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ABSTRACT

ENGINEERING SPORES TO DISPLAY G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTORS FOR DIRECTED EVOLUTION

by
Alyssa Misoo Kim

All human cells are surrounded by a plasma membrane made from a phospholipid bilayer, which is responsible for maintaining a biologically active species, while stopping entry of deleterious substances from the outside. G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are the membrane proteins, which transmit signals across the cell membrane. GPCRs are involved in almost every physiological process, and irregular control leads to pathological conditions. Therefore, they are major drug targets. Crystal structure determination is required to understand the molecular details of activation/deactivation. However, GPCRs are difficult to crystallize because of stability issues. An efficient protein engineering system needs to be developed. The goal is to design and create a system to display a heterologous protein on the Bacillus subtilis spore coat. Human parathyroid hormone receptor (huPTH1R) is used as a model system. HuPTH1R is a GPCR, which is vital in regulating calcium and phosphate levels in the blood.

Molecular biology is used to create the plasmid pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC that fused huPTH1R to a spore coat protein, CotC. The plasmid is transformed into B. subtilis, and huPTH1R is successfully integrated into B. subtilis genome via recombination. This work represents the first system for GPCR display on the spore coat. Spore display overcomes many of the hurdles found in “traditional” protein display systems. Finally, this system can be used as a general method for engineering and optimizing membrane proteins by directed evolution.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 G Protein-Coupled Receptors

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are integral membrane proteins characterized by seven transmembrane helices (TM) connected by three extracellular loops (ECLs) and three intracellular loops (ICLs) \(^{(1,2)}\) (Figure 1.1).

![Figure 1.1 General structure of G protein-coupled receptors embedded in a lipid bilayer.](image)

They are the largest family of membrane proteins in the human genome and function as the receptors for hormones, neurotransmitters, protons, ions, light, odors and gustative molecules \(^{(2)}\). Thus, they are essential for communication between internal and external environments of cells. For example, the human \(\beta_2\) adrenergic receptor binds to adrenalin and noradrenalin on the exterior of the cell, and it leads to activate stimulation and regulation of the sympathetic nervous system.

GPCRs are involved in almost every physiological process. Hence, irregular control leads to pathological conditions, which include cancer, cardiovascular, metabolic, central nervous system and infectious diseases \(^{(1)}\). As a result, GPCRs are major human
drug targets. There are approximately 80 GPCR-targeting drugs in the current pharmaceutical market with annual sales reaching up to $50 billion, and they make approximately 30-50% of the drug targets \(^{(1)}\). Notable examples are Eli Lilly’s Zyprexa (schizophrenia), Schering-Plough’s Clarinex (hay fever and allergy symptoms), GlaxoSmithKline’s Zantac (gastroesophageal reflux disease), and Novartis’s Zelnorm (irritable bowel syndrome). Therefore, crystal structure determination would provide molecular details of activation and deactivation, which would have an enormous impact in drug discovery.

GPCRs are divided into five families based on their sequence and structural similarity: rhodopsin (Class A or Family 1), secretin/adhesion (Class B or Family 2), glutamate (Class C or Family 3), Frizzled and Taste2 \(^{(1-3)}\). The rhodopsin family is the largest and most diverse family among these families. There are four subfamilies in this diverse family: \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), \(\gamma\), \(\delta\). The \(\alpha\) subfamily receptors activate the protons in retinal to detect the light. The \(\beta\) subfamily receptors, which include endothelin, gonadotropin-releasing hormone and oxytocin receptor ligands, bind to peptides. The \(\gamma\) subfamily consists of peptides or lipid-like receptors. Examples include somatostatin receptor 2 and 5, angiotensin receptor 1, and chemokine receptors, which are drug targets in this group. The \(\delta\) subfamily is responsible for olfactory. The secretin family receptors bind peptide hormones like calcitonin, glucagon and parathyroid hormone. These hormones are used to regulate hypercalcaemia, hypoglycaemia and osteoporosis. In the glutamate family, there are four kinds of receptors that include metabotropic glutamate, \(\text{GABA}_B\), sweet and umami taste, and calcium sensing. These three main families can be easily identified according by sequences comparison. Frizzled family consists of frizzled
and smoothened receptors involved in embrogenesis. The frizzled receptors bind Wnt glycoproteins, whereas smoothened receptors perform as the signaling unit. Last, Taste2 family consists of taste receptors including bitter sensing.

1.2 Crystal Structure and Problems

To date, there are only eight different crystal structures for GPCRs, which include rhodopsin \(^{(4, 5)}\), \(\beta_2\)AR \(^{(6)}\), \(\beta_1\)AR \(^{(7)}\), adenosine A\(_{2A}\) receptor (ADORA2A) \(^{(8)}\), histamine H\(_1\) \(^{(9)}\), sphingosine 1-phosphate \(^{(10)}\), and CXCR4 chemokine receptor \(^{(11)}\). Despite huge research efforts in GPCR crystallography, determination of GPCRs structure is difficult due to the protein properties.

A protein needs to be functionally expressed, purified, and crystallized in order to successfully solve the crystal structure. However, there are several problems to determine the crystal structure of GPCRs. First, GPCR expression in native tissue is typically very low \(^{(12)}\); therefore, GPCRs need a recombinant expression system. GPCRs can be overexpress in prokaryotic system such as *Escherichia coli*. However, they are expressed as insoluble inclusion bodies. As a result, they must be solubilized and refolded using detergents and other chemical additives. Next, compared to soluble or cytoplasmic proteins, membrane proteins are difficult to crystallize because they are found in a lipid bilayer. This environment is difficult to mimic during the crystallization procedure. In the early 1980s, detergent-based micelles were designed to solubilize membrane proteins. In addition, bilayer vesicles, lipidic mesophase approaches, and lipid/detergent procedures were used to assist crystallization. Finally, GPCRs typically have thermodynamic and proteolytic protein stability problems \(^{(12)}\). As a result, there is a lack of secondary structure due to flexible segments of the protein.
The first GPCR structure was bovine rhodopsin (Figure. 1.2). This crystal structure has provided useful information for activation mechanism of GPCRs. Rhodopsin was more suitable for structural studies than most of other GPCRs because it can be obtained large quantities of functional protein from retinas, and they are thermally stable compared to other GPCRs.

Figure 1.2 Structure of rhodopsin (PDB ID: 3PQR) (13).

In order to improve proteolytic stability, several protein engineering efforts have been employed. Recently, crystal structures of the human β2 adrenoceptor (β2AR) as a receptor for adrenalin and noradrenalin have been determined. β2AR was the first non-rhodopsin GPCR to be cloned and was one of the most extensively studied members. Two different protein engineering strategies were utilized. First, β2AR was stabilized by binding a stable antibody fragment (Fab5) to the unstructured cytoplasmic ends of TM5 and TM6, which is linked by the third intracellular loop (ICL3) (14) (Figure. 1.3). Next,
the unstructured ICL3 sequence from Q230 to S262 was replaced with structured protein, T4 lysozyme (T4L) (14) (Figure. 1.4). In essence, a stable protein (antibody or lysozyme) was associated with the unstable GPCRs to impact an overall stable structure. In addition, β1AR and β2AR were further stabilized by addition of stabilizing ligands, amino acid substitutions, adding lipids, and high salt concentrations.

**Figure 1.3** Structure of β2AR-Fab5 (PDB ID: 2R4R) (6, 14).
There are still no crystal structures for most of the rhodopsin family and other GPCR families such as the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) or the metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) in family 3.

1.3 Directed Evolution

Darwinists believe the diversity found on Earth is due to evolution \(^{17}\). Evolution does not go toward a specific objective. It moves by random changes and it alters the capacity of an organism to reproduce under the present conditions. An adapted organism to the current conditions may fair better or worst when the environment changes. Next,
evolution requires a bit of “sloppiness”. This allows an organism to adapt to unexpected changes in the environment. Furthermore, evolution is based on the past. New structures and metabolic functions are created from pre-existing elements. Finally, evolution does not end, and does not go towards absolute complexity. We hold that human beings are at the top of the evolutionary scheme. However, a quick scan of the diversity of organisms shows that simpler ones have not been extinct or stopped evolving. The timescale of months to years can occur for natural evolution. One example is the appearance of antibiotic resistant bacteria and enzymes that degrade chemically synthesized compounds

\((18, 19)\).

The products of evolution occur on many different levels. It is responsible for the diversity of life. In addition, evolution can be seen all the way down to single molecules such as proteins. For example, human ribonuclease and angiogenin share similar tertiary structures (Figure. 1.5). However, they have completely different functions. Ribonuclease is a digestive enzyme while angiogenin stimulates blood vessel growth.
Protein engineering strategies can be roughly categorized as rational or evolutionary. Rational design requires detailed information of the protein structure and function. Guided by the structure, individual amino acids are chosen for substitution in order to modify the function. This is usually done by site directed mutagenesis \(^{(22)}\). Site directed mutagenesis is a molecular biology method. A specific nucleotide in the DNA is mutated, which results in change in an amino acid. Some successes include increased thermostability \(^{(23-26)}\), altered substrate specificity \(^{(27)}\), and introducing post translation modification \(^{(28)}\). The main disadvantage of rational design is the effect of the amino acid substitution cannot be predicted accurately. This is because we are still very ignorant on
how the changes affect every aspect of the protein. For example, we may be able to introduce a new function, such as altered substrate specificity. However, it is impossible to forecast the cost that the substitution has on a different property, such as thermostability. In conclusion, we need a huge amount of structural, mechanistic, and dynamic knowledge for a successful rational design effort. This information is only known for a small fraction of proteins.

On the other hand, directed evolution is a method that mimics the process of natural evolution in order to create mutants with novel and desired properties. The greatest advantage is that a detailed knowledge of structure or mechanism is not required. Directed evolution experiment has iterative cycles (Figure 1.6). First, a library of genes up to $10^{15}$ members is generated by molecular biology techniques. Second, the DNA library is introduced into a suitable host. Typically, a library has $10^4$-$10^9$ variants of the parent. Third, proteins from the library with improved functions are identified by appropriate screening or selection methods. Finally, the genes are used as parents for the next round of directed evolution. Through these repeated cycles, useful and desired mutations can accumulate like the natural evolution process. To name a few examples, directed evolution has been used to alter substrate specificity, increase thermal stability, organic solvent resistance, and enantioselectivity \(^{(29-31)}\).
Figure 1.6 Basic steps of a directed evolution experiment. First, a library of genes is created. The library of variants is up to $10^{15}$. Next, the library is cloned in an expression vector and transformed in a host cell. The desired proteins are screened or selected from the library. Finally, the improved proteins are used as parents for next round of evolution. (PDB ID: 3PQR) (13)

There are several techniques used to create libraries. As an example, the most common and successful methods are random mutagenesis, cassette mutagenesis, and \textit{in vitro} gene recombination (32).
1.4 Protein Display

In nature, proteins localized on the cell surface are important to function. For example, they perform fundamental biological functions, including transportation such as importing and exporting molecules, adhesion molecules and receptors, communication between cells, signal transduction, and others \(^{(33)}\). Now, molecular biology has made it possible to use these cell surface proteins for biotechnological application. For example, microbial cell-surface display can be used to express a heterologous protein of interest as a fusion with various anchoring motifs as carrier proteins. Applications include peptide library screening \(^{(34-36)}\), directed evolution of proteins \(^{(34, 35)}\), vaccines development and targeted therapies \(^{(36-38)}\), biocatalyst \(^{(36, 39)}\), bioadsorption \(^{(36)}\), mutation detection \(^{(36)}\), biosensors \(^{(36)}\), and bioremediation \(^{(34)}\).

“Traditional” microorganisms used for protein display are phage \(^{(40)}\), prokaryotes \(^{(33)}\), and yeast \(^{(34, 41)}\). Protein display has several advantages when compared to libraries expressed in the cytoplasm (Figure. 1.7). For libraries expressed in the cytoplasm, a microtiter plate procedure may be needed to assay protein function. In a typical round of evolution, up to \(10^3\)-\(10^4\) protein variants can be conveniently assayed. Cells are usually arrayed in microtiter plates and they must be lysed to gain access to the protein. In this step, the genotype/phenotype connection is disconnected. Next, the cell debris may be required to be separated from the lysate. This step oftentimes necessitates multiple centrifugation and pipetting steps, which needs automated robotic systems. Furthermore, the lysate is a complex solution containing the target protein and the contents of the cytoplasm, which includes endogenous proteins, nucleic acids, etc.
Figure 1.7  (A) Proteins (Blue) which are expressed inside the host cell. (B) Proteins (Blue) which are displayed outside the host cell.

Protein display can overcome the obstacles presented above. First, the protein is freely accessible and assayed easily. Next, multiple liquid handling steps are not necessary because the cells are not lysed. Furthermore, the environment for screening such as pH, buffer composition, and ionic strength can be easily controlled. Finally, the genotype/phenotype remains intact.

A directed evolution strategy begins with determination of a suitable surface display platform (phage, prokaryote or yeast), based on characteristics of the protein. Gene libraries of the protein are created by random mutagenesis and/or recombination. Cloned genes are inserted into plasmid. They are transformed into a host and induced. Each cell has an individual member of the library on the cell surface, and screened for function. Next, the cells are cultured, the gene is isolated, and another round of evolution can be done (Figure. 1.8).
**1.4.1 Phage Display**

Bacteriophage is a virus that infects bacteria. Filamentous phage display was the first system, which was developed by George P. Smith, and it remains the most common. A peptide of interest can be fused with the pIII protein (Figure 1.9) \(^{(42, 43)}\). T4 \(^{(39, 44, 45)}\), T7 \(^{(39, 44)}\), and λ phage \(^{(39, 44, 46)}\) have also been used. Phage display has been used engineer antibodies \(^{(47, 48)}\), discovery drug-like molecules \(^{(49)}\), and molecular biomimetics \(^{(50)}\). In addition, they have been used for the protein engineering \(^{(51, 52)}\).
An advantage of phage display is that it is easy to perform and inexpensive. A library size of $10^{10}$ can be screened in a single day. Usually, three to five cycles are enough to generate peptide sequences with high binding efficiency. However, the size of the foreign protein to be displayed on the surface of phage is rather limited.

1.4.2 Microbial Cell Surface Display

Functional enzymes, peptides libraries, and antibody fragments have been displayed on the cell surfaces of bacteria\(^{(34)}\). There are two microbial cell surface display systems, gram-negative and positive. First, the architecture of gram-negative bacteria membrane includes an inner membrane and outer membrane, and sandwiched between the two is a peptidoglycan structure. Outer membrane proteins have been used as carriers to display foreign proteins on the surface (Figure. 1.10). Foreign genes can be fused to outer membrane proteins such as OmpA and the OmpC, PhoE, LamB, FhuA, and BtuB\(^{(33,53)}\).
Secondly, gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus xylosus* or *Staphylococcus carnosus* have been used. They have a thicker cell wall and lack the outer membrane (Figure 1.11). They are more suitable to apply for cell catalysts and adsorbents because of cell wall rigidity. *Bacillus* and *Staphylococcus* strains have been commonly used.

**Figure 1.10** Gram-negative bacteria cell surface display.

**Figure 1.11** Gram-positive bacteria cell surface display.
Library sizes of $10^9$-$10^{11}$ can be obtained and screened. On the other hand, a major concern is a protein folding issue (*vide infra*). In addition, *E. coli* does not have the machinery capable of post-translational modifications, such as glycosylation, necessary for functional expression of eukaryotic proteins.

### 1.4.3 Yeast Surface Display

Yeast display has also been used to improve affinity, specificity, expression, stability, and catalytic activity for various foreign proteins. The Aga1-Aga2 protein complex in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has been used as anchors for protein display (Figure. 1.12). These proteins are covalently connected to the cell wall. This display system has been utilized for presentation of various proteins such as green fluorescent protein (GFP), blue fluorescent protein (BFP), the hepatitis B virus antigen, and glucoamylase.

![Figure 1.12: Yeast surface display. The surface displayed protein of interest is fused by its N-terminus to the C-terminus of Aga2 protein.](image)

There are several advantages in yeast surface display for protein engineering. Yeast can display large proteins up to 500 amino acids; whereas, a filamentous phage is limited to small peptides. Yeast can perform post-translational modifications. Hence, they are suitable for functional expression of eukaryotic proteins that need glycosylation for
protein folding. On the other hand, a potential drawback of yeast surface display is the smaller library size of $10^6$-$10^7$, which is due to low transformation efficiency. Furthermore, protein folding can be a problem *(vide infra)*.

1.4.4 Ribosome Display

Ribosome display is cell free evolution technology to create proteins libraries (Figure. 1.13). The DNA library contains all the signals for transcription and translation. In ribosome display, the translated protein remains connected to the ribosome because there is no stop codon. As a result, a ternary complex of mRNA, ribosome, and protein produce is used for selection.

![Figure 1.13](image)

**Figure 1.13** Ribosome display for screening for protein libraries. Step 1. DNA library contains the T7 promoter, ribosome binding site, and stem-loops. Step 2. The mRNA is translated. Translation is stopped by cooling to 4°C, and the protein is screened for function. Step 3. Libraries are screened. Step 4. The mRNA is isolated. Step 5. The mRNA is transcribed to cDNA with reverse transcriptase.
Ribosome display has been used to screen antibody libraries (59), peptides (60), proteins with increased stability (61). An advantage of ribosome display is that large libraries up to $10^{12}$-$10^{13}$ can be screened. However, there are some issues, which include protein misfolding, instability mRNA-ribosome-protein complex, and disulfide bonds formation (62).

1.4.5 mRNA Display

Another in vitro evolution technology is mRNA display for protein and peptide selections (Figure. 1.14).

Like ribosome display, mRNA display produces libraries up to $10^{12}$-$10^{13}$. As a result, rare sequences can be identified. The mRNA display system has advantage over the ribosome display. The covalent mRNA-protein complex linked by puromycin has resistance to harsh environments. A shortcoming of mRNA display is purification of the protein-puromycin-DNA-mRNA from the ribosome (62).

The mRNA display technique has been used to create libraries of heavy domains and single-chain antibodies as well as select linear and constrained peptides (62). Furthermore, selections in mRNA display system can identify polypeptide substrates (63) and cellular polypeptides (64) for signaling proteins and small molecular drugs.
Figure 1.14  mRNA display. Step 1. The DNA library is transcribed to mRNA. Step 2. Puromycin is linked to the mRNA. Step 3. Translation is done in vitro. Step 4. Translation is stopped at the linker region. Puromycin binds to the ribosome. Step 5. Protein is transferred to puromycin. Step 6. mRNA is transcribed. Step 7. Selection for desired properties. Step 8. Isolate DNA. Step 9. Synthesize double stranded DNA by PCR.
1.4.6 Spore Display

Protein display methods have revolutionized protein engineering in academic and industrial settings. However, these technologies suffer several limitations, which have been discussed above. More importantly, there are two significant issues; protein folding and cell viability. Initially, protein must be folded properly for function. In *E. coli* display, the protein is expressed in cytoplasm, which has a reducing environment. This hinders correct disulfide bond formation. In addition, the unfolded peptide must cross the inner membrane, peptidoglycan layer, and the outer membrane. Again, protein folding is a concern. Next, screening proteins for extreme properties, such as organic solvent resistance or thermal stability, will destroy the cell. This will also occur when assaying with toxic substrates. As a result, the microorganism cannot be cultured, and the genotype/phenotype connection will be lost.

Spore display may solve protein folding and cell viability issues. Protein folding concerns may be bypassed due to the natural sporulation process (65) (Figure. 1.15). First, the vegetative cell divides into two compartments, the mother cell and forespore. The mother cell nurtures the formation of the spore. Spore coat proteins are synthesized in the mother cell and they are deposited to form the coat. In short, proteins found on the surface of the spore do not have to cross membranes. In addition, the mother cell contains ATP-dependent chaperone protein to assist in protein folding (36).
Second, they are capable of enduring harsh physical and chemical conditions such as heat, radiation, ultraviolet, desiccation, and oxidizers. Spores remain viable under these conditions, while microorganism such as yeast and *E. coli* cannot. As a result, screening protein with extreme properties can be achieved, and the genotype/phenotype connection remains intact.

Spore display may also have additional advantages. In general, immobilized proteins\(^{(66)}\) have some economical and technological benefits. They are separated easily from the reaction mixture, and the protein stability is increased. For protein immobilization, it is typically expressed, purified, and attached to an inert material. However, proteins are “pre-immobilized” during the sporulation process.

Surface display on *B. subtilis* has been used in biotechnological and pharmaceutical applications\(^{(36)}\) such as vaccines, biosensors, whole cell catalysts, and bioabsorbants for toxic substances\(^{(66)}\). Most recently, spores have been shown to a
suitable platform for directed evolution\(^{(67)}\). \textit{B. subtilis} is a convenient organism for protein display. The genome is known and they can be easily manipulated with molecular biology techniques. Furthermore, spores can be easily produced in large quantities. Lastly, they are safe to use and have been developed as additives for foods and drugs.

**Spore Display of G-Protein Coupled Receptors: Human Parathyroid Hormone Receptor 1 (huPTH1R)**

As mentioned above, obtaining high-resolution crystal structures is a major obstacle towards understanding the molecular mechanisms of GPCR constitutive activity and activation. One significant challenge is acquiring a sufficient amount of protein to crystallize because most GPCRs are expressed at low levels in native tissue. Hence, a suitable recombinant expression system must be developed to produce correctly folded protein, and insect and COS-1 mammalian cells have been used for this purpose\(^{(68)}\). \textit{E. coli} has also been used to generate GPCRs\(^{(68)}\). However, the proteins are expressed as inclusion bodies and they require detergents and other chemical additives for solubilization and refolding.

Spore display offers the opportunity to employ directed evolution methods to stabilize GPCRs. This evolutionary approach would complement other stability methods, such as antibodies binding\(^{(6, 14)}\), T4 lysozyme grafting\(^{(14, 15)}\), and other protein engineering efforts were also used. One notable example was the evolution of GPCRs for higher expression and stability, which was achieved in two steps\(^{(69)}\). First, a library of GPCRs was expressed in the inner membrane of \textit{E. coli}, and GPCRs with increased expression level were sorted with Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting, FACS. Second, the improved variants were screened for thermal stability using microtiter plates. The
clones were expressed and tagged with biotin, and then they were partially purified using streptavidin coated magnetic beads. Finally, they were assayed for enhanced thermal stability.

Spore display of GPCRs may be a general tool for engineering these proteins by overcoming several concerns. First, spore display results in “purified” protein on the spore surface because each spore will contain a unique member of the library. Next, the displayed GPCRs are expected to be unfolded and they need to be solubilized and refolded. As mentioned above, this step requires detergents and other chemical additives. Spores can endure extreme environments and they still remain viable. Hence, spores are not affected during the refolding process. On the other hand, the refolding conditions are not compatible for the more established protein display formats, such as E. coli and yeast, because the cell wall may rupture. This would result losing in the genotype/phenotype connection. Finally, only the GPCRs that can be expressed and assayed will be displayed. For example, GPCRs with rare codon usage in B. subtilis may be removed during the library creation and screening procedure. In short, spore display can be a general tool to engineer proteins.

The goal of this project is to design and create a system for spore display. The molecular biology will be performed in order to fuse a GPCR, human parathyroid hormone receptor 1 (huPTH1R), to the spore coat protein, CotC. HuPTH1R is vital in regulating calcium and phosphate levels in the blood (70). Defects in huPTH1R can result in dwarfism, bone tumors, and failure of tooth eruption.
CHAPTER 2

DESIGNING A SYSTEM FOR DISPLAYING HUMAN PARATHYROID HORMONE RECEPTOR 1 ON THE SPORE COAT OF *Bacillus subtilis*

In this chapter, the design is described for the construction of a system to display G-protein coupled receptors on spore coat of *Bacillus subtilis*. More specifically, the cloning design and molecular biology will be outlined.

2.1 Materials

Analytical regent grade chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), BD (Franklin Lakes, NJ), Research Products International Corp. (Mount Prospect, IL), and Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Restriction enzymes were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) and New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). T4 DNA ligase and *Taq* DNA polymerase were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), and *PfuUltra* HF DNA polymerase was from Ailgent technologies (Santa Clara, CA). Primers were procured from Eurofins MWG Operon (Huntsville, AL). QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit was purchased from Ailgent technologies (Santa Clara, CA). QIAprep spin miniprep kit, QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, and QIAquick gel extraction kit were purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). DNA sequencing was performed at Molecular Resource Facility in University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey (Newark, NJ).
2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Remove *XhoI* Restriction Site at Position 7049 Base Pair for Ease of Cloning

The PCR reaction mixture consist of 5µl of 10X reaction buffer, 5 to 50ng template, pDG1730 GFP-CotC, 125ng of pDG1730 *XhoI* forward (pDG1730 *XhoI*-F: 5'-GGAAGTATCCAGCTCCAGGTCGGGCCG-3') and pDG1730 *XhoI* reverse primer (pDG1730 *XhoI*-R: 5'-CGCGGCCCGACCTGGAGCTGGATACTTCC-3'), respectively, 1µl of dNTP mix and ddH₂O to a final volume of 50µl. Then, 1µl *pfuTurbo* DNA polymerase (2.5U/µl) was added. The PCR consisted of 1 cycle at 95°C for 30 seconds and 12 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 1 minute and 68°C for 4 minutes. After the PCR reaction, the product were directly digested with 1µl of the *DpnI* restriction enzyme (10U/µl), and incubated at 37°C for an hour. After digestion, it was transformed into XL1-Blue supercompetent cells by heat shock. The transformed cells were plated on the Luria–Bertani (LB) plate containing ampicillin (50µg/mL) and incubated at 37°C overnight. The mutated plasmid was isolated using QIAprep spin miniprep kit, and both original and mutated plasmids were digested with *XhoI* and *EcoRI* to check and compare the results. The mutation was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis.

2.2.2 Amplification of Human Parathyroid Hormone Receptor 1

Human parathyroid hormone receptor 1 (huPTH1R) was amplified from the plasmid pET15b huPTH1R (Aline Desmyster, Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, UMR 6098, CNRS, and Universités de Marseille, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France) (68). The reaction mixture contain 5µl of 10X *PfuUltra* HF reaction buffer, 1µl
of dNTP mix, 100ng/µl of pET15b – huPTH1R template, 100ng/µl each of huPTH1R – *Hind*III-F (5'-GGCAAGCTTACATAAGGAGGAAGACTACTATGGGAGGAGCCAGCCCATC ATC-3') and huPTH1R – *Xho*I-R (5'-CGGCTCGAGCATGACTGTCTCCACCTCTCTC -3'), 1µl of *PfuUltra* HF DNA polymerase (2.5U/µl), and up to 50µl with sterile ddH$_2$O. The PCR consisted of 1 cycle at 95°C for 2 minutes, 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds, 65°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 4 minutes, and then 1 cycle 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR amplification products were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit and analyzed on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel.

### 2.2.3 Construction of pDG1730 HuPTH1R-CotC

#### 2.2.3.1 Digestion of pDG1730 GFP-CotC and HuPTH1R PCR Fragments.

The reaction mixture contained *Hind*III, 10X buffer, each of pDG1730 GFP-CotC or huPTH1R PCR fragments from above, and to a final volume 50µl. Both mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. The digested pDG1730 GFP-CotC and huPTH1R fragments were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification, and then second digestion with *Xho*I was performed. The reaction mixture included *Xho*I, 10X buffer, digested pDG1730 GFP-CotC and huPTH1R PCR fragments, respectively, and up to 50µl with sterile ddH$_2$O. Incubation conditions were at 37°C for 3 hours again. Finally, the products were purified using QIAquick gel extraction kit to select desired size of fragments.

#### 2.2.3.2 Ligation of HuPTH1R and pDG1730 CotC.

Purified huPTH1R (insert) and pDG1730 (vector) were ligated (Figure. 2.1 A). The 20µl ligation reaction contained 4µl of 5X ligase reaction buffer, insert and vector (1:1, 3:1, 6:1, and 9:1), and 0.1 unit of
T4 DNA ligase. The ligation was performed at 25°C for 1 hour. The final plasmid construct, pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC (Figure 2.1 B), was created.

**Figure 2.1** Plasmid maps. (A) pDG1730. (B) pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. Genes; *amyE*: encodes front of α-amylase; ‘*amyE*’: encodes back of α-amylase; *spc*: encodes spectinomycin adenyltransferase (spectinomycin resistance); *bla*: encodes β-lactamase (ampicillin resistance); *erm*: encodes rRNA adenine N-6-methyltransferase (erythromycin resistance). *BamHI, HindIII, EcoRI* and *XhoI* are the restriction sites.

**2.2.3.3 Transformation of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC.** The ligated plasmid was transformed into XL10-gold ultracompetent cells by electroporation. The transformed cells were spread on the LB agar plate containing ampicillin (50µg/mL), and incubated at 37°C overnight. The plasmid was isolated using QIAprep spin miniprep kit. The insert was verified with DNA sequencing (UMDNJ).
Figure 2.2 Cloning strategies.
2.2.4 *Bacillus subtilis* Transformation

The plasmid pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC was linearized by digestion with *SpeI* at 37°C for 3 hours and used to transform competent cells of the CotC knockout *B. subtilis* strain (Figure. 2.3). The *B. subtilis* cells were plated on the LB agar plate containing spectinomycin (100µg/mL) and chloramphenicol (5µg/mL), and then they were incubated at 37°C overnight. The plasmid pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC was integrated into the non-essential *amyE* gene by double crossover recombination. This integration was verified using PCR and the primers used were huPTH1R – *HindIII*-F and huPTH1R – *XhoI*-R. The reaction and cycling condition was the same as for the amplification of huPTH1R. Next, wild-type *B. subtilis* and *B. subtilis* transformed with pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC were spread on the LB agar plate containing spectinomycin (100µg/mL) and analyzed by growth on the plate with appropriate antibiotics. Finally, genomic DNA was isolated, and the gene was sequenced (UMDNJ).
Figure 2.3 Integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC into *Bacillus subtilis* genome.
CHAPTER 3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Remove XhoI Restriction Site at Position 7049 Base Pair for Ease of Cloning

The plasmid pDG1730 GFP-CotC (Patrick Eichenberger, Department of Biology, New York University) contains two XhoI restriction sites (CTCGAG). A guanine to cytosine point mutation was performed at position 7049 using QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis. It was necessary to have only one XhoI restriction site for ease of cloning. To verify the result of removing XhoI restriction site, the plasmid pDG1730 GFP-CotC and the mutated plasmid was digested with XhoI and EcoRI (Figure. 3.1).

The pDG1730 GFP-CotC and the mutated plasmids were digested with EcoRI. It is expected to generate one linear fragment, 8903bp (Figure. 3.1, Lanes 2 and 3) because there is only one restriction site. On the other hand, the plasmid pDG1730 GFP-CotC was digested with XhoI. It is expected to result in two fragments, 5308 bp and 3595 bp (Figure. 3.1, Lanes 4). The mutated plasmid has only one XhoI restriction site, and the digestion is expected to have a single 8903 bp fragment (Figure. 3.1, Lanes 5).
Figure 3.1 Analysis of mutation of XhoI restriction site by gel electrophoresis. Lane 1: 1kb DNA plus ladder, Lane 2: EcoRI digestion of pDG1730 GFP-CotC original plasmid, Lane 3: EcoRI digestion of QuikChange site-directed mutation of pDG1730 GFP-CotC plasmid, Land 4: XhoI digestion of pDG1730 GFP-CotC original plasmid, Lane 5: XhoI digestion of QuikChange site-directed mutation of pDG1730 GFP-CotC plasmid.

3.2 Amplification of Human Parathyroid Hormone Receptor 1

The huPTH1R gene was successfully amplified from the plasmid pET15b huPTH1R (Aline Desmyster, Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, UMR 6098, CNRS, and Universités of Marseille, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France)\(^\text{(68)}\) with huPTH1R – HindIII-F and huPTH1R – XhoI-R, which were the forward and reverse primers, respectively. The forward primer incorporated a HindIII restriction site at the 5’ end, while the reverse primer integrated an XhoI restriction site at the 3’ end. The PCR
reaction was purified using QIAquick gel extraction kit, and PCR products were analyzed on the 1% (w/v) agarose gel. The expected size of huPTH1R was 1806bp (Figure. 3.2, Lane 3).

**Figure 3.2** Analysis of huPTH1R gene by gel electrophoresis. Lane 1: 1kb DNA plus ladder, Lane 2: pET15b huPTH1R original plasmid, Lane 3: huPTH1R PCR products.

### 3.3 Construction of pDG1730 HuPTH1R-CotC

The plasmid pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC was constructed. First, the pDG1730 GFP-CotC and huPTH1R PCR product were digested with *Hind*III and *Xho*I. This created sticky ends in order to ligate the vector (digested pDG1730 GFP-CotC) and the insert (digested huPTH1R PCR product). The digestions were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The digested insert shows one band at 1806 bp (Figure. 3.3, Lane 3).
Figure 3.3 Analysis of huPTH1R PCR fragments after XhoI digestion by gel electrophoresis. Lane 1: 1kb DNA plus ladder, Lane 2: pET15b huPTH1R original plasmid, Lane 3: huPTH1R PCR fragments.

The double digestion of pDG1730 GFP-CotC resulted in two bands. The band at 738bp corresponds to the coding region for GFP, and the band at 8165 bp was remaining part of the plasmid pDG1730 CotC, which was used as the vector (Figure. 3.4, Lanes 2 and 3).
Figure 3.4 Analysis of pDG1730 GFP-CotC after *XhoI* digestion by gel electrophoresis. Lane 1: 1kb DNA plus ladder, Lanes 2 and 3: pDG1730 CotC and GFP, Lane 4: pDG1730 GFP-CotC original plasmid.

The vector and insert were cut out and purified from the gel using a QIAquick gel extraction kit. Separate ligation reactions were done with insert to vector ratio of 1:1, 3:1, 6:1, and 9:1. Then, the ligated plasmids were transformed into XL10-gold ultracompetent cells by electroporation and plated on the LB agar plate containing ampicillin (50µg/mL). Several colonies were selected, and plasmids were isolated using QIAprep spin miniprep kit. Successful ligation was determined by PCR amplification of the insert, huPTH1R. A clone was found in the 3:1 insert to vector reaction, which displayed an 1806 bp fragment (Figure. 3.5, Lane 6). This plasmid was requested DNA sequencing (UMDNJ), and the results verified presence of the insert (Appendix A).
Figure 3.5  Analysis of huPTH1R after transformation by gel electrophoresis. Lane 1: 1kb DNA plus ladder, Lane 2: huPTH1R PCR product for positive control, Lane 3 ~ 6: huPTH1R PCR products after transformation.

3.4 Bacillus subtilis Transformation

The plasmid pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC was linearized with the restriction enzyme SpeI, and it was transformed into competent cells of the CotC knockout B. subtilis strain. The B. subtilis cells were spread on the LB agar plate containing spectinomycin (100µg/mL) and chloramphenicol (5µg/mL). Several colonies were chosen, and the genomic DNA was isolated. PCR was performed with the genomic DNA, and a product corresponding with the correct size of huPTH1R PCR (1794 bp) was detected by agarose gel
electrophoresis (Figure 3.6, Lane 3). In addition, genomic DNA was isolated and sequenced (UMDNJ) for the presence of the huPTH1R gene (Appendix B).

![Image of gel electrophoresis](image)

**Figure 3.6** Analysis of recombination of pDG1730 huPTH1R CotC by gel electrophoresis. Lane 1: 1kb DNA plus ladder, Lane 2: huPTH1R PCR products for positive control, Lane 3: huPTH1R PCR products after *B. subtilis* transformation.

Next, integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC resulted in conferring antibiotic resistance to spectinomycin resistance. Wild type of *B. subtilis* did not grow on the LB agar plate containing spectinomycin (100µg/mL), while the transformed *B. subtilis* strain did (Figure 3.7).
Figure 3.7 Growth characteristics of *B. subtilis* with LB agar plates containing spectinomycin (100µg/mL). (A) Wild-type *B. subtilis*. (B) *B. subtilis* strain transformed with pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC.
CHAPTER 4
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, integration of huPTH1R into *B. subtilis* was successfully done. First, molecular biology was used to create the plasmid pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC that fused a GPCR (huPTH1R) to a spore coat protein (CotC). Next, pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC was transformed into *B. subtilis* display to integrate the GPCR into the genome. This work represents the first system for GPCR display on the spore coat. Spore display overcomes many of hurdles found in “typical” protein display systems. Furthermore, this system can be used a general method for engineering and optimizing membrane proteins by directed evolution. The next goals are to demonstrate proper GPCR display on the spore coat, and then the protein will be evolved for stability.
Figure A.1 to A.8 show DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{\text{CotC}}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R-CotC-F1 (5'-TATGCGCAGATTCTCAATGAGG-3'). Nucleotide 641 is the start of $P_{\text{CotC}}$ and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 641 ~ 1500.
Figure A.1 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F1. (Continued)
Figure A.2 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{\text{CotC}}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F2 (5'-GGCAAGCTTACATAGGAAGGAACTACTATGGGCAGCAGCCATCA TC-3'). Nucleotide 641 is the start of $P_{\text{CotC}}$ and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1046 ~ 1900.
Figure A.2  DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F2. (Continued)
Figure A.3 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P_{CotC}-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F3 (5’-CACAACAGGACGTGGGCAACTACAG-3’). Nucleotide 641 is the start of P_{CotC} and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1560 ~ 2400.
Figure A.3  DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F3. (Continued)
Figure A.4 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P_{CotC}-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F4 (5'-GGCCACCAACTACTACTGATTCCTGG-3'). Nucleotide 641 is the start of P_{CotC} and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1955 ~ 2700.
Figure A.4  DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F4. (Continued)
Figure A.5 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P\textsubscript{CotC}-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F5 (5’-GCAATGGCGAGGTACAAGCTGAGATC-3’). Nucleotide 641 is the start of P\textsubscript{CotC} and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 2471 ~ 3015.
Figure A.5  DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F5. (Continued)
Figure A.6 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, \( P_{CotC} \)-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R2 (5’-CGGCTCGAGCATGACTGTCTCCACTCTTCC-3’). Nucleotide 641 is the start of \( P_{CotC} \) and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1892 ~ 2764.
Figure A.6  DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R2. (Continued)
Figure A.7 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{CotC}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R4 (5'-CCAGAATCCAGTAGTAGTTGGTGCC-3'). Nucleotide 641 is the start of $P_{CotC}$ and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1034 ~ 1879.
Figure A.7  DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R4. (Continued)
Figure A.8 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{CotC}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R5 (5’-GATCTCAGCTTGTACCTCGCCATTGC-3’). Nucleotide 641 is the start of $P_{CotC}$ and 3015 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1516 ~ 2380.
Figure A.8 DNA sequencing results of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R5. (Continued)
Figure B.1 to B.8 show genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC.

(A) Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{ CotC }$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F1 (5'-TATGCCGCGATTTCC AATGAGG -3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of $P_{ CotC }$ and 2735 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1 ~ 849.
Figure B.1 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F1. (Continued)
Figure B.2 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{CotC}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F2 (5'-GGCAAGCTTACAT AAGGAGGAACTACTATGGGCAGCAGCCATCATC-3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of $P_{CotC}$ and 2735 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 406 ~ 1375.
Figure B.2  Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F2. (Continued)
Figure B.3 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P$_{CotC}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F3 (5'-CACAACAGGACGTG GGCCAACACTACAG-3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of P$_{CotC}$ and 2375 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 920 ~ 1802.
Figure B.3 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F3. (Continued)
Figure B.4 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P_CotC-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F4 (5'-GGCCACCAACTACTA CTGGATTTCTGG-3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of P_CotC and 2375 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1302 ~ 2087.
Figure B.4 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F4. (Continued)
Figure B.5 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, $P_{CotC}$-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F5 (5’-GCAATGGCAGGTA CAAGCTGAGATC-3’). Nucleotide 1 is the start of $P_{CotC}$ and 2735 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1832 – 2735.
Figure B.5 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-F5. (Continued)
Figure B.6 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P_{CotC}-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R2 (5'-CGGCTCGAGCATGAC TGTCTCCACTCTCC-3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of P_{CotC} and 2735 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 1628 ~ 2124.
Figure B.6 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R2. (Continued)
Figure B.7 Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, pCotC-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R4 (5'-CCAGAATCCAGTAGT AGTTGGTGCC-3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of pCotC and 2735 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 300 ~ 1239.
Figure B.7  Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R4. (Continued)
Figure B.8  Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (A) Top strand is the DNA coding region from the promoter of CotC to CotC, P_{CotC}-huPTH1R-CotC DNA. The bottom strand represents the genomic DNA sequencing results using the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R5 (5'-GATCTCAGCTTGTAC CTCGCCATTGC-3'). Nucleotide 1 is the start of P_{CotC} and 2735 is end of CotC. This alignment represents 809 ~ 1729.
Figure B.8  Genomic DNA sequencing results of integration of pDG1730 huPTH1R-CotC. (B) Genomic DNA sequencing traces were done with the primer seq-huPTH1R CotC-R5. (Continued)
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