F (1 - R) e^{-\alpha z} \]  

The current density in the depletion region is calculated as

\[ J_{dr}(\lambda) = q F (1 - R) \exp(-\alpha Z_j) \{1 - \exp(-\alpha W)\} \]  

Surface states cause the photogenerated carriers in the depletion region to have a high probability of recombining at the surface and thus the contribution of this region to the photocurrent is low. Because of the high field in the depletion region, the response
time is much faster for carriers generated there than for the carriers generated in the quasi neutral regions.

3.4.3 Derivation of the Current Equations in the P-Region

The continuity equation to be solved in the p-region of the cell is a partial differential equation of the form:

\[
\nabla^2(n_p - n_{p0}) - (n_p - n_{p0})/L_n^2 = -\frac{aF(1-R) e^{-\alpha Z}}{D_n} \tag{3.40}
\]

where the boundary conditions of this lower p-region are:

\[
(n_p - n_{p0}) = 0 \text{ at } Z=Z_j+W \tag{3.41}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (n_p - n_{p0})}{\partial z} = -\frac{S_z}{D_n} (n_p - n_{p0}) \text{ at } Z=d \tag{3.42}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (n_p - n_{p0})}{\partial x} = \pm \frac{S_d}{D_n} (n_p - n_{p0}) \text{ at } x = \mp a \tag{3.43}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (n_p - n_{p0})}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{S_d}{D_n} (n_p - n_{p0}) \text{ at } y = \mp a \tag{3.44}
\]
The Green's function is found by solving the following equation:

\[ \nabla^2 G - G/L_n^2 = \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y') \delta(z - z') \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.45)

with the boundary conditions

\[ G = 0 \text{ at } Z = Z_j + W \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.46)

\[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} = -\frac{S_z}{D_n} G \text{ at } Z = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.47)

\[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} = \pm \frac{S_d}{D_n} G \text{ at } x = \pm a \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.48)

\[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{S_d}{D_n} G \text{ at } y = \pm a \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.49)

The solution of Equation (3.42) is expressed by

\[ G(x, y, z : x', y', z') = \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{a^2} \cos(mx) \cos(ny) X \]

\[ \begin{cases} 
A \sinh(z/L_2) + B \cosh(z/L_2) & \text{if } z < z' \\
C \sinh(z/L_2) + D \cosh(z/L_2) & \text{if } z > z'
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.50)

where \( 1/L_2^2 = n^2 + m^2 + 1/L_n^2 \).
The substitution for $G$ in the boundary conditions given by Equations (3.48) and (3.49) leads to

\[ ma \tan(ma) = S_d a/D_p \]  \hspace{2cm} (3.51)

\[ na \tan(na) = S_d a/D_p \]  \hspace{2cm} (3.52)

The Green’s function $G$ is continuous at $z’$ which leads to

\[ G (z < z’) = G( z > z’) \hspace{1cm} \text{at} \hspace{1cm} z = z’ \]  \hspace{2cm} (3.53)

\[ \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{\delta(G(z’+\epsilon) - G(z’-\epsilon))}{\delta z} = M_a N_a \cos(mx’) \cos(ny’) \]  \hspace{2cm} (3.54)

where $M_a = m/(ma + (1/2) \sin(2ma))$ and $N_a = n/(na + (1/2) \sin(2na))$

The values of $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$ are obtained by solving the Equations (3.46), (3.47), (3.53) and (3.54).

The excess minority carrier (electrons) concentration is given by the volume integral:

\[ \delta n (x,y,z) = \int_{z_j+w}^{d} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} G f \, dx \, dy \, dz \]
The average photocurrent density at a given wavelength is obtained by

$$I_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} q D_n \left( \frac{\partial \delta n}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_{z=Z_j+w} \ dx \ dy$$

$$= \sum_{m,n}^{\infty} \frac{4qF(1-R)M_3N_3 \sin^2(m\alpha) \sin^2(n\alpha)}{m^2n^2\varepsilon^2(1-\alpha^2L_2^2)[L_2^2-1]} \left\{ \coth \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{A_\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{A_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{L_2} - \frac{I_{11}}{L_2^2} \right] \right\} - \frac{1}{L_2^2} \cosh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) + \sinh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) \frac{A_\varepsilon}{A_\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{I_{11}}{L_2} + \frac{1}{A_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{L_2} - \frac{I_{11}}{L_2^2} \right] + \frac{I_{11}}{L_2^2} \sinh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) - \alpha e^{-\alpha H} \left( -L_2 + \frac{A_\varepsilon}{A_\varepsilon} \coth \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) L_2 \right)$$

where

$$H = Z_j + W$$

$$I_{11} = L_2 \exp(-\alpha H) \sinh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2^2 \exp(-\alpha H) \cosh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right)$$

$$I_{12} = L_2 \exp(-\alpha H) \cosh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2^2 \exp(-\alpha H) \sinh \left( \frac{H}{L_2} \right)$$

$$I_{111} = L_2 \exp(-\alpha d) \sinh \left( \frac{d}{L_2} \right) + \alpha L_2^2 \exp(-\alpha d) \cosh \left( \frac{d}{L_2} \right)$$
The total photocurrent density is given by

\[ J_{\text{total}}(\lambda) = J_p(\lambda) + J_n(\lambda) + J_{dr}(\lambda) = J_{sc}(\lambda) \] (3.64)

### 3.5 Current Density Calculations in the Dark Case

The continuity equation in n- and p- regions is solved using the Green’s function by putting the generation rate equal to zero.

#### 3.5.1 Derivation of the Current Equations in the N-Region

The continuity equation to be solved in the n-region of the cell is a partial differential equation of the form:

\[ \nabla^2(p_n - p_{n0}) - \frac{(p_n - p_{n0})/L_p^2}{L_p^2} = 0 \] (3.65)

where the boundary conditions of this upper n-region are:

\[ \frac{\delta(p_n - p_{n0})}{\delta z} = \frac{S_{dn}}{D_n} (p_n - p_{n0}) \quad \text{at } Z=0 \] (3.66)
The excess minority carrier concentration (holes) is given by the surface integral:

$$\delta p(x, y, z) = \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} (p_n - p_{n0}) \frac{\partial \delta \phi}{\partial z} |_{z=j} \, dx \, dy$$

(3.70)

The average dark current density at a given voltage is obtained by

$$J_p(V_j) = \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} q D_p \delta p |_{z=j} \, dx \, dy$$

(3.71)

$$= \sum_{m,n} \frac{q D_p M_1 N_2 \sin^2(ma) \sin^2(na)}{m^2 n^2 a^4} p_{n0} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{q V_j}{kT} \right) - 1 \right\} \left\{ \frac{S_k \cosh \left( \frac{Z_1}{L_2} \right) + \frac{1}{L_2} \sinh \left( \frac{Z_1}{L_2} \right)}{S_k \sinh \left( \frac{Z_1}{L_2} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{Z_1}{L_2} \right)} \right\}$$

(3.72)

### 3.5.2 Derivation of the Current Equations in the P-Region

The continuity equation to be solved in the p-region of the cell is a partial differential equation of the form:

$$\nabla^2 (n_p - n_{p0}) - \frac{(n_p - n_{p0})}{L_n^2} = 0$$

(3.72)
where the boundary conditions of this lower p-region are:

\[ n_p = n_{p0} \exp \left( \frac{qV_j}{kT} \right) \text{ at } Z=Zj+W \]  

(3.73)

\[ \frac{\partial (n_p - n_{p0})}{\partial z} = -\frac{s_d}{D_n} (n_p - n_{p0}) \text{ at } Z=d \]  

(3.74)

\[ \frac{\partial (n_p - n_{p0})}{\partial x} = \pm \frac{s_d}{D_n} (n_p - n_{p0}) \text{ at } x=\mp a \]  

(3.75)

\[ \frac{\partial (n_p - n_{p0})}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{s_d}{D_n} (n_p - n_{p0}) \text{ at } y=\mp a \]  

(3.76)

The excess minority carrier concentration (electrons) is given by the surface integral:

\[ \delta n(x,y,z) = \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} (n_p - n_{p0}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} |_{z=Zj+W} \, dx \, dy \]  

(3.77)

The average dark current density at a given voltage is obtained by

\[ J_n(V_j) = \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} qD_n \left( \frac{\partial \delta n}{\partial z} \right) |_{z=Zj+W} \, dx \, dy \]  

(3.78)

\[ = \sum_{m,n} \frac{-qD_nM_hN_a \sin^2(ma) \sin^2(na)}{m^2n^2a^2} n_{p0} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{qV_j}{kT} \right) - 1 \right\} \left( \frac{\tanh \left( \frac{H}{L_z} \right)}{\sinh \left( \frac{H}{L_z} \right)} - \frac{A_2 \sinh \left( \frac{H}{L_z} \right)}{A_2 \cosh \left( \frac{H}{L_z} \right)} \right) \]  

(3.79)
The total dark current density is given by

\[ J_{\text{total}}(V_j) = J_p(V_j) + J_n(V_j) \]  

(3.80)

### 3.6 Calculation of Spectral Response

Spectral response (S.R) is same as the quantum efficiency of the solar cell. The quantum efficiency (Q.E) is defined as the ratio of the number of electrons output by the solar cell to the number of photons incident on the device, while the spectral response determines the ratio of current generated by the solar cell to the power incident on the solar cell. Quantum efficiency can be determined from S.R by replacing the power of light at a particular wavelength with the photon flux for that wavelength, which gives

\[ \text{S. R} = \frac{q \lambda}{\hbar c} \text{ Q. E} \]  

(3.81)

The absorption coefficient was calculated as (Ghitani, 1989a):

\[ \alpha(\lambda) = \exp(81.84 - 516.5 \lambda + 1540 \lambda^2 - 2421 \lambda^3 + 2056 \lambda^4 - 871.4 \lambda^5 + 135.4 \lambda^6) \text{ cm}^{-1} \]  

(3.82)

where \( \lambda \) is in \( \mu \text{m} \).
The S.R at different values of wavelength was calculated as:

$$S.R(\lambda) = \frac{I_{sc}(\lambda)}{qF(\lambda)}$$  \hfil (3.83)$$

where F is the photon flux reached at the surface and its unit is photons-cm$^{-2}$-sec$^{-1}$. In these calculations F is taken as corresponding to AM1.5.

### 3.7 Limitations of the Model

Although the suggested model shows the effect of dislocations in all three dimensions, the model has several limitations. The main limitations associated with the model are as follows:

(i) The distance between two dislocations is taken to be more than Read radius so that the effect of electric field surround dislocation can be neglected.

(ii) The front and back surface recombination velocities were assumed to be independent function of dislocation density.

(iii) Similarly recombination activity is assumed to be an independent function of dislocation density.

(iv) The effect of dislocations is neglected in space charge region.
3.8 Summary

In this chapter the complete description of the dislocation model was discussed. The assumptions made in the creation of the model were also included in the discussion. Moreover the effect of front and back surface recombination velocities were considered in the model calculations which makes it unique in comparison to other dislocation models reported earlier by different research groups. The three-dimensional continuity equation for minority carriers was solved in n- and p- regions under the different cases of dark and light using Green’s function. The expressions calculated for current density in dark and light cases can be compared with the experimental results of mesa diodes as well as with the results of commercial multicrystalline silicon solar cells. The simulation results of the dislocation model discussed in this chapter will be shown in chapter 5.
CHAPTER 4
DETAILS OF MESA DIODE ARRAYS

4.1 Introduction

The predictions of the dislocation model discussed in the earlier chapter are tested by means of the set of diode arrays called mesa diodes because the area of the diode is small. These diodes give quantitative information of defects and are used to characterize various substrate and cell parameters. Moreover these diodes are used to determine variations in device characteristics which are suitable for characterizing various solar cell fabrication processes. These diodes can be characterized under dark as well as light conditions in a manner similar to a solar cell. Although the fabrication of mesa diodes has been done by some research groups (Stirn, 1972), (Sopori, 1988a), (Ghitani, 1989b) and (Habler, 1995), this approach did not flourish because of lack of suitable processes for the fabrication of small mesa diodes.

Mesa diode analysis was used to see the effect of dislocations on solar cell performance. This technique was first reported by Sopori (Sopori, 1987, 1988b) for photovoltaics applications. Mesa diode analysis is a useful tool for the characterization of local electrical properties of the p-n junction of solar cells. Figure 4.1 shows the top view of mesa diode arrays on a circular silicon wafer. Suppose the Area 1 of this wafer has low defect density and Area 2 has high defect density. The diodes which are covered over Area 1 would have better performance and higher cell parameters than the diodes which are covered over Area 2.
Figure 4.1 Top view of small mesa diode arrays (Sopori, 1988a).

4.2 Advantages of Mesa Diode Arrays

There are many advantageous features associated with mesa diode arrays. Mesa diode processing gives quantitative information about the defects and other substrate parameters of solar substrates. Mesa diode arrays are used for monitoring material quality and junction formation processes.

The advantages of mesa diode arrays are given as follows:

(i) The substrate parameters like resistivity, minority carrier diffusion length, etc. can be measured from mesa diode arrays.

(ii) Local photocurrent losses at different types of crystal defects can be determined. Moreover, photocurrent losses can be determined due to increased recombination of charge carriers via energy levels, segregation of impurities at defect sites and change in band structure due to localized stresses.

(iii) It gives the numerical value of dark forward and dark reverse current at each point of the cell and wafer.
4.3 Experimental Details of Fabrication of Mesa Diode Arrays

Figure 4.2 shows the process flow of the fabrication of mesa diode arrays. The starting material of the fabrication of mesa diode arrays is a p-type silicon wafer.

**Figure 4.2** Schematic view of process flow of mesa diode arrays.
Figure 4.2 Schematic view of process flow of mesa diode arrays (continue).
First, the gettering techniques are being used on a p-type silicon wafer to remove surface damage. A solution of HNO₃: HF: CH₃COOH in the volume ratio of 3:2:2 is used to etch the samples to produce a shiny surface. After surface preparation the substrates are cleaned in piranha solution (H₂SO₄:H₂O₂= 3:1), rinsed in de-ionized water and dried in nitrogen. Then the diffusion of phosphorous is done at 850-900°C to make the p-n junction. The diffused substrates are coated with negative photoresist. In the photolithography process the samples are first dehydrated. To dehydrate the surface, the samples are baked at 130°C for 10 minute on a hotplate.

SU-8 was used as negative photoresist. SU-8 is a high contrast, epoxy-based photoresist designed for micromachining and other microelectronic applications, where a chemically thick and thermally stable image is desired (Taff, 2006). To deposit the layer of SU-8, the spin coating is performed at 3000 rpm for 30 seconds. After the spin coating, the samples are soft baked to evaporate the solvent and make the film to be dense. This step is called pre-baking. For the pre-baking the samples are heated at 95°C for 60 seconds.

**Table 4.1** Details of The Steps Used for Photolithography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dehydration</th>
<th>Spin Speed</th>
<th>Pre Bake</th>
<th>Expose</th>
<th>Post exposure Bake</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Hard Bake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>170°C, 10 minutes</td>
<td>3000 rpm, 30 seconds (1.5 µm)</td>
<td>95°C, 1 minute</td>
<td>60 seconds</td>
<td>95°C, 90 seconds</td>
<td>80 seconds</td>
<td>225°C, 11 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After pre-baking the samples are exposed to UV radiation. Upon exposure, cross linking proceeds in the formation of a strong acid during the exposure process, followed by acid initiated, thermally driven epoxy cross linking during the post exposure bake (PEB) step. A PEB is performed to selectively cross-link the exposed portions of the film. After PEB the samples are developed for 80 seconds in SU-8 developer to remove the photoresist from unexposed areas. After development, the samples are hard baked at 225°C for 11 minutes to further cross link the material. This completes the photolithography process. Figure 4.3 shows the images taken after photolithography by an optical microscope. The thickness of the photoresist film was measured to be 1.5 µm.

**Figure 4.3** (a) Image taken after the photolithography from one area of the sample, and (b) Image taken after the photolithography from another area of the sample.

Figure 4.3 shows the outside region of the circles. Inside the circle there is a film of photoresist on the silicon substrate. The portion outside the circle was unexposed during photolithography. This portion etched after development. Inside the circle there is a negative photoresist which acts as a mask for the next step of etching to isolate the two
diodes. The diameter of the circles is 0.254 cm. The brief details of the steps used for photolithography are shown in Table 4.1.

After photolithography, mesa etching of the masked substrates was done typically for 30 seconds using Sopori etch (Sopori, 1984). Sopori etch consists of a solution of HF: CH$_3$COOH: HNO$_3$ in the volume ratio of 36:20:1. This etching process also removes the unwanted diffused regions from the back side of the sample. After the Sopori etch process the photoresist mask is removed in piranha solution. Figure 4.4 shows the images taken after mesa etching from optical microscope.

![Figure 4.4](image_url)

**Figure 4.4** (a) Image taken after the mesa etching from one area of the sample, (b) Image taken after the mesa etching from another area of the sample.

After the mesa etching process the samples go for back metallization consisting of an aluminium deposition and subsequent alloying. The aluminium alloying is done in an optical processing furnace (OPF) (Sopori, 1993). The samples are placed with the mesa facing the lamps. The light intensity is controlled so that a uniform Si-Al alloy layer is formed on the back side, which provides a back surface field (Roos, 1978).
The front surface of the sample is oxidized for 60 seconds in the same furnace by flowing oxygen in the furnace. The oxidation results in ~100 Å of SiO$_2$. Samples for mesa diodes go through a deposition of 100 Å of SiO$_2$ and 850 Å of Si$_3$N$_4$ after the mesa etching step. This coating not only acts as a mask for metallization but also provides an antireflection (AR) coating.

After SiO$_2$+Si$_3$N$_4$ deposition, photolithography is again done using AZ1400 photoresist to open the front pattern. The front metallization pattern is opened up by etching SiO$_2$+Si$_3$N$_4$ in buffered HF. For the front metallization the lift-off technique is used to remove Al over the photoresist, leaving metal in the desired regions of the contact.

### 4.4 Characterization Results on Mesa Diode Arrays

The characterization of mesa diode arrays was done on several devices. The diodes were fabricated on a single silicon wafer. The details of fabrication steps are already being discussed in section 4.3. Figure 4.5 shows the short circuit current densities of six diodes. After the measurement it was observed that diode 3 had the highest short circuit current and the lowest short circuit current was observed in diode 6. This suggests that diode 3 was fabricated over a region of low defect density and diode 6 was over a region of high defect density. Figure 4.6 shows the open circuit voltage measurements on the same diodes. It can be noted that the observed open circuit voltages follow the similar trend as that of the short circuit currents in Figure 4.5. This further suggests that the location of
diode 3 is over a region of low defect density whereas diode 6 is over a region of high defect density.

**Figure 4.5** $J_{sc}$ measurements on six different diodes.

**Figure 4.6** $V_{oc}$ measurements on six different diodes.
CHAPTER 5
IDENTIFICATION OF DISLOCATIONS USING MESA DIODES

5.1 Introduction
In the earlier chapters the theoretical and experimental details of mesa diode arrays have been shown. Moreover, how the mesa diode analysis gives the quantitative information of the defects have been discussed in earlier chapters. The dislocation model described in chapter three has the capability to compare the theoretical results with the experimental results on mesa diodes. The fabrication details of processing of mesa diodes have been discussed in chapter 4. This chapter discusses the experimental results achieved on mesa diode arrays and the simulation results of dislocation model.

5.2 Simulation of Dislocation Models
In this model the effect of several parameters like dislocation density ($N_d$), recombination activity at dislocations ($S_d$), minority carrier diffusion length in n and p region etc have been considered. The influence of front ($S_1$) and back ($S_2$) surface recombination velocities have been computed at front and back surfaces of $n^+_p$ junction silicon solar cells respectively, on spectral response and short circuit current density. All these calculations were done at the cell thickness of 200 μm. The values of $Z_d$, $L_p$ and $L_n$ were taken to be 0.3 μm, 10 μm and 400 μm respectively.
5.2.1 Effect of Variation in \( N_d \) and \( S_d \)

The effect of dislocation density and recombination activity was seen on spectral response, J-V characteristics and short circuit current density. Figure 5.1 a shows the variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of \( S_d \), in the case of low dislocation density and Figure 5.1 b shows the variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of \( S_d \), in the case of high dislocation density. In both cases the S.R shifted downward as the recombination activity increases. The peak of S.R photocurrent is shifted towards the longer wavelengths as the dislocation density decreases. The front and back surface recombination velocities were taken to be finite.

![Figure 5.1](image)

**Figure 5.1** S.R vs. wavelength at different values of \( S_d \), \( S_1=10^3 \text{ cm/sec} \), \( S_2=10^4 \text{ cm/sec} \), \( Z_f=0.3 \mu \text{m} \). (a) \( N_d=10^3 \text{ cm}^{-2} \); (b) \( N_d=10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \).
The short circuit current is calculated from S.R values at different wavelengths under the assumption of air mass (AM) 1.5. The spreadsheet of Solar Spectral Irradiance for AM 1.5 gives the power density 1000 W/m². All the calculations were done at this power density. This spread sheet is provided by NREL and available publically. The variation of J-V characteristics is shown in Figure 5.2 at different recombination activities. This figure is plotted by assuming the average dislocation of $10^4$ cm² and finite values of front and back surface recombination velocities.

![Figure 5.2](image.png)  
*Figure 5.2* Calculated J-V characteristics in the case of $N_d$ fix and $S_d$ vary.
Figure 5.3 shows the variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $N_d$ and other parameters like $S_d$, $S_1$, $S_2$ etc. are fixed. The peak of S.R is shifted towards the longer wavelengths as the dislocation density decreases and the maximum of S.R is shifted towards the shorter wavelengths when $N_d$ increases. There is negligible change in S.R with dislocation density at shorter wavelengths because of the low blue response at $S_d = 10^4$ cm/sec.

![Figure 5.3 S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $N_d$, $S_1=10^3$ cm/sec, $S_2=10^4$ cm/sec, $Z_j=0.3$ µm, $S_d= 10^4$ cm/sec.](image)

Figure 5.4 shows the calculated J-V characteristics at different dislocation densities. The curves of Figure 5.4 indicate as $N_d$ increases, the recombination at dislocations becomes the dominant factor.
Figure 5.4 Calculated J-V characteristics in the case of $S_d$ fix and $N_d$ vary.

The increase in $N_d$ and $S_d$ not only causes a decrease in the maximum value of S.R, but also a shift of the maximum towards shorter wavelengths.

5.2.2 Effect of Variation in $S_1$

Figures 5.5 a and 5.5 b show the variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $S_1$. The difference in S.R values at different values of $S_1$ was seen at shorter wavelength. This happens because at shorter wavelength the absorption depth is low. The light having shorter wavelength give the recombination properties of the front surface as it will absorb at the surface. S.R shifts upward as $S_1$ decreases because it gives better blue response at lower values of $S_1$. At longer wavelengths there is no change in S.R values with respect to $S_1$. This effect can be clearly seen in Figure 5.5, where the value of S.R becomes
constant with $S_1$ after the wavelength of 0.7 µm. At shorter wavelengths one can clearly see the difference in the curves with respect to $S_1$.
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**Figure 5.5** The variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $S_1$, $N_d = 10^3$ cm$^2$ (fix) (a) $S_d=10^2$ cm/sec; (b) $S_d=10^4$ cm/sec.

To see the further effect of $S_1$, $J_{sc}$ values were plotted at two different dislocation densities. The higher value of $J_{sc}$ was obtained at lower dislocation density and is shown in Figure 5.6. The change in $J_{sc}$ values is negligible below the $S_1$ values of $10^4$ cm/sec and above the $S_1$ values of $10^8$ cm/sec. The change in $J_{sc}$ value is more pronounced when $S_1$ varies from $10^4$-$10^7$ cm/sec.
Figure 5.6 Comparison of $J_{sc}$ values at different dislocation densities with respect to the variation in $S_1$.

5.2.3 Effect of Variation in $S_2$

Figures 5.7 a and 5.7 b show the variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $S_2$. The difference in S.R values at different values of $S_2$ was seen at longer wavelength. This happens because at longer wavelength the absorption depth is high. The light having longer wavelength give the recombination properties of the bulk. As the $S_2$ decreases the spectral response shifts upward. At shorter wavelengths there is no change in S.R values with respect to $S_2$. This effect can be clearly seen in Figures 5.7 a and 5.7 b, where the value of S.R is constant with $S_2$ below the wavelength of 0.7 µm. At longer wavelengths one can clearly see the difference in the curves with respect to $S_2$. 
Figure 5.7 The variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $S_2$, $N_d = 10^3$ cm$^{-2}$ (fix) (a) $S_d=10^2$ cm/sec; (b) $S_d=10^4$ cm/sec.

To see the further effect of $S_2$, $J_{sc}$ values were plotted at two different dislocation densities. The higher value of $J_{sc}$ was obtained at lower dislocation density and is shown in Figure 5.8. The change in $J_{sc}$ values is negligible above the $S_2$ values of $10^6$ cm/sec. The change in $J_{sc}$ value is more pronounced when $S_2$ varies from $10^2$-$10^6$ cm/sec.

The change in S.R with respect to $S_2$ will be more pronounced when the thickness of cell is further reduced by the solar industries. This will happen because in thinner wafer the generation rate will be significant at the back surface and no longer be neglected. In Figure 5.9 the cell thickness was varied from 150 µm to 50 µm to see the change in S.R values with respect to $S_2$. 
Figure 5.8 Comparison of $J_{sc}$ values at different dislocation densities with respect to the variation in $S_2$, when $S_1 = 10^3$ cm/sec.

Figures 5.9 a1, 5.9 b1 and 5.9 c1 show the variation of S.R at different values of $S_2$ in wavelength range of 0.4 µm to 1.1 µm. When the cell thickness is 150 µm there is no change in S.R values with respect to $S_2$ at wavelengths below 0.67 µm as shown in Figure 5.9 a1. When the cell thickness is 100 µm there is no change in S.R values with respect to $S_2$ at wavelengths below 0.64 µm as shown in Figure 5.9 b1. When the cell thickness is 50 µm there is no change in S.R values with respect to $S_2$ at wavelengths below 0.57 µm as shown in Figure 5.9 c1. The change in S.R values between high and low value of $S_2$ increases as the thickness of cell reduces. Figures 5.9 a2, 5.9 b2 and 5.9 c2 show the variation of S.R with respect to $S_2$ at a cell thickness of 150 µm, 100 µm and 50 µm respectively. In Figure 5.9 a1, 5.9 b1 and 5.9 c1 the wavelengths below
0.7 \mu m have been excluded so that one can clearly see the difference in S.R values between the lowest and highest value of S_2.
Figure 5.9 The variation of S.R vs. wavelength at different values of $S_2$, (a1) $d=150 \ \mu m$ & $\lambda=(0.4 \ \mu m -1.1 \ \mu m)$; (a2) $d=150 \ \mu m$ & $\lambda=(0.7 \ \mu m -1.1 \ \mu m)$; (b1) $d=100 \ \mu m$ & $\lambda=(0.4 \ \mu m -1.1 \ \mu m)$; (b2) $d=150 \ \mu m$ & $\lambda=(0.7 \ \mu m -1.1 \ \mu m)$; (c1) $d=50 \ \mu m$ & $\lambda=(0.4 \ \mu m -1.1 \ \mu m)$; (c2) $d=50 \ \mu m$ & $\lambda=(0.7 \ \mu m -1.1 \ \mu m)$.

5.2.4 Comparison Between Modeling and Experimental Results

In this model the effect of $S_1$ and $S_2$ is considered and makes significant difference when it has finite values. The calculated value of short circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) at different dislocation densities are shown in Figure 5.10. The $J_{sc}$ decreases as the recombination activity increases at different dislocation densities. All the values of $J_{sc}$ were plotted at finite values of front and back surface recombination velocities. At lower values of $S_d$, the change in $J_{sc}$ is negligible when the dislocation density is below $10^5 \ \text{cm}^{-2}$. At higher values of $S_d$, the change in $J_{sc}$ is negligible when dislocation density is below $10^5 \ \text{cm}^{-2}$. 
As the recombination activity increases the sudden change in \( J_{sc} \) will start at low values of dislocation densities which is also in agreement with the results of Ghitani 1989a, who neglected the front and back surface recombination velocity.

The modeling results were compared with experimental results reported by Sopori, 1987. In their work they showed the quantitative effect of dislocations on cell parameters using mesa diode arrays (Sopori, 1988). The comparison between these two results is shown in Figure 5.11. In the comparison the value of \( S_1 \) was taken to be \( 10^6 \) cm/sec and the value of \( S_2 \) was taken to be \( 10^5 \) cm/sec. The experimental results were done on ribbon sample without AR coating which may be reason of the difference.
between experimental and modeling values, but from this comparison it is clear that there will be a drop in $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ values if the dislocation density increases more than $10^4$ cm$^{-2}$.

**Figure 5.11** Comparison between modeling and experimental results, when $S_1=10^5$ cm/sec, $S_2=10^5$ cm/sec, $S_d=5 \times 10^3$ cm/sec, $R=0.85$, $Z_f=0.4$ μm.

### 5.2.5 Comparison Between the Improved and Old Dislocation Model

The $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ values of the improved model were compared with the Ghitani’s (Ghitani, 1989a) model in which the effect of front and back surface recombination velocities were neglected.
The comparison between $J_{sc}$ values are given in Table 5.1 when $S_1$ and $S_2$ were taken to finite and $S_1$ and $S_2$ were neglected or assumed to be infinity. At low recombination recombination activity the difference in $J_{sc}$ value increases when dislocation density decreases as it is seen in Table 5.1, the percentage change in $J_{sc}$ is increasing with an increase in dislocation density. At high recombination activity there is a little increase in the percentage change in $J_{sc}$ when $N_d$ decreases from $10^4$ cm$^{-2}$ to $10^3$ cm$^{-2}$.

Table 5.1 Change in $J_{sc}$ When $S_1$ and $S_2$ are Considered and Neglected, $Z_j=0.3$ µm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_d$ (cm/sec)</th>
<th>$N_d$ (cm^{-2})</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm^2)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm^2)</th>
<th>% change in $J_{sc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$S_1=10^3$ cm/sec</td>
<td>$S_2=10^3$ cm/sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^2$</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>34.9163</td>
<td>23.9965</td>
<td>45.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>35.0644</td>
<td>24.0044</td>
<td>46.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^7$</td>
<td>$10^7$</td>
<td>35.7120</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>46.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>27.9406</td>
<td>20.4759</td>
<td>36.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>29.9241</td>
<td>22.4650</td>
<td>33.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^1$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>31.6754</td>
<td>23.7423</td>
<td>33.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly the comparison between $V_{oc}$ values are given in Table 5.2 when $S_1$ and $S_2$ are taken to finite and $S_1$ and $S_2$ are neglected or assumed to be infinity.

Higher values of $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ will be achieved if surface passivation techniques like SiNx:H passivation, back surface field etc. are used in the fabrication of solar cells. In that case it is important to consider the finite values of front and back surface recombination velocity.
Table 5.2 Change in $V_{oc}$ When $S_1$ and $S_2$ are Considered and Neglected, $S_d=10^3$ cm/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Z_j$ (µm)</th>
<th>$N_d$ (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V) $S_1=10^3$ cm/sec $S_2=10^3$ cm/sec</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V) $S_1$ and $S_2$ neglected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.6 Effect of Variation in Efficiency

Efficiency is an important parameter in the performance of solar cells. Figure 5.12 shows the effect of variation in efficiency at different dislocation densities as estimated using this model. The efficiency of solar cell decreases as the dislocation density increases. There is a marginal change in cell efficiency when the dislocation density increases from $10^2$ to $10^3$ cm$^{-2}$. The change in surface recombination velocity is negligible when the dislocation density is between $10^2$ cm$^{-2}$ and $10^3$ cm$^{-2}$. There is a rapid decrease in efficiency once the dislocation density goes above $10^3$ cm$^{-2}$. The effect of surface recombination velocities is more pronounced at higher dislocation densities.

Similar change in efficiency was seen when the recombination activity was increase while keeping the dislocation density constant. The variation of efficiency vs. $S_d$ is shown in Figure 5.13. A negligible variation in efficiency was observed for $S_d$ values below $10^3$ cm/sec and this change was increased as the $S_d$ was increased above $10^4$ cm/sec. As described in Chapter 1, identical results were reported while solving continuity equation for minority carriers in one dimension (Diamitriadis, 1985).
**Figure 5.12** Efficiency vs. dislocation density at a fix value of $S_d$.

**Figure 5.13** Efficiency vs. recombination activity at a fix value of $N_d$. 

---

### Figure 5.12

- **Y-axis**: Efficiency (%)  
- **X-axis**: $N_d$ (cm$^{-2}$)

- $S_d = 10^3$ cm/sec

---

### Figure 5.13

- **Y-axis**: Efficiency (%)  
- **X-axis**: $S_d$ (cm/sec)

- $N_d = 10^3$ cm$^2$
CHAPTER 6
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

6.1 Conclusions

The three dimensional model of dislocations was developed using Green’s function with an effect of front and back surface recombination velocity. In the model the uniform distribution of dislocations are considered and the influence of defects are considered in all three dimensions. In the model the current density equations were solved in both light and dark cases.

The modeling results indicate the effect of dislocation density and recombination activity at dislocations on spectral response and J-V characteristics. As the dislocation density and recombination activity increases, it has an adverse effect on the performance of solar cell as expected. From the spectral response calculation it was shown in the results that the effect of front surface recombination velocity is more pronounced at shorter wavelengths and the effect of back surface recombination velocity is more pronounced at longer wavelengths. The shorter wavelength of light gives the recombination properties of front surface and longer wavelength of light gives the recombination properties of bulk.

The effect of back surface recombination velocity cannot be neglected in the present crystalline silicon solar cell technology because the thickness of silicon wafer is reduced by solar industries to reduce cost. Ghitani (Ghitani, 1989a) concluded that the dislocation effect is less marked when the thickness is less than 50 µm. In his model the back surface recombination velocity was assumed to be infinity. In modeling results it
was shown that the effect of defects are more pronounced especially the back surface recombination velocity when the cell thickness is less than or equal to 50 µm.

The comparison between experimental and modeling results showed some agreement between two results. There is sudden change in $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ values when dislocation density exceeds $10^4$ cm$^{-2}$. The present model can also be used to compare with experimental results of solar cells fabricated on silicon and other semiconductor materials having similar features.

A significant difference was observed in the magnitude of $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ values when front and back surface recombination velocities was taken to be finite and when both were considered to be infinity. This clearly shows that the experimental results of the crystalline silicon solar cells made up on large grain size material cannot be compared with the dislocation models reported by earlier groups in the past.

### 6.2 Future Work

The suggested model can only be applied to polycrystalline semiconductor material having the same features. In suggested model the continuity equation was solved in three dimensions by assuming the uniform distribution of dislocations. An obvious extension of model is possible by considering a regular array of nonhomogenously recombining dislocations.

The suggested model works for the semiconductor materials of large grain size. The effect of grain boundary is neglected in the model. The extension of the model is possible by considering the uniform distribution of grain boundary and dislocations.

Although suggested model cannot be applied for tandem cells or the devices
having multi junctions, suggested model can be extended by solving continuity equation in different regions using same concept of Green’s function. Moreover the effect of p+ region which provides back surface field can also be included.

The processing of good mesa diodes is still a big challenge. It needs to optimize all the steps used for fabrication. During the mesa etching step some parts of negative photoresist get removed from the corners of the circle of the mesa diodes.
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